



Gudgeon-



INTERPRETATIVE DATA GIPPSLAND BASIN, VICTORIA ESSO AUSTRALIA LTD

Author: Chris Jenkins November 1995

WELL COMPLETION REPORT

VOLUME 2: INTERPRETATIVE DATA

CONTENTS

L

- 1. SUMMARY OF WELL RESULTS
- 2. INTRODUCTION
- 3. STRUCTURE
- 4. STRATIGRAPHY
- 5. HYDROCARBONS
- 6. GEOPHYSICAL DISCUSSION
- 7. GEOLOGICAL DISCUSSION

TABLES

- 1. PROGNOSED VS ACTUAL FORMATION TOPS
- 2. GUDGEON 1 SUMMARY OF RESERVOIR PROPERTIES

FIGURES

- 1. LOCALITY MAP
- 2. STRATIGRAPHIC TABLE

APPENDICES

- 1. PALYNOLOGICAL ANALYSIS
- 2. QUANTITATIVE FORMATION EVALUATION
- 3. MDT ANALYSIS
- 4. CORE ANALYSIS

ENCLOSURES

- 1. CHRONOSTRATIGRAPHY OF THE GIPPSLAND BASIN
- 2. TOP OF LATROBE GROUP DEPTH STRUCTURE (PRE-DRILL)
- 3. SYNTHETIC SEISMOGRAM
- 4. SEISMIC CROSSLINE 1366
- 5. MID MIOCENE TO TOP OF LATROBE GROUP ISOCHRON
- 6. MID MIOCENE DEPTH STRUCTURE (FROM WELL DATA)
- 7. TOP OF LATROBE GROUP DEPTH STRUCTURE (CONSTANT PICK POST-DRILL)
- 8. TOP OF LATROBE GROUP DEPTH STRUCTURE (VARIABLE PICK POST-DRILL)
- 9. STRUCTURAL CROSS SECTION (CONSTANT PICK)
- 10. STRUCTURAL CROSS SECTION (VARIABLE PICK)
- 11. TERRACOTTA DEPTH STRUCTURE (POST-DRILL)
- 12. STRATIGRAPHIC CROSS SECTION

ATTACHMENTS

1. COMPOSITE WELL LOG

1. <u>SUMMARY OF WELL RESULTS</u>

Gudgeon 1 was spudded on 10 March 1995. A deviated well was drilled from an optimal sea floor location in 279m water depth to intersect offset structural crests at the primary and secondary target levels. The primary target at Top of Latrobe Group was intersected at 3051 m MD KB (Measured Depth from Kelly Bushing) some 23m low to prognosis. Hydrocarbon shows were recorded in the primary target and a total of two cores were cut and recovered from the Late Cretaceous (Upper <u>T. Longus</u>) section of the Latrobe Group. Core 1 was cut from 3063-3081 m MD KB with a total of 17.1 m recovered. Core 2 was cut from 3081-3088 m MD KB, with some 4 m recovered. Formation tops are summarised in Table 1.

The well was drilled to 3283 m MD KB and as a result of drilling problems, the first logging suite was recorded, comprising gamma, resistivity, neutron and density tools. The well was then drilled to a total depth of 3837 m MD KB in Late Cretaceous (Lower T. Longus) age section on 24 April 1995. A second electric logging suite consisting of resitivity, gamma, neutron, density, sonic and dip meter tools was run together with a pressure survey, vertical incidence VSP and sidewall core runs.

Log interpretation, pressure data and core analyses indicated that a 31 m TVT (True Vertical Thickness) gross oil column was present in the primary target at the Top of Latrobe Group. The gross hydrocarbon column consisted of an upper 9.9 m TVT net oil pay zone (shoreface reservoir) separated by low net/gross section (coastal plain) from a lower 5.4 m TVT net oil pay zone (shoreface reservoir), with an oil/water contact interpreted at 3088 m MD KB. A further 2.2 m TVT net oil pay was mapped in the low net/gross coastal plain section. The oil/water contact was intersected at -2862 mss TVD (True Vertical Depth from seal level datum) and is some 28 m metres deeper than the nearby Blackback/Terakihi oil/water contact at -2834 mss TVD. Both secondary target reservoirs (Terracotta and Bronze seismic markers) were water saturated with no hydrocarbons encountered. The well was cased as a Top of Latrobe Group oil discovery and suspended on 9 May 1995.

Formation/Horizon	Predicted Depth (mss TVD)	Actual Depth (mss TVD)	Actual Depth (m MD KB)
Sea Floor	-280	-279	305
Base High Velocity Limestone	Not Prognosed	-2474	2622
Lakes Entrance Formation	-2525	-2517	2674
Oligocene Wedge	Not Prognosed	-2814	3029.5
Top of Latrobe Group Unconformity	-2808	-2831	3051
Chrome Seismic Marker	Not Prognosed	-2971	3222
Terracotta Seismic Marker (downlap surface)	-3090	-3096	3375
Terracotta Seismic Marker (sequence boundary)	-3100	-3107	3388
Bronze Seismic Marker (high amplitude)	-3318	-3342	3666
Total Depth	-3500	-3493	-3837

Table 1 : Prognosed vs Actual Formation Tops

KB Height = 25 m

2. INTRODUCTION

Gudgeon 1 is an exploration well located in VIC/L6 in some 279m water depth. The well was sited primarily to test a NW-SE trending Top of Latrobe Group erosional remnant, some 7km west of the Blackback and Terakihi oil discoveries in VIC/P24 (Figure 1). Secondary targets were identified within the Terracotta and Bronze seismic marker sequences (<u>T. Longus</u> age), within the Latrobe Group. Both secondary targets were associated with lowside rollover against the NW-SE trending, north easterly dipping Gudgeon fault system.

Gudgeon 1 was the first exploration well of three drilled during the 1995 drilling programme and incorporated structure mapping from the 1992 South Marlin Channel 3D grid and depth conversion, reservoir, seal and migration models from the Collaborative Research Project, completed in 1994

H:\EX\GPS\MISC\95REP9\CJ:vf\9/11/95

Page No. 4

3. <u>STRUCTURE</u>

At a regional scale, the Gudgeon feature is situated to the south of the main Latrobe Group rift axis which formed during an extensional structural phase, associated with the opening of the Tasman Sea some 80 million years before present. The southern side of the Latrobe Group rift basin is dominated by a series of major NW-SE trending, north easterly dipping basement involved extensional faults. The "floor" of the rift basin occurs some 10km to the northeast of the Gudgeon 1 location and is largely unstructured and coincides with the Eocene age South Marlin Channel canyon axis. The northern side of the Latrobe Group rift basin is also dominated by NW-SE trending extensional faults but they dip to the south west instead.

These faults exhibit both 'dogleg' and relay patterns in map view, typical of extensional, basins, and show evidence of structural growth during Latrobe Group deposition. Due to their orientation parallel to the main post-Latrobe Group compressional stress field (NW-SE), they have not reactivated and inverted as do the older more favourably orientated E-W and NE-SW trending Golden Beach Group faults, on the northern margin.

The Gudgeon feature is situated to the south of the Latrobe Group rift axis, on the lowside of the last major northeast dipping basement involved extensional fault, The latter fault is fundamental to formation of structural closure at Gudgeon-1. The Gudgeon fault has two main characteristics. The first being decreasing fault heave to the SE towards Blackback Field and the second being a local shallowing of the northeasterly dipping fault plain along a local 'dogleg', adjacent to the Gudgeon feature. This change in fault plain dip produces a typical fault bend fold geometry. When combined with adequate fault throw (170m at the Terracotta seismic marker level) in the vicinity of the Gudgeon feature, a prominent structural rollover is generated within the Intra-Latrobe Group strata. Later erosion associated with the Eocene age South Marlin Channel and Tuna/Flounder Channel incise valleys removed the northeast limb of the antiform at the level of the Terracotta (Lower <u>T. Longus</u> age) seismic marker. Structural integrity remained intact at the deeper Bronze (Lower <u>T. Longus</u> age) seismic marker level.

Further erosion at the Top of Latrobe Group resulted in preferential loss of section on the lowside of the fault, progressively cutting deeper to the north and east towards the South Marlin Channel canyon axis. Following this erosional event, the Lakes Entrance Formation deposited during an Oligocene to Miocene age base level rise. Erosional relief established at the Top of Latrobe Group was progressively onlapped and buried by deepwater mudstones. By Mid Miocene time, the Gudgeon feature was a northwest plunging elongate, erosional topographic high, with a local closed Mid Miocene Datum to Top of Latrobe Group thin at the Gudgeon location. Structural closure was enhanced by the Mid Miocene to Recent age regional tilt down to the east of the Gippsland Basin. The latter is attributed to compressional reactivation of the northern and western margins of the basin, as well as loading of a thick depositional wedge of Gippsland Limestone (Mid Miocene to Recent) on the eastern side of the basin.

The Gudgeon feature has a mapped Top of Latrobe Group crest at 2828 mss TVD some 3m updip of the drilled location at Gudgeon 1 and a structural spill point at 2862 mss TVD, at the southeastern end of the structure towards Blackback Field. The spill point corresponds to the interpreted field oil/water contact at 2862 mss TVD.

Closure is only minor (10-15m) at the Terracotta seismic maker level due to erosion of the eastern limb of the antiform by Eocene age erosion. At the deeper Bronze level structural closure is present but a lack of seal downgrades the prospectivity of this zone.

4. <u>STRATIGRAPHY</u>

A thick succession (2238m) of Gippsland Limestone (Mid Miocene to Recent age) was penetrated by Gudgeon 1 (Figure 2). No cuttings were collected down to 1133 m MD KB as this section was drilled without a riser and cuttings were ejected at the sea floor. Below this depth, the Gippsland Limestone comprised light grey to olive grey fossiliferous calcilutite with interbeds of micritic calcarenite. The limestone changes to a marl with depth due to progressive increase in clastic content.

The Lakes Entrance Formation (Oligocene to Middle Miocene age) is 314 m thick and comprises light grey to light brown calcareous claystone with traces of fossil fragments and carbonaceous detritus. At the base of the Lakes Entrance Formation, is some 17m of high density and high velocity claystone (<u>P. Tuberculatus</u> spore-pollen zone) similar to the 35m section penetrated at Blackback-3 and informally referred to as the Oligocene Wedge.

The Latrobe Group (Late Cretaceous age) is some 662m+ thick in Gudgeon-1 and the youngest section confirmed by palynological data, is Late Cretaceous in age, corresponding to the Upper <u>T. Longus</u> spore-pollen zone (Appendix 1). A 1m thick glauconitic siltstone was penetrated directly under the Top of Latrobe Group Unconformity and although not dated, the log character resembles Gurnard Facies and may be Eocene age. The chronostatigraphic section encountered was as predicted from the Collaborative Research Project, with the partially eroded Mid Chrome to Oriental Blue sequence penetrated directly under the Top of Latrobe Group Unconformity (Enclosure 1).

Page No. 6

The lithology of the Latrobe Group at Gudgeon 1 is dominated by sandstones with only minor mudstone and occasional thin coals. Much of the sandstone units are upper and lower shoreface facies associated with stacked highstand systems tracts of Lake Cretaceous age, particularly in the Upper <u>T. Longus</u> section above the Terracotta seismic marker sequence boundary.

Near the Top of Latrobe Group, a thin section of coastal plain facies was proposed within the stacked shoreface sandstones of the Mid Chrome to Oriental Blue seismic marker sequence and a total of 12m of interbedded mudstone, sandstone with minor coal was penetrated in the well.

Marine mudstones were poorly developed within the Latrobe Group and generally silt prone. A 22m gross thickness of marine siltstone/mudstone was intersected within the Terracotta to Chrome seismic marker sequence as predicted from the pre-drill Collaborative Research Project. Unfortunately, only 1.5m of this silt prone unit, achieved a shaliness in excess of 50%, suggesting limited seal potential within this unit.

The Lower <u>T. Longus</u> section and in particular below the Rose seismic marker sequence boundary was expected to be mainly coastal plain mudstones, which could potentially seal estuarine sandstones at the Bronze seismic marker secondary target. Post drill results indicate this section to be sand prone (either shoreface of stacked channel sandstones), with poor seal development.

Although no electric logs were available below 3744 m MD KB (due to junk in the hole), the mudlog indicated high sand content, which decreases and is replaced by siltstone towards the base of the well. The oldest section penetrated in the well is late Cretaceous age and belongs to the Lower <u>T. Longus</u> spore-pollen zone.

5. <u>HYDROCARBONS</u>

No significant hydrocarbon shows were encountered within the Gippsland Limestone or Lakes Entrance Formation in Gudgeon 1. Background gas levels within this section varied typically from 0.5-25 units, with one broad peak of 100 units at 2007 m MD KB.

In the basal Lakes Entrance mudstones (3025-3051 m MD KB) which are the key seal unit to the underlying Top of Latrobe Group reservoirs, background gas varied from 0.7-3.5 units and typically comprised 97% methane, 2% ethane and 1% propane.

Below the Top of Latrobe Group unconformity from 3051 - 3063 m MD KB a broad gas peak was observed varying from 10-45 units with a composition of 68% methane, 13% ethane, 9% propane and 10% butane and pentane (combined). Hydrocarbon fluorescence was noted from 3051-3063 m MD KB, with 10% pale yellow, pin point to patchy fluorescence, giving a slow cut and no residue. Core 1 was cut from 3063-3081 m MD KB and recovered 18 m of interbedded mudstone and siltstone with minor sandstone and a thin coal. Gas was not circulated to surface after coring but oil shows were recorded from sandstones within the core. Typically 50-60% bright pale yellow, patchy fluorescence was noted giving an instant cut with a thick residue ring.

Core 2 was cut from 3081-3088 m MD KB and recovered 4 m of sandstone exhibiting 20-40% moderately bright, pale yellow patchy fluorescence with an instant cut and a thick residue ring. A combination of log interpretation, pressure data and core analyses indicates a 31 m (True Vertical Thickness) gross oil column within the zone from 3051-3088 m MD KB at the Top of Latrobe Group. The reservoir properties and net pay are summarised in Table 2. The oil column is divided into 3 main zones. The upper zone is mainly shoreface sandstone and contains a total of 9.9 m TVT net oil pay with weighted mean porosity of 17%. Core data indicated 12-817 md permeability in the basal section (Appendix 4). The middle zone is mainly coastal plain with 2.2 m TVT net oil pay and weighted mean porosity of 15%. Core permeability varied from 31-1036 md. The lower zone comprises 5.4 m TVT net oil pay and 17% porosity with an oil/water contact interpreted at 2862 mss TVD. Core permeability varied from 12 md up to as high as 11 darcy's. The oil/water contact is some 28 m deeper than the Blackback and Terakihi oil fields contact at 2834 mss TVD and indicates a separate hydrocarbon accumulation at Gudgeon 1. Pressure data and downhole samples confirmed the hydrocarbon zones and a 46 degree API crude oil sample was collected from the upper zone (Volume 1. Appendix 4). The hydrocarbon zones are discussed in detail in Appendix 2.

Below the field oil/water contact at 3088 m MD KB gas readings returned to background levels of 0.5-3 units comprising 94% methane, 4% ethane and 2% propane.

A small gas peak of 4 units over a background of 2 units was recorded below the Terracotta seismic marker sequence marine mudstone unit from 3375-3379 m MD KB and comprised predominantly methane with minor ethane and propane. No fluorescence was observed. Only background gas was recorded down to total depth at 3837 m MD KB. Typically, 0.5-2.0 units were recorded comprising 96% methane, 3% ethane and 1% propane. No hydrocarbon fluorescence was noted in this section.

Table 2 : Gudgeon 1 Summary of Reservoir Properties

	Measured Depth					True Vertical Thickness
Reservoir	Interval (m MD KB)	Gross Thickness (m)	Net Thickness (m)	Ave N/G (%)	Ave Por (%)	Net Pay (m)
Upper	3051.0-3052.5	1.5	0	0	-	
••	3052.5-3057.4	4.9	3.5	72	16	9.9
	3057.0-3066.0	8.5	8.5	100	18	
Middle	3068.2-3073.5	5.4	1.2	23	14	
	3074.5-3076.6	2.2	0	0	-	2.2
	3077.2-3080.3	3.1	1.3	42	15	
Lower	3080.8-3088.0	7.2	6.4	88	17	5.4
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<u> </u>			17.5

OWC @ 3088 m MD KB (-2862 mss TVD).

For detail refer to Quantitative Log Interpretation In Appendix 2.

6. <u>GEOPHYSICAL DISCUSSION</u>

The Gudgeon feature was defined as a drillable prospect using the 1992 South Marlin Channel 3D grid. Data coverage was excellent over the prospect but decreased to the north at the edge of the grid.

The seismic pick for the Top of Latrobe Group primary target was interpreted to be at the lower zero crossing of the lead trough for quadrature phase data. The pick assumed that low impedance Lakes Entrance Formation would directly overlie high impedance Latrobe Group, with the high impedance Oligocene Wedge (penetrated at the nearby Blackback-3 well) expected to be absent.

Depth conversion was recognised as a problem (pre-drill) due to the present day sea floor canyon which incised from west to east across the inferred Top of Latrobe Group spill point for the Gudgeon Prospect. Associated time sag caused by this canyon as well as low velocity canyon fill sediment complicated depth conversion. Three independent methods of depth conversion were used pre-drill including, smooth stacking velocities, well interval velocities and isopach from Mid Miocene datum. All methods indicated valid structural closure for Gudgeon Prospect but a variable trap size. The most likely pre-drill case was derived from the stacking velocities method (using dense velocity analyses) and indicated a feature of some 2.5 km² areal extent with 57m vertical closure. The crest was interpreted at 2808 mss TVD with a spill point on the south western flank at 2865 mss TVD (Enclosure 2).

Post drill analysis indicated the Top of Latrobe Group was intersected at 2831 mss TVD some 23m low to prognosis, representing a depth error of less than 1%. In fact, much of the depth error at the well was a function of the time pick at Top of Latrobe Group, rather than the interval velocity used for depth conversion. The presence of an unexpected 17m thick high density (and high velocity) Oligocene wedge directly overlying the Top of Latrobe Group unconformity resulted in a shift in the time pick from the standard lower zero crossing on the lead trough (for quadrature phase data), to the middle of the following peak.. This resulted in a time shift of some 8 ms TWT and is demonstrated on the synthetic seismogram for Gudgeon 1 shown in Enclosure 3. The pre-drill vs post-drill interpretation at Top of Latrobe Group is shown on Crossline 1366 in Enclosure 4. The actual depth prognosis error attributed to interval velocity was minimal. In fact, the pre-drill time pick which was to the top of the Oligocene wedge was intersected 6m low.

Whilst depth conversion was generally successful at the drilled crest, problems are apparent at the southeastern end of the structure which is most effected by the sea floor canyon. Here an unstable velocity field results from unreliable stacking velocities which are due to raypath distortion. The latter is caused by rugose water bottom and low velocity sediment fill in the sea floor canyon. There is a close correspondence between the pre-drill and post-drill mapped spill point depths at 2865 and 2862 mss TVD respectively. This appears to be a coincidence because post-drill structural analyses indicates that the spillpoint is at the southeastern end of the structure rather than the south western flank as indicated on the pre-drill map. In fact, pre-drill mapping indicated a lowest closing contour to the southeast at 2890 mss TVD, some 28m deeper than the true spillpoint at 2862 mss TVD. This suggests that structural sag from the sea floor canyon remained in the final pre-drill depth map. The apparent pre-drill spill point on the western flank is unlikely because preferential erosion at the Top of Latrobe Group occurred here, along the lowside of the Gudgeon fault. The Mid Miocene datum to Top of Latrobe Group isochron indicates a prominent thick, ensuring closure along this flank, with a clear spill point at the southeastern end of the Gudgeon feature (Enclosure 5).

Post-drill mapping utilises the Mid Miocene datum to Top of Latrobe Group isopach which is added to the Mid Miocene datum depth map (based on well control) to give depth to Top of Latrobe Group. This method has the advantage that it is independent of sea floor canyons and short period high or low velocity layers which effect seismic stacking velocities used for depth conversion. Whilst the depth map to the Mid Miocene datum lacks spatial control due to limited wells, this surface is relatively unstructured other than for local drape and compaction effects and a post Mid Miocene regional tilt to the east (Enclosure 6). Two depth maps to Top of Latrobe Group were produced using this method. The maps differ in that the time pick was varied to allow a constant thickness (Enclosure 7) and a variable thickness (Enclosure 8) of the Oligocene high impedance layer. The two maps are similar except that the constant Top of Latrobe Group pick results in more closure at the southeastern end of the prospect. Structural cross sections across the Gudgeon feature were generated for each case and are in Enclosures 9 and 10. Recent drilling results indicate that this isopach based depth conversion method can provide greater precision for mapping Top of Latrobe Group remnant structures in areas of rugose water bottom and velocity anomalies, compared to conventional stacking velocity based depth conversion.

Depth conversion for intra-Latrobe Group horizons was based on an isopach from Top of Latrobe Group to the intra-Latrobe Group horizon. This was added to the Top of Latrobe Group depth to give depth to the intra-Latrobe horizon. Minor structural closure (10-15 m) was evident post-drill at the level of the Terracotta seismic marker sequence but failure of this secondary objective was probably due to an inadequate marine mudstone seal (Enclosure 11).

Whilst structural closure was evident at the deeper Bronze seismic marker level, no seal was developed.

Possible hydrocarbon related amplitude anomalies were noted pre-drill within structural closure at the Terracotta and the Bronze seismic markers but proved to be associated with changes from low impedance porous sandstones to high impedance silica cemented sandstones.

H:\EX\GPS\MISC\95REP9\CJ:vf\9/11/95

Page No. 10

7. <u>GEOLOGICAL DISCUSSION</u>

Reservoir properties were predicted pre-drill using regional correlations from the Gippsland Collaborative Study.

For the Top of Latrobe Group primary target the reservoir section was predicted to be the highstand systems tract of the Mid Chrome to Oriental Blue seismic marker sequence. Seismic facies mapping indicated the well should penetrate mainly upper shoreface facies with thin interbeds of coastal plain at the depositional shelf slope break. The pre-drill estimate of net/gross = 0.8 for the expected 57m gross hydrocarbon column was interpolated from the surrounding Pilotfish-1A, Athene-1 and Terakihi-1 wells. This assumed a progressive increase from low net/gross at Pilotfish-1A in the coastal plain, to high net/gross at Athene-1 and Terakihi-1 in the upper and lower shoreface facies respectively. The actual net/gross at Gudgeon-1 was 0.64 for an equivalent 57m column due to 12m of coastal plain mudstones and siltstones and some thin marine siltstones within this interval. The actual post-drill closure height is only 31 metres at the well and the 12m thick coastal plain section reduced the total net/gross down to only 0.49. The nearby wells are shown on the stratigraphic cross section in Enclosure 12.

Pre-drill estimates suggested average porosity of 0.21 could be expected within the postulated 57m gross column based on depth/porosity trends from the nearby Terakihi-1 and Athene-1 wells. Post-drill results indicated average porosity of only 0.17 within the interval due to the presence of siliceous cement within the sandstones. This cement is pervasive with depth reducing average porosity to 0.15 in the Bronze to Terracotta seismic marker interval. Gudgeon-1 has anomalously low porosity compared to nearby wells which indicates caution is required when applying regional well based porosity trends.

The presence of local amplitude anomalies within the intra-Latrobe Group section at Gudgeon-1 appears to correlate with cemented sandstone layers and may indicate that porosity reduction is localised around the active portion of the Gudgeon Fault which has the most heave.

The secondary target at the Terracotta to Mid Chrome seismic marker sequence relied on the presence of a marine mudstone to seal the lowside rollover trap. Pre-drill estimates of some 20m of gross marine mudstone were accurate with 22m intersected in the well. Unfortunately, the majority of this unit was silt prone with only 1.5m (encompassing the dowlap surface) having a shaliness in excess of 50%. Minor antithetic faulting on the lowside roll over structure with throws <10m are not detectable on this 3D data set and would readily contribute to seal failure. Also post-drill structural mapping indicates minimal closure (10-15m) at this target.

The deeper Bronze seismic marker secondary objective required coastal plain mudstones to seal a possible estuarine sandstone reservoir. This section proved highly sand prone and one possible cause of failure for this target is lack of seal.



6 Nov '95 GPB00140

GIPPSLAND BASIN GUDGEON-1 STRATIGRAPHIC SECTION FIGURE 2



9 Nov '95 GPC00253

Appendix 1

ľ

APPENDIX 1

GUDGEON 1

Palynological Analysis

Palynological Analysis of Core and Cuttings Near Top of Latrobe in Gudgeon-1, Gippsland Basin.

by

Alan D. Partridge

Biostrata Pty Ltd A.C.N. 053 800 945

Biostrata Report 1995/8

8 May 1995

INTERPRETATIVE DATA

Summary

Introduction

Biostratigraphy

References

 Table-1: Interpretative Palynological Data Gudgeon-1

Confidence Ratings

BASIC DATA

 Table 2: Basic Sample Data

Table 3: Species list for Gudgeon-1 at 3064m - 3078m

 Table 4: Species list for Gudgeon-1 at 3045-55m

Relinquishment Lists Of Palynological Slides & Residues

.

Summary

While the well Gudgeon-1 was still drilling seven samples from core-1 and one cuttings interval were analysed to check the age at the Top of Latrobe. The following results were obtained:

Cuttings	3045-3055m	CAVED Oligocene- Miocene ASSEMBLAGE.
		P. tuberculatus Spore-pollen Zone and
		Operculodinium Microplankton Superzone
		with assemblage all caved from overlying Seaspray
		Group. Although a few rare Eocene fossils were
		recorded they are not zone diagnostic.
Core-1	3064-3078m	Maastrichtian.
		Upper T. longus Spore-pollen Zone and
		M. druggii Microplankton Zone (only at 3072m)

Introduction

The samples were shipped to Laola Pty Ltd on Friday 31 March 1995, processed the next day and collected and analysed on Sunday 2 April. The initial verbal report on that day was followed by a written Provisional Report sent as a facsimile on 3 April. Additional palynological slides were prepared upon a subsequent request to confirm the age dating in the core samples and a revised provisional report was provided on 20 April 1995.

Approximately 15 grams of the samples were processed for palynological analysis. Residue yields from the cuttings was very low although palynomorph concentration was high. In contrast the cores gave high yields with low to occasionally very low palynomorph concentrations. Preservation of palynomorphs was generally poor to fair in rushed palynological slides but improved to fair to occasionally good in second batch of slides. Spore-pollen diversity was generally moderate whilst the only significant microplankton diversity was recorded in the cuttings and most of those species were caved.

The interpretative data with zone identification and Confidence Ratings are recorded in Table-1 and basic data on residue yields, preservation and diversity are recorded on Tables-2. Species identified in the samples are listed on Tables-3 & 4. Relinquishment list for palynological slides and residues from samples analysed are provided at the end of the report.

Zone and age determinations are based on the zonation schemes described by Stover & Partridge (1973) and Helby, Morgan & Partridge (1987), with the addition of the *Operculodinium* Superzone which is a modification of the dinoflagellate zonation scheme discussed in outline by Partridge (1975, 1976). Author citations for most spore-pollen species can be sourced from Stover & Partridge (1973, 1982) and Helby, Morgan & Partridge (1987), and those for dinoflagellates from the indexes of Lentin & Williams (1985, 1989). Species names followed by "ms" are unpublished manuscript names.

P. tuberculatus Spore-pollen Zone and *Operculodinium* Microplankton Superzone

The assemblage from the cuttings is dominated by dinoflagellates the great majority of which are caved from the overlying Seaspray Group. Although the assemblage is highly diverse the species recorded have been restricted to the common or index species sufficient to adequately demonstrate the caved origin of the assemblage. The restricted species is necessary because the Oligocene and Miocene assemblages in the Gippsland Basin are still largely undocumented. Typical of long ranging species found throughout most of the Seaspray Group are Operculodinium centrocarpum, Lingulodinium machaerophorum, Hystrichokolpoma rigaudae, Systematophora placacantha and the ubiquitous Spiniferites spp. In addition there are species typical of the basal Oligocene part of the Seaspray Group most of which are unpublished manuscript species. These include Pyxidinopsis pontus ms, Protoellipsodinium simplex ms, P. mamilatus ms and *Tectatodinium marlum* ms. Then there are a number of species are restricted or more common in the Miocene part of the group, including Melitasphaeridium choanophorum and Tuberculodinium vancompoae. The possibility of some Eocene component in the sample in suggested by the observation of single specimens of Areosphaeridium capricornum and Wetzeliella articulata in the slides. Unfortunately, these species are not diagnostic of a single zone and because of their rarity they could just as easily be reworked like a number of the sporepollen recorded. The assemblage of the latter consists mainly of long ranging species except for the frequent occurrence of the spore Cyatheacidites annulatus which is diagnostic of the *P. tuberculatus* Zone.

Upper T. longus Spore-pollen Zone and M. druggii Microplankton Zone

The seven core sample have an average diversity of 19+ species and a composite diversity of 49+ species including interpreted reworked species and microplankton. The assemblage treated as a composite of all seven samples is no

older than the Upper *T. longus* Zone based on the common occurrence of *Gambierina rudata* and presence of *Stereisporites (Tripunctisporis)* spp. in most samples. An age no younger than this zone is based on at least eleven species considered not to range above this zone, unless as reworked specimens. Described species are *Battenipollis sectilis, Beaupreaidites orbiculatus, Forcipites* (al. *Tricolpites*) *longus, Quadraplanus brossus, Tricolpites confessus* and *Tricolporites lilliei*. Manuscript species are *Camarozonosporites horrendus, Propylipollis crotonoides, Proteacidites clinei* and *P. reticuloconcavus*. The presence of *Grapnelispora evansii* in five of the seven samples suggests a position low within the zone as this form is not consistent or not present in the upper part of the zone.

Several of the samples contained organic fragments suggestive of *Manumiella*-like microplankton but only the sample at 3072m contained identifiable specimens. Although poorly preserved *Manumiella conorata* was confidently identified enabling assignment of that sample to the *M. druggii* Zone. The only other fossil identified as a microplankton is *Amosopollis cruciformis* now considered a algal cyst following Helby, Morgan & Partridge (1987, p.55).

References

- HELBY, R., MORGAN, R. & PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1987. A palynological zonation of the Australian Mesozoic. *Mem. Ass. Australas. Palaeontols* 4, 1-94.
- LENTIN, J.K. & WILLIAMS, G.L., 1985. Fossil Dinoflagellates: Index to genera and species, 1985 Edition. Canadian Tech. Rep. Hydrog. Ocean Sci. 60, 1-451.
- LENTIN, J.K. & WILLIAMS, G.L., 1989. Fossil Dinoflagellates: Index to genera and species, 1989 Edition. AASP Contribution Series No. 20, 1-473.
- PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1975. Palynological zonal scheme for the Tertiary of the Bass Strait Basin (Introducing Paleogene Dinoflagellate Zones and Late Neogene Spore-Pollen Zones). Geol. Soc. Aust. Symposium on the Geology of Bass Strait and Environs, Melbourne, November, 1975. Esso Aust. Ltd. Palaeo. Rept. 1975/17 (unpubl.).
- PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1976. The geological expression of eustacy in the early Tertiary of the Gippsland Basin. *APEA J. 16* (1), 73-79.
- STOVER, L.E. & PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1973. Tertiary and late Cretaceous spores and pollen from the Gippsland Basin, southeastern Australia. Proc. R. Soc. Vict. 85, 237-286.
- STOVER, L.E. & PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1982. Eocene spore-pollen from the Werillup Formation, Western Australia. *Palynology 6*, 69-95.

Sample Type	Depth (m)	Spore-Pollen Zone	*CR	Microplankton Zone	*CR	Comments or Key Species
Cuttings	3045- 3055	P. tuberculatus	D3	Operculodinium Superzone	D3	Assemblages overwhelmed by cavings from the Lakes Entrance Formation. Zones not accurate to depth.
Core-1	3064.0	Upper T. longus	A1			Several specimens of Stereisporites (Tripunctisporis) spp. and common Gambierina rudata recorded.
Core-1	3066.0	T. longus	A3			No species diagnostic of Upper subzone recorded.
Core-1	3067.0	Upper T. longus	A1			A single specimens of Stereisporites (Tripunctisporis) spp. and common Gambierina rudata recorded.
Core-1	3071.0	Indeterminate				Palynomorph concentration too low.
Core-1	3072.0	Upper T. longus	A1	M. druggii		G. rudata common. Poorly preserved Manumiella conorata confirms microplankton zone.
Core-1	3074.0	Upper T. longus	A1			Stereisporites (Tripunctisporis) spp. and common Gambierina rudata confirm Upper subzone.
Core-1	3078.0	Upper T. longus	A2			A single specimen of <i>Stereisporites (Tripunctisporis)</i> spp. recorded.

Table-1: Interpretative Palynological Data for Gudgeon-1, Gippsland Basin.

*Confidence Ratings

Alpha codes: Linked to sample type

Α	Core
В	Sidewall core
С	Coal cuttings
D	Ditch cuttings
E	Junk basket
F	Miscellaneous/unknown
G	Outcrop

Numeric codes: Linked to fossil assemblage

1	Excellent confidence:	High diversity assemblage recorded with key zone species.
2	Good confidence:	Moderately diverse assemblage recorded with key zone species.
3	Fair confidence:	Low diversity assemblage recorded with key zone species.
4	Poor confidence:	Moderate to high diversity assemblage recorded without key zone species.
5	Very low confidence:	Low diversity assemblage recorded without key zone species.

BASIC DATA

Table 2: Basic Palynomorph Data for Gudgeon-2, Gipps	Insland Basin
--	---------------

Sample Type	Depth (m)	Residue Yield	Palynomorph Concentration	Palynomorph Preservation	Number S-P Species	Number MP Species
Cuttings	3045-3055	Low	High	Poor-good	14+	18+
Core	3064	High	Moderate	Poor-fair	29+	1?
Core	3066	High	Low	Poor-fair	15+	1
Core	3067	High	Low	Poor-fair	21+	1
Core	3071	High	Very low	Poor	5+	
Core	3072	High	Low	Poor	21+	1
Core	3074	High	Low	Poor-fair	27+	
Core	3078	High	Low	Poor	16+	

Table 3: Species list for Gudgeon

SPECIES	Core depths in metres							
	3064	3066	3067	3071	3072	3074	3078	
SPORE-POLLEN				1	1		1	
Araucariacites australis	X	x	x		X	x	x	
Baculatisporites spp.	F		X		X	x	x	
Battenipollis sectilis						x		
Beaupreaidites orbiculatus	X							
Camarozonosporites australiensis	X		x	x		x		
Camarozonosporites heskermensis	X				x			
Camarozonosporites horrendus ms	X					x		
Cicatricosisporites australiensis	X				1	x		
Cyathidies australis RW	X		x		F	x		
Cyathidites paleospora						x		
Cyathidites splendens	X	х	x		F	х		
Dilwynites granulatus			x					
Forcipites longus	X		x		x	x		
Gambierina rudata	С	С	С	x	С	С	F	
Gleicheniidites circinidites		x			x	x	x	
Grapnelispora evansii	X	x	x		x		x	
Herkosporites elliottii	X		х					
Klukisporites scaberis RW							x	
Laevigatosporites major		x						
Laevigatosporites ovatus	X	x	x	x	x	x	x	
Latrobosporites amplus	x		x		x	x	x	
Latrobosporites ohaiensis					x			
Lygistepollenites balmei	F		F		x	F	x	
Lygistepollenites florinii	X		x		1			

Table 3: Species list for Gudgeon-1 Cont...

Core depths in metres							
3067	3071	3072	3074	3078			
			x				
			x				
F		x	F	F			
			x	Х			
X		x	F				
	F						
		Х	Х	X			
		X					
			x				
		х					
	С	F	С	F			
			Х				
Х		х	Х	Х			
X			F	X			
Х			F	Х			
X							
		х	Х				
x							
х							
		x					
		x					
	x nt/Rare ent ion	nt/Rare ent	nt/Rare	x X x I nt/Rare ent			

= cf

Compare with = Manuscript name =

ms $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{W}$

Reworked =

.

1

Table 4:	Species	list for	Gud	geon-1
----------	----------------	----------	-----	--------

Table 4: Species list for Gue SPECIES	Cuttings at 3045-55m
SPORE-POLLEN	
Araucariacites australis	X
Cyatheacidites annulatus	F
Cyathidites paleospora	X
Cyathidites splendens	X
Granulatisporites trisinus RW	X
Haloragacidites harrisii	X
Ischyosporites gremius	X
Ischyosporites irregularis ms	X
Laevigatosporites major	X
Lygistepollenites florinii	X
Microbaculatisporites nodosa RW	X
Matonisporites ornamentalis	X
Nothofagidites asperus	X
Phyllocladidites mawsonii	X
Podocarpidites spp.	F
Verrucatosporites alienus	X
MICROPLANKTON	
Achomosphaera alcicornu	X
Areosphaeridium capricorum*	X
Apteodinium australiense	X
Cyclopsiella vieta	X
Dapsilidinium pseudocolligerum	С
Hystrichokolpoma rigaudae	F
Impagidinium spp.	x
Lingulodinium machaerophorum	X
Meliasphaeridium choanophorum	X
Nematosphaeropsis rhizoma ms.	х
Operculodinium centrocarpum	F
Pentadinium laticinctum	X
Protoellipsodinium mamilatus ms.	x
Protoellipsodinium simplex ms.	С
Pyxidinopsis pontus ms.	С
Spiniferites ramosus	Х
Systematophora placacantha	X
Tectatodinium marlum ms.	X
Tuberculodinium vancampoae	x
Wetzeliella articulata*	X
OTHERS	
Microforaminiferal liners	С

Bold*

=

Probable insitu species

~

RELINGUISHMENT LIST - PALYNOLOGY SLIDES

WELL NA	ME & NO): GUDGEC	DN-1	
PREPARE	D BY:	A.D. PAR	TRIDGE	
DATE:		26 APRIL 1995		Sheet 1 of 2
SAMPLE TYPE	DEPTH (M)	CATALOGUE NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	
Cuttings	3045-55	P196658	Kerogen slide HCl sieved	
Cuttings	3045-55	P196659	Kerogen slide	
Core-1	3064	P196660	Kerogen slide	
Core-1	3064	P196661	Oxidised slide 2	
Core-1	3064	P196662	Oxidised slide 3	
Core-1	3064	P196663	Oxidised slide 4	
Core-1	3066	P196664	Kerogen slide	
Core-1	3066	P196665	Oxidised slide 2	
Core-1	3066	P196666	Oxidised slide 3	
Core-1	3066	P196667	Oxidised slide 4	
Core-1	3067	P196668	Kerogen slide	
Core-1	3067	P196669	Oxidised slide 2	
Core-1	3067	P196670	Oxidised slide 3	
Core-1	3067	P196671	Oxidised slide 4	
Core-1	3071	P196672	Oxidised slide 2	
Core-1	3071	P196673	Oxidised slide 3	
Core-1	3071	P196674	Oxidised slide 4	
Core-1	3071	P196675	Oxidised slide 5	
Core-1	3072	P196676	Kerogen slide	
Core-1	3072	P196677	Oxidised slide 2	
Core-1	3072	P196678	Oxidised slide 3	
Core-1	3072	P196679	Oxidised slide 4	
Core-1	3074	P196680	Kerogen slide	
Core-1	3074	P196681	Oxidised slide 2	
Core-1	3074	P.196682	Oxidised slide 3	
Core-1	3074	P196683	Oxidised slide 4	
Core-1	3078	P196684	Kerogen slide	
Core-1	3078	P196685	Oxidised slide 2	
Core-1	3078	P196686	Oxidised slide 3	
Core-1	3078	P196687	Oxidised slide 4	

RELINGUISHMENT LIST - RESIDUES

WELL NA	ME & NO:	GUDGEON-1	
PREPARED BY:		A.D. PARTRIDGE	
DATE:		26 APRIL 1995	Sheet 2 of 2
SAMPLE TYPE	DEPTH (M)	DESCRIPTION	
Core-1	3064	Kerogen residue	
Core-1	3064	Oxidised residue	
Core-1	3066	Kerogen residue	
Core-1	3066	Oxidised residue	
Core-1	3067	Kerogen residue	
Core-1	3067	Oxidised residue	
Core-1	3071	Kerogen residue	
Core-1	3071	Oxidised residue	
Core-1	3072	Oxidised residue	
Core-1	3074	Kerogen residue	
Core-1	3074	Oxidised residue	
Core-1	3078	Kerogen residue	
Core-1	3078	Oxidised residue	

Palynological Analysis of Sidewall Cores between 3012.1m to 3057.1m in Gudgeon-1 Gippsland Basin

by

Alan D. Partridge

Biostrata Pty Ltd A.C.N. 053 800 945

Biostrata Report 1995/10 31 May 1995

CONTENTS

INTERPRETATIVE DATA

Introduction	1
Palynological Summary of Gudgeon-1	1
Geological Comments	2
Biostratigraphy	3
References	6
Table 1: Interpretative Palynomorph Data for Gudgeon-1	7
*Confidence Ratings	7

BASIC DATA

Table 2: Basic Sample Data for Gudgeon-1.	8
Table 3: Basic Palynomorph Data for Gudgeon-1.	8
Table 4: Species List for Gudgeon-1.	9
Table 4: Species List for Gudgeon-1 cont	10
RELINGUISHMENT LIST - PALYNOLOGY SLIDES	11

Introduction

Nine sidewall cores between 3012.1m to 3057.1m from across the top of Latrobe in Gudgeon-1 have been analysed to compliment the results obtained from a cuttings sample at 3045-55m and core samples between 3064m to 3078m reported on by Partridge (1995). The following table summarises both sets of analyses.

AGE	UNIT/FACIES	SPORE-POLLEN ZONES (DINOFLAGELLATE ZONES)	DEPTHS mKB
MIOCENE TO OLIGOCENE	SEASPRAY GROUP	P. tuberculatus (F. leos)	3012.1-3036.1 (3036.1)
EOCENE? TO PALEOCENE	LATROBE GROUP Glauconitic sandstone	Indeterminate sample (T. evittii)	3053.6 (3055.0)
MAASTRICHTIAN	LATROBE GROUP Undifferentiated	Indeterminate sample Upper T. longus (M. druggii)	3057.1 3064.0-3078.0 (3072.0)

Palynological Summary of Gudgeon-1

An average of 10 grams of the sidewall cores were split, cleaned where practical, then forwarded to Laola Pty Ltd in Perth for processing to prepare the palynological slides for analysis. The material was forwarded to Laola Pty Ltd on 10 May, returned on 18 May and the provisional report on results provided on 22 May. The interpretative data with zone identification and Confidence Ratings are recorded in Table 1 and basic data on residue yields, preservation and diversity are recorded on Tables 2 and 3.

Overall the residue yields on the samples were low from the Seaspray Group and very low to barren from Latrobe Group. Palynomorph concentration in productive samples was moderate to high. Preservation of palynomorphs varied from poor to good. From the Seaspray Group total spore-pollen diversity was 42+ species with an average diversity of 17+ species per sample, whilst total microplankton diversity was 24+ species with an average of 14+ species per sample. Diversity of the samples from the Latrobe Groups is much lower because of the lower recoveries. All species which have been identified with binomial names are tabulated in the text or on Table 4. The relinquishment list for palynological slides is provided at the end of the report. No palynological residues remained after preparation of the slides.

Geological Comments

- 1. Of the nine samples analysed six are from the Seaspray Group. These are Early Oligocene in age and are referred to the *P. tuberculatus* Zone and *Operculodinium* Superzone. The other three samples are from the underlying Latrobe Group but only the middle sample contained a diagnostic assemblage assigned to the *T. evittii* microplankton Zone giving an Early Paleocene (Danian) age.
- 2. The Fromea leos microplankton Zone originally erected in Blackback-3 has been found in the deepest available sidewall sample from the Seaspray Group indicating the presence of the "Early Oligocene wedge" in Gudgeon-1. The sample at 3036.1m also contains the spore Cyatheacidites annulatus indicative of the P. tuberculatus Zone which suggests a position high in the F. leos Zone. As previously discussed by Partridge (1994) Fromea leos is considered to characterises a part of the Early Oligocene which appears to be only rarely preserved in the offshore portion of the Gippsland Basin.
- 3. Of the two samples of glauconitic sandstone supplied from the top of the Latrobe Group at 3053.6m and 3055m only the deeper sample contained an assemblage which is both *insitu* and datable. The *T. evittii* Zone assemblage recorded from this sample can be correlated to the Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary shale which forms the seal to the Flounder field reservoirs. In the adjacent Pilotfish-1A well the upper part of the this shale between approximately 2915m to 2935m becomes significantly glauconitic and contains both the *T. evittii* and the younger *P. pyrophorum* microplankton Zones (Macphail 1983, and subsequent analysis).
- 4. Although the shallower glauconitic sandstone at 3053.6m did not yield a reliable assemblage it shows greater lithological similarity to the Gurnard Formation than the deeper sample. Considering that a few typical Eocene dinoflagellates were recorded from the cuttings analysed over the interval 3045-55m (Partridge 1995, table 4) it is possible that a thin sliver (<2 metres) of Eocene may have been deposited at the Gudgeon-1 location.</p>
- The new age datings on the sidewall cores now leave an undated gap of only
 9 metres between the *T. evittii* Zone top of the Upper *T. longus* zone
 identified in the cores from 3064m.

Biostratigraphy

Zone and age determinations are based on the spore-pollen zonation scheme proposed by Stover & Partridge (1973), subsequently modified by Helby, Morgan & Partridge (1987), and a dinoflagellate zonation scheme which has only been published in outline by Partridge (1975, 1976).

Author citations for most spore-pollen species can be sourced from Stover & Partridge (1973, 1982), Helby, Morgan & Partridge (1987) or other references cited herein. Author citations for dinoflagellates can be found in the index of Lentin & Williams (1993) or other references cited herein. Species names followed by "ms" are unpublished manuscript names.

Proteacidites tuberculatus Spore-Pollen Zone: 3012.1-3036.1 metres

Early Oligocene.

The six samples assigned to the zone all contain the key index species *Cyatheacidites annulatus*. Other index species are rare but include an as yet undescribed species of *Densoisporites* and *Foveotriletes lacunosus* at 3020m. This latter species suggests a generally younger Late Oligocene age but is interpreted as a contaminant as the sample also contains the notably younger dinoflagellate *Tuberculodinium vancampoae*. Overall the assemblages are of moderate diversity dominated by long ranging spores and pollen. *Nothofagidites* spp. dominates most counts with *Araucariacites australis* and *Podocarpidites* spp. the next most frequent types.

Operculodinium Microplankton Superzone:3012.1-3036.1 metresOligocene-Miocene.

All samples analysed from the Seaspray Group are dominated by dinoflagellates characteristic of the *Operculodinium* Superzone which has a broad Oligocene to Miocene age range. Total microplankton abundance ranges from 60% to 82% with an average of 74% indicating an open marine environment. The assemblages are all dominated by *Spiniferites* spp. and *Operculodinium centrocarpum* (Table 4). Unfortunately most of the key species in the microflora are still undocumented and are identified by manuscript names. Currently only two zones have been formally defined from the base of the superzone and of these only the *F. leos* Zone is recorded in Gudgeon-1.

Fromea leos Microplankton Zone:

3036.1 metres Early Oligocene.

This is a new zone defined by Partridge (1994) as the interval above the acme of *Phthanoperidinium comatum* to the Last Appearance Datum (LAD) of *Fromea leos* ms. The assemblages recorded is similar compositionally to those in Blackback-3 with abundant *Spiniferites* spp. (55%) and *Operculodinium centrocarpum* (21%) but only frequent *Fromea leos* (4%). The assemblages is distinguished from the more usual *Operculodinium* Superzone microfloras found in the basal Seaspray Group in lacking the consistent and often common occurrence of the species *Dapsilidinium pseudocolligerum*, *Protoellipsodinium simplex* ms and *Pyxidinopsis pontus* ms. Additional taxonomic descriptive work needs to be done to fully document the microplankton assemblages in this zone.

SWC 24 at 3053.6 metres.

Residue recovery from this glauconitic sandstone was sufficient to make only one kerogen slide and one half coverslip oxidised slide. The kerogen slide contained what was interpreted as poorly preserved biodegraded terrestrially derived kerogen whilst the oxidised slide contained what appeared to be the indeterminate reaction product from the chemical processing. The recorded assemblage listed below was very limited and considering the sample was originally poorly cleaned and mud penetrated it is not believed the assemblage is either zone or age diagnostic.

Spore-Pollen

Araucariacites australis	1 specimen
Foraminisporis sp. cf. F. dailyi	(reworked?)
Microplankton	
Cyclopsiella vieta	1 specimen
Operculodinium centrocarpum	1 specimen
Spiniferites spp.	3+ specimens

Trithyrodinium evittii Microplankton Zone:

3055.0 metres Early Paleocene.

Only sufficient residue was recovered from the sample to prepare small filtered and unfiltered fractions of the residue for a single kerogen slide. The assemblage contained the following species:

Spore-Pollen	
Araucariacites australis	1 specimen
Cupressacites pollen	3 specimens (contaminants?)
Dilwynites granulatus	2+ specimens
Gleicheniidites circinidites	l specimen
Latrobosporites amplus	1 specimen
Lygistepollenites florinii	l specimen
Nothofagidites emarcidus	l specimen
Nothofagidites endurus	l specimen
Phyllocladidites mawsonii	2+ specimens
Podocarpidites spp.	3+ specimens
Proteacidites spp.	3+ specimens
Stereisporites antiquisporites	l specimen
Microplankton	
Areoligera senonensis	4 fragmented specimens
Deflandrea speciosus	l fragmented specimen
Histiocysta sp.	2 specimens
Operculodinium sp. cf. O. centrocarpum	1 specimen
Palaeoperidinium pyrophorum	l specimen
Palambages sp.	1 specimen
Spinidinium sp.	l specimen
Spiniferites ramosus	1 specimen
Trithyrodinium evittii	12+ specimens

This limited assemblage of between 50-60 specimens can be assigned to the *T. evittii* Zone on the abundance of the eponymous species (>20% of assemblage) supported by the occurrence of a single specimen of *Palaeoperidinium pyrophorum*. The associated spore-pollen assemblage is not zone diagnostic.

SWC 22 at 3057.1 metres.

The 6.2 grams of the sidewall core processed gave a meagre yield sufficent to prepare only a single 1/2 coverslip kerogen slide. The slide contained mainly large (50-200 μ m diameter) irregular black opaque to semitransulcent kerogen mixed with fine (<10 μ m diameter) irregular blebs which looked like chemical reaction product, together with very minor (<5% of total kerogen on slide)

terrestrial kerogen including palynomorphs. The following limited assemblage was recorded:

Spore-Pollen	
Araucariacites australis	1 specimen
Haloragacidites harrisii	1 specimen
Lygistepollenites balmei	l specimen
Proteacidites clinei ms	1 specimen
Stereisporites antiquisporites	l specimen
Microplankton	
Operculodinium sp. cf. O. centrocarpum	1 specimen
Spiniferites sp.	1 specimen

As this assemblage is a mixture of typical Oligocene, Eocene, Paleocene and Maastrichtian species it is very likely most, if not all, specimens are introduced contaminants from the mud penetrating the friable and poorly cleaned sample. No reliable age determination is possible based on this assemblage.

References

- HELBY, R., MORGAN, R. & PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1987. A palynological zonation of the Australian Mesozoic. *Mem. Ass. Australas. Palaeontols 4*, 1-94.
- LENTIN, J.K. & WILLIAMS, G.L., 1993. Fossil Dinoflagellates: Index to genera and species, 1993 Edition. AASP Contribution Series No. 28, 1-856.
- MACPHAIL, M.K., 1983. Palynological analysis of Pilotfish-1A, Gippsland Basin. Esso Aust. Ltd. Palaeo. Rept. 1983/20, 1-14.
- PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1975. Palynological zonal scheme for the Tertiary of the Bass Strait Basin (Introducing Paleogene Dinoflagellate Zones and Late Neogene Spore-Pollen Zones). Geol. Soc. Aust. Symposium on the Geology of Bass Strait and Environs, Melbourne, November, 1975. Esso Aust. Ltd. Palaeo. Rept. 1975/17 (unpubl.).
- PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1976. The geological expression of eustacy in the early Tertiary of the Gippsland Basin. *APEA J.* 16 (1), 73-79.
- PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1994. Palynological analysis of sidewall cores from Blackback-3, Gippsland Basin. *Biostrata Report 1994/6*, 1-23.
- PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1995. Palynological analysis of core and cuttings near top of Latrobe in Gudgeon-1, Gippsland Basin. *Biostrata Report 1995/8*, 1-10.
- STOVER, L.E. & PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1973. Tertiary and late Cretaceous spores and pollen from the Gippsland Basin, southeastern Australia. *Proc. R. Soc. Vict.* 85, 237-286.
| Table 1: Interpretative Palynomorph Data for Gudgeon-1. | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|---|-----|--|--|--|
| Sample | Depth
Metres | Spore-Pollen Zone
(Microplankton Zone) | *CR | Comments and Key Species Present | | |
| SWC 59 | 3012.1 | P. tuberculatus
(Operculodinium Superzone) | B2 | Microplankton 76%. Several specimens of spore <i>Cyatheacidites annulatus</i> recorded. | | |
| SWC 57 | 3014.0 | P. tuberculatus
(Operculodinium Superzone) | B2 | Microplankton 78%. | | |
| SWC 52 | 3020.0 | P. tuberculatus
(Operculodinium Superzone) | B2 | Microplankton 66%. <i>Foveotriletes lacunosus</i>
and <i>Tuberculodinium vancampoae</i> present
but possible contaminants. | | |
| SWC 48 | 3024.1 | P. tuberculatus
(Operculodinium Superzone) | B2 | Microplankton 82%.
Protoellipsodinium simplex 4%. | | |
| SWC 44 | 3030.1 | P. tuberculatus
(Operculodinium Superzone) | B2 | Microplankton 82%. <i>Pyxidinopsis pontus</i> ms recorded with <i>P. simplex</i> at 3%. | | |
| SWC 39 | 3036.1 | P. tuberculatus
(Fromea leos) | B2 | Microplankton 60%.
<i>Cyatheacidites annulatus</i> still present. | | |
| SWC 24 | 3053.6 | Indeterminate | | Very low yield sample with all recorded palynomorphs being derived from drilling mud contamination. | | |
| SWC 23 | 3055.0 | (T. evittii) | B2 | Common <i>Trithyrodinium evittii</i> with very rare
<i>Palaeoperidinium pyrophorum</i> in very low
recovery sample. | | |
| SWC 22 | 3057.1 | Indeterminate | | Very low yield sample with only seven fossils recorded and all are likely contaminants. | | |

Table 1: Interpretative Palynomorph Data for Gudgeon-1.

*Confidence Ratings

Alpha codes: Linked to sample type

Α	Core
В	Sidewall core
С	Coal cuttings
D	Ditch cuttings
E	Junk basket
F	Miscellaneous/unknown
G	Outcrop

Numeric codes: Linked to fossil assemblage

1	Excellent confidence:	High diversity assemblage recorded with key zone species.
2	Good confidence:	Moderately diverse assemblage recorded with key zone species.
3	Fair confidence:	Low diversity assemblage recorded with key zone species.
4	Poor confidence:	Moderate to high diversity assemblage recorded without key zone species.
5	Very low confidence:	Low diversity assemblage recorded without key zone species.

BASIC DATA

Sample Type	Depth (Metres)	Rec (cm)	Lithology	Sample Wt (g)	Residue Yield
SWC 59	3012.1	4.5	Medium grey claystone. Sample badly broken with salt efflorescence and some mud penetration. Approx. 15mm split off, poorly cleaned.	8.4	Low
SWC 57	3104.0	4.0	Light grey brittle claystone with white flecks (foraminifera?). Sample broken, approx. 10mm of sample split, moderately well cleaned.	9.5	Low
SWC 52	3020.0	4.0	Medium grey claystone. Sample broken into small pieces and powder, which could not be cleaned. Approx. 1/3 split for processing.	11.9	Low
SWC 48	3024.1	5.0	Medium grey brittle claystone. Sample partially broken and mud penetrated, poorly cleaned. Approx. 20mm split for processing.	12.9	Moderate
SWC 44	3030.1	<4.0	Light-medium grey soft claystone. Sample partially broken, minor mud penetration, moderately well cleaned. Approx. 20mm of mainly broken pieces split for processing.	10.8	Very low
SWC 39	3036.1	<4.0	Medium grey claystone. Sample broken, could not be cleaned. Approx. 1/3 split for processing.	8.8	Low
SWC 24	3053.6	>2.5	Glauconitic sandstone with approx. 50% glauconite and 5-10% disseminated pyrite including pyrite nodules. Approx. 1/2 sample split, avoiding pyrite - well cleaned.	7.8	Very low
SWC 23	3055.0	<4.0	Friable glauconitic sandstone with <20% glauconite. Sample all broken-up and could not be cleaned. Approx. 1/3 taken for processing.	13.2	Very low
SWC 22	3057.1	<2.5	Light-medium grey sandstone with clay matrix. Most of sample broken, poorly cleaned. Approx $1/2$ taken for processing.	6.2	Very low

Table 2: Basic Sample Data for Gudgeon-1, Gippsland Basin.

Table 3: Basic Palynomorph Data for Gudgeon-1, Gippsland Basin.

Sample Type	Depth (Metres)	Palynomorph Concentration	Palynomorph Preservation	Number S-P Species	Microplankton Abundance	Number MP Species
SWC 59	3012.1	High	Poor-good	16+	Abundant	14+
SWC 57	3104.0	Moderate	Poor-fair	17+	Abundant	13+
SWC 52	3020.0	High	Poor-good	19+	Abundant	14+
SWC 48	3024.1	High	Poor-fair	15+	Abundant	15+
SWC 44	3030.1	Moderate	Poor	12+	Abundant	13+
SWC 39	3036.1	High	Poor-good	25+	Abundant	14+
SWC 24	3053.6	Very low	Poor	1+	Rare	3+
SWC 23	3055.0	Low	Very poor-fair	12+	Moderate	9+
SWC 22	3057.1	Very low	Poor-good	5+	Rare	2+

	SWC 59	SWC 57	SWC 52	SWC 48	SWC 44	SWC 39
Species	3012.1	3014.0	3020.0	3024.1	3030.1	3036.1
SPORE-POLLEN						
Araucariacites australis	С	>15%	<5%	F	>10%	10%
Baculatisporites spp.	X	Х		Х		Х
Cyatheacidites annulatus	X	Х	X	Х	Х	8%
Cyathidites paleospora	F	Х	>5%	Х	15%	8%
Dacrycarpites australiensis					Х	
Densoisporites n.sp.		Х				Х
Dictyophyllidites arcuatus		-	Х			
Didecitriletes ericianus RW		Х				
Dilwynites granulatus	F	<10%	<5%	F	X	6%
Dilwynites tuberculatus				Х		
Ericipites crassiexinus			Х			
Foveotriletes lacunosus			Х			
Gleicheniidites circinidites	X		x	X	>10%	X
Granulatisporites trisinus RW						X
Haloragacidites harrisii		5%	>5%	X		2%
Ischyosporites irregularis ms	X	X		X	x	
Laevigatosporites ovatus	X					4%
Lycopodiumsporites spp.		X				
Lygistepollenites florinii	X	X	>20%	X		4%
Malvacipollis subtilis			Х			X
Matonisporites ornamentalis		X	Х	X		X
Microcachryidites antacticus	X					
Milfordia homeopunctatus			X			
Myrtaceidites parvus/mesonesus	X					
Nothofagidites asperus						X
Nothofagidites brachyspinulosus				X		4%
Nothofagidites deminutus	X		X			
Nothofagidites emarcidus/heturus	A	X	>10%	>30%	>10%	33%
Nothofagidites falcatus	X					X
Nothofagidites flemingii			1	X		
Periporopellenites polyoratus						X
Peromonolites vellosus						x
Phyllocladidites mawsonii	С	<10%	X		x	
Podocarpidites spp.	С	>15%	>15%		X	6%
Podosporites microsaccatus						X
Proteacidites spp.		5%	>5%			
Pseudowinterapollis couperi	<u>├</u> ───┼				X	

Table 4: Species List for Gudgeon-1, Gippsland Basin.

	SWC 59	SWC 57	SWC 52	SWC 48	SWC 44	SWC 39
Species	3012.1	3014.0	3020.0	3024.1	3030.1	3036.1
Stereisporites antiquisporites		X	>5%			X
Stereisporites australis						X
Tricolpites spp.			·····			4%
Triletes tuberculiformis				Х		X
TOTAL SPORE-POLLEN COUNT	27	24	42	24	26	52
MICROPLANKTON undiff.	4%	3%	<2%	9%	<3%	5%
Achomosphaera alcicornu						x
Achomosphaera ramulifera	2%	4%	<2%	2%	Х	6%
Apteodinium australiense	11%	Х			X	
Botryococcus sp.			Х			X
Crassosphaera concinnia	X			<1%		X
Cyclonephelium n.sp.		Х		11%	Х	X
Dapsilidinium pseudocolligerum	5%	11%	7%	9%	>1%	
Fromea leos ms						4%
Hystrichokolpoma rigaudae	12%		3%	<1%	2%	
Impagidinium spp.		X		Х	1%	
Lejeunecysta sp.						Х
Lingulodinium machaerophorum	<1%	Х	3%	<1%	6%	<2%
Lingulodinium solarum			X	Х	Х	
Nematosphaeropsis balcombiana	X	1.5%	4%	1%		X
Nematosphaeropsis rhizoma ms	4%	6%	Х	1%		<2%
Operculodinium centrocarpum	11%	11%	16%	6%	62%	21%
Protoellipsodinium clavatus ms	X					
Protoellipsodinium simplex ms.	<3%			4%	3%	
Pyxidinopsis pontus ms					X	
Selemophemphix nephroides	1					X
Spiniferites spp.	46%	59%	62%	54%	21%	55%
Systematophora placacantha				<1%		
Tectatodinium scabroellipticus ms	<3%	X	x			
Tectatodinium spp.		X	X			Х
Tuberculodinium vancampoae			X			
TOTAL MICROPLANKTON COUNT	114	123	128	139	162	108
OTHER PALYNOMORPHS						
Fungal spores & hyphae	1%		3%			
Microforaminiferal liners	5%		8%			Х
Scolecodonts	1%		<1%		X	
TOTAL COUNT	149	157	192	169	198	179

Table 4: Species List for Gudgeon-1, Gippsland Basin cont.

RELINQUISHMENT L	JST	-	PALYNOLOGY	SLIDES
------------------	-----	---	------------	--------

WELL NA	ME & NO	D: GUDGEC	DN-1
PREPARE	D BY:	A.D. PAR	TRIDGE
DATE: 30 MAY 1			995 Sheet 1 of 1
SAMPLE TYPE	DEPTH (M)	CATALOGUE NUMBER	DESCRIPTION
SWC 59	3012.1	P196688	Kerogen slide filtered/unfiltered fractions
SWC 59	3012.1	P196689	Oxidised slide 2 - 1/2 cover slip
SWC 57	3014.0	P196690	Kerogen slide filtered/unfiltered fractions
SWC 57	3014.0	P196691	Oxidised slide 2 - 1/2 cover slip
SWC 52	3020.0	P196692	Kerogen slide filtered/unfiltered fractions
SWC 52	3020.0	P196693	Oxidised slide 2 - 1/2 cover slip
SWC 48	3024.1	P196694	Kerogen slide filtered/unfiltered fractions
SWC 48	3024.1	P196695	Oxidised slide 2
SWC 48	3024.1	P196696	Oxidised slide 3
SWC 44	3030.1	P196697	Kerogen slide filtered/unfiltered fractions
SWC 39	3036.1	P196698	Kerogen slide filtered/unfiltered fractions
SWC 39	3036.1	P196699	Oxidised slide 2
SWC 24	3053.6	P196700	Kerogen slide filtered/unfiltered fractions
SWC 24	3053.6	P196701	Oxidised slide 2 - 1/2 cover slip
SWC 23	3055.0	P196702	Kerogen slide filtered/unfiltered fractions
SWC 22	3057.1	P196703	Kerogen slide filtered fraction - 1/2 cover slip

Appendix 2

 \sim

APPENDIX



5th Cut A4 Dividers Re-order Code 97052

APPENDIX 2

GUDGEON 1

Quantitative Formation Evaluation

Esso Australia Exploration Depart		
GUDGEON 1 Quantitative Formation		
Petrophysicist: J.M. P October 1995	Phillips	
	Prepared by: Reviewed by:	J.M.Phillips L.J.Finlayson W.S.Dodge

GUDGEON 1 QUANTITATIVE FORMATION EVALUATION

Gudgeon 1 is an exploration well located in the Vic/L6 License area of the Gippsland Basin, offshore Victoria. The well is situated some 6 km ESE of the Mackerel Platform in approximately 270m of water. The primary objective of the well was the clastic reservoir section at the top of Latrobe Group, whilst secondary potential was attributed to the intra-Latrobe section.

The well was drilled by the Ocean Bounty semi-submersible drilling rig with the well path deviated to an average 33 degrees to facilitate intersection of the primary and secondary targets in near crestal structural positions.

The top of Latrobe Group was intersected at 3051m MDKB some 28m low to prognosis. Based on cuttings shows, two cores were cut over the interval 3063.0-3088.0m MDKB. The well was drilled to 3283m MDKB at which point drilling problems were encountered. A basic suite of open hole wireline logs (DLL-MSFL-LDL-CNL-NGT) was run from this depth up to 13 3/8" casing shoe (1160m). The well was subsequently drilled to a total depth of 3836m MDKB.

An attempt to run an FMI-DSI-DLL-MSFL-AMS-GR combination tool as the first log in the total depth logging run resulted in sticking of the tool in the hole. All fishing attempts were unsuccessful. The tool string was pushed to bottom, with the subsequent logging runs restricted to a maximum depth of 3738m MDKB.

The well was drilled using an 8% KCl polymer (prehydrated polyacrylamide) mud system with 4% Glycol added for control of the Lakes Entrance Formation. The impact (if any) of Glycol on the wettability characteristics of the core cut at Gudgeon 1 has, at this stage, not been resolved.

All depths quoted are Measured Depth KB.

DATA

Logs Acquired

Schlumberger MAXIS	Suite 1:-	AS-LDT-GR	1126-341m
	Suite 2:-	DLL-MSFL-LDL-CNL-NGT	3279-1117m
	Suite 3:-	SLS-DLL-MSFL-GR VSP Survey MDT-GR	3737-3200m 17 levels 25 pretests 3 samples
		SHDT-GR CST-GR LDL-CNL-NGT	3250-2945m 60 shots 3734-3200m

h:\ex\tsdmisc\report\jmp:ljw\jmp01.doc\27 October, 1995\page 2 of 6

Core Acquired

- Core 1 : 3063m 3081m (drillers depths) :- Log depth = core depth + 2.54m Cut 18m: Recovered 17.1m
- Core 2 : 3081m 3088m (drillers depth) :- Log depth = core depth + 2.54m Cut 7m; Recovered 4.0m

Log Quality

The well bore between 13 3/8" casing shoe and the top of Latrobe Group remained open for a considerable length of time due to the target total depth (some 800m below the top Latrobe) and time spent rectifying drilling problems and fishing operations. Consequently, the Lakes Entrance Formation proved troublesome with respect to rugosity and ledge development. The well bore over the Lakes Entrance Formation exhibited a pronounced ovoid character. Hole conditions throughout the Latrobe Group section however, were generally good to excellent with only minor overgauge zones and minor ledges (evident within the upper Latrobe section and providing numerous zones of uneven tension). Consequently, log quality is generally good throughout the Latrobe Group section, with the exception of portions of the near top of Latrobe Group where tension pulls infer the data (Resistivity measurements in particular) is less than optimum. For this reason, the repeat section resistivity curves were spliced into the main log over the interval 3100m to 3075m.

Neutron/density data was recorded in high resolution mode from 3734m to 3000m. Both MSFL and Caliper data are invalid from 3661m to total depth due to poor tool orientation in the deviated borehole from pickup point. The tool realigned itself in the long axis above 3661m and returned valid data from this depth.

Log Processing

- Suite 2 and 3 data (both resistivity and neutron/density) were spliced at 3258m to provide continuous curve data for the intended interpretted interval.
- LLD and LLS resistivity curves from both suites were environmentally corrected for borehole and invasion effects using Esso's SOLAR Environmental Correction package. The micro resistivity log (MSFL) was corrected at the wellsite (MSFC) and needed no further corrections.
- The high resolution wellsite density data was processed in house using SOLAR ALPHA processing as a filter.
- Suite 2 and 3 NGT data was acquired at the wellsite using barite corrections (CBAR) of 0.93 and 0.84 respectively. Later processing of these data in Schlumberger's computing centre used barite corrections of 0.962 and 0.96 for Suites 2 and 3 respectively. Kalman filtering was also applied to the NGT data

h:\ex\tsdmisc\report\jmp:ljw\jmp01.doc\26 October, 1995\page 3 of 6

INTERPRETATION

Logs Used

GR, HNRHOB, HNPO, MSFC, LLDC, LLSC, NGT, HPEF

Analysis Parameters

a	1
m	1.85
n	2
Apparent Shale Porosity (PHISH)	0.15
Shale Resistivity (RSH)	15 ohmm
Formation Water Resistivity (RW)	0.07 ohmm
Bottom Hole Temperature	89 DEGC

Mineralog

Chip samples at metre intervals were taken from Cores 1 and 2 at the wellsite and forwarded to Corelab (Perth) for Mineralog analysis (The Mineralog analytical technique is based on the infrared absorption of a finely ground sample dispersed in a potassium bromide matrix). The results (listed in Table 2) were used to confirm mineralogies used in the SOLAR generated Total Porosity model. In addition, 9 side wall core samples from the Latrobe Group section were sent to Exxon Production Research Co. in Houston for mineralogical analysis (using Exxon's proprietary Minquant technique), as a further quality control (results listed in Table 3). In summary, the main minerals present within the cored interval were identified by Mineralog to be Quartz, Plagioclase, Potassium Feldspar, Kaolinite, Illite/Smectite and minor amounts of Pyrite. Up to 23 volume% of Potassium Feldspar was identified together with up to 50 volume% of Illite/Smectite and up to 15 volume% Kaolinite. Total clay values of between 4 to 61 volume % and calculated for the cored interval.

Porosity

Total porosity was derived from the LASER programme using a 5 mineral porosity model based on Quartz, Potassium Feldspar, Iillite, Kaolinite and Pyrite over the interval 3052m to 3270m. Dolomite was added to the model below 3270m (see Table 5 for petrophysical resposes of these minerals). Effective porosity was derived from total porosity using the clay bound water volume data generated from the LASER model. Comparison of Core porosity with Log porosity (PHIT - from LASER) shows a reasonable match (+/- 4 P.U. - see Figure 3). There is no apparent pattern to the

h:\ex\tsdmisc\report\jmp:ljw\jmp01.doc\26 October, 1995\page 4 of 6

variability observed, with log porosity reading both high and low to core porosity, although, a better match is observed within the cleaner sand intervals (further comparison with a PHIT from the CLAM programme did not yield a significantly better match). The drying method used in the core analysis suggests that core porosity should be measuring something less than total porosity, particularly in the shaley sections of core, therefore an exact match should not be expected. The effect on core porosity and permeability of whole mud invasion (which has been identified from thin section work conducted on the Gudgeon cores) may also be contributing to the imperfect match of core and log porosities.

Shale Volume vs Clay Volume

Shale volume was not derived from the LASER programme. LASER solves the log response equations based on formation mineralogy. Shale is a term which indicates grainsize but not mineralogy (clay vs silt). LASER computes both wet clay volume and clay bound water pore volume from modelled mineral responses using the neutron, density, NGT and HPEF log responses. The wet clay volume was used in the Total Water Saturation estimation whilst clay bound water pore volume was used to derive Effective Porosity and Effective Water Saturation.

Free Formation Water Resistivity

Free formation water resistivity was derived from RWA calculations in clean water sands. The value selected (Rw = 0.07 ohmm) equates to a salinity of 35,000 ppm NaCl (equiv) and is consistent with produced water in the region. No fresh water flushing is interpreted to occur at this location.

Water Saturations

Total water saturation was calculated using Laser derived total porosity in the Dual Water programme DWGP. Effective porosity and effective water saturation were calculated using the Laser generated Clay Bound Water Volume.

RESULTS

1. The Top of Latrobe Group (primary objective) was intersected at 3051m MDKB some 28m low to prognosis. The Top of Latrobe Gp was marked by the occurrence of hydrocarbon fluorescence, described as 10% pinpoint to patchy, moderately bright pale yellow and together with higher than background gas levels was the basis for cutting two cores. The upper 5m of Latrobe Gp consisted of fine to medium grained quartz sand with common glauconite and trace to common kaolinitic matrix. This was underlain by a predominantly coarse to granule sized quartz sand with common glauconite, kaolinite and feldspar (from mineralog analysis). Below this interval (3055m to 3066m (Log) a low net to gross section consisting of shale, minor coal and thin sands was encountered.

h:\ex\tsdmisc\report\jmp:ljw\jmp01.doc\27 October, 1995\page 5 of 6

A blocky coarse to granule sized sand is present at 3081m (Log), below the low net to gross coastal plain section.

2. Wireline samples were obtained from 3058.6m and 3084m KB (Log) and confirmed the presence of a 43.8 degree API oil column.

3. Log analysis identifies an OOWC at 3088m MDKB and total net oil pay of 20.9m (measured thickness) using a porosity cut off of 12% (see Table 1). The net pay is distributed primarily between the upper and lower coarse grained sand units described in section 1 above, with a minor amount of net pay identified within the low net to gross section separating the two main sands.

4. High average water saturations (above 60% Sw) are calculated in the uppermost portion of the reservoir (3052.5m-3057.4m) and are attributed to probable irreducible water contained within microporosity associated with the common glauconite and kaolinite exhibited by this interval. As the sand cleans up, as seen on density-neutron separation over the interval 3057.5m - 3066m, the average water saturation decreases to 28% (see Table 1).

5. No hydrocarbons are interpretted within the section below 3088m MDKB to total depth

Attached are the following presentations of results:

Table 1 - Summary of Results

- Table 2 Mineralog Analysis
- Table 3 Minquant Analysis
- Table 4 Well data listing (Log analysis listing each metre)
- Table 5 LASER Modelling Parameters
- Figure 1 Density-Neutron Crossplot

Figure 2 - Log Analysis Graphical Summary

Figure 3 - Core to Log porosity comparison

Appendix 1 - Desription of LASER forward modelling

Attachment 1 - Gudgeon 1 Log Analysis Depthplot

Attachment 2 - Gudgeon 1 Laser Mineral Model Output



TABLE 1

GUDGEON 1 LOG ANALYSIS

Summary Of Results

Depths are in metres Measured Depth

Net Porosity Cut Off = 12%

GROSS METRES	NET METRES	NET:GROSS	MEAN VWCLAY	MEAN POROSITY	MEAN Sw	FLUID TYPE
10						
			0.13	0.16	0.66	oil
8.5	8.5	100%	0.04	0.18	0.28	oil
5.4	1.2	23%	0.19	0.14	0.75	oil
2.2	0	0%	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3.1	1.3	42%	0.14	0.15		oil
7.2	6.4	88%	0.04	0.17	0.37	oil
OOWC = 3088r	n					
7.5	7.5	100%	0.03	0.20	1.00	water
10.2	9.7	95%	0.06	0.18		water
112.4	106.8	95%				water
4.8						water
10.6	10					water
•	METRES 4.9 8.5 5.4 2.2 3.1 7.2 OOWC = 3088r 7.5 10.2 112.4 4.8	METRESMETRES 4.9 3.5 8.5 8.5 5.4 1.2 2.2 0 3.1 1.3 7.2 6.4 OOWC = 3088m 7.5 7.5 10.2 9.7 112.4 106.8 4.8 3.3	METRESMETRES 4.9 3.5 72% 8.5 8.5 100% 5.4 1.2 23% 2.2 0 0% 3.1 1.3 42% 7.2 6.4 88% OOWC = 3088m 7.5 7.5 100% 10.2 9.7 95% 112.4 106.8 95% 4.8 3.3 68%	METRESMETRESVWCLAY 4.9 3.5 72% 0.13 8.5 8.5 100% 0.04 5.4 1.2 23% 0.19 2.2 0 0% 0.00 3.1 1.3 42% 0.14 7.2 6.4 88% 0.04 OOWC = 3088m7.5 7.5 100% 0.03 10.2 9.7 95% 0.06 112.4 106.8 95% 0.04 4.8 3.3 68% 0.13	METRESMETRESWetresWater 4.9 3.5 72% 0.13 0.16 8.5 8.5 100% 0.04 0.18 5.4 1.2 23% 0.19 0.14 2.2 0 0% 0.00 0.00 3.1 1.3 42% 0.14 0.15 7.2 6.4 88% 0.04 0.17 OOWC = 3088m 7.5 7.5 100% 0.03 0.20 10.2 9.7 95% 0.06 0.18 112.4 106.8 95% 0.04 0.17 4.8 3.3 68% 0.13 0.17	METRESMETRESVWCLAYPOROSITYSw 4.9 3.5 72% 0.13 0.16 0.66 8.5 8.5 100% 0.04 0.18 0.28 5.4 1.2 23% 0.19 0.14 0.75 2.2 0 0% 0.00 0.00 0.00 3.1 1.3 42% 0.14 0.15 0.59 7.2 6.4 88% 0.04 0.17 0.37 OOWC = 3088m7.5 7.5 100% 0.03 0.20 1.00 10.2 9.7 95% 0.06 0.18 1.00 112.4 106.8 95% 0.04 0.17 1.00 4.8 3.3 68% 0.13 0.17 1.00

CORE LABORATORIES MINERALOG ANALYSIS VOLUME %*

COMPANY: ESSO AUSTRALIA LTD. WELL NAME: GUDGEON #1 WELL LOCATION: AUSTRALIA SAMPLE TYPE: CORE CHIPS

FILE NO.: PRP-95013 DATE: 21-Apr-95 ANALYST: D. BEER

DEPTH	GRAIN DENS. INDEX	QUARTZ	PLAGIOCLASE	K-FELDSPAR	CALCITE	DOLOMITE	PYRITE	TOTAL CLAY	KAOLINITE	CHLORITE	ILL + SMEC
CORE 1										·	
3063.00	2.63	71	0	22	0	0	0	7	0	0	7
3064.00	2.69	42	0	16	0	0	1	41	14	Ő	27
3065.00	2.66	42	4	13	0	0	0	41	16	Õ	25
3066.00	2.69	40	6	15	0	0	1	38	14	Ő	24
3067.00	2.70	42	0	15	0	0	2	41	11	0	30
3068.00	2.70	43	0	24	0	0	2	31	6	0 0	25
3069.00	2.67	54	0	23	0	0	1	22	3	0	19
3070.00	2.70	47	0	23	0	0	2	28	5	0	23
3070.40	2.66	75	0	18	0	0	1	6	0	0 0	6
3072.00	2.68	54	0	10	0	0	1	35	9	0	26
3073.00	2.63	41	22	9	0	0	0	28	2	Õ	26
3074.00	2.73	23	12	3	0	0	2	60	11	õ	49
3075.00	2.64	47	17	6	0	0	0	30	1	õ	29
3076.00	2.67	69	0	17	0	· 0	1	13	0	Ő	13
3077.00	2.69	61	0	14	0	0	1	24	5	Ő	19
3078.00	2.74	42	0	11	0	0	3	44	13	0	31
3079.00	2.67	75	0	16	0	0	1	, 8	0	0 0	8
3080.10	2.64	76	0	16	1	0	0	7	0	Õ	7
CORE 2							_		Ũ	Ū	'
3081.00	2.64	67	0	18	5	0	0	10	0	0	10
3082.00	2.65	76	0	15	0	0	1	8	0	0	8
3083.00	2.65	76	0	16	0	0	1	7	0	0	7
3084.00	2.67	77	0	16	Õ	0	1	6	0	0	6
3084.50	2.64	78	0	17	1	0	0	4	.0	0	4

* Values calculated using mineral densities

supplied by ESSO Aust. Ltd.

Core Laboratories - Perth

TABLE 2 MINERALOG ANALYSIS

MINQUANT ANALYSIS

.

well depth plug # XRD# phases	Gudgeon-1 3060.1 21 G95A01	Gudgeon-1 3136 19 G95A02	Gudgeon-1 3236 17 G95A03	Gudgeon-1 3257.5 16 G95A04	Gudgeon-1 3305.5 14 G95A05
quartz	83	86	64	55	49
K-feldspar	8	4	4	6	7
plagioclase	2	1	3	3	3
pyrite	trace	1	1	2	4
hematite siderite	2	1	1	1	2
calcite	trace	trace	trace	1	trace
dolomite	Indee	1	trace	•	11000
apatite					
anatase	trace	trace	trace	trace	1
barite	trace	trace	trace	trace	trace
sylvite	trace	2	6	5	3
halite	trace				
total non-clay	95	96	79	73	69
kaolinite	1	2	4	10	11
chlorite		1	1	3	4
Illite smectite	1 2	1	8	7	8 8
Illite/smectite	2	1	5	1	0
total clay	4	5	20	27	31
SUM	99	101	99	100	100

TABLE 3

MINQUANT ANALYSIS

•

well depth plug # XRD# phases	Gudgeon-1 3503 11 G95A06	Gudgeon-1 3522 8 G95A07	Gudgeon-1 3525.1 7 G95A08	Gudgeon-1 3566.1 4 G95A09	
quartz	46	67	71	66	
K-feldspar	8	7	9	8	
plagioclase	5	3	3	2	
pyrite	1		trace	3	
hematite		1	1	2	
siderite					
calcite	1	- 4	1	trace	
dolomite		trace	3	1	
apatite					
anatase	trace	trace	trace	trace	
barite	trace	1	trace	trace	******
sylvite	9	3	3	2	
halite					
total non-clay	70	86	91	84	
kaolinite	9	trace	1	5	
chlorite	8	5	trace	2	
illite	7	1	trace	6	
smectite	7	7	8	4	
illite/smectite					
total clay	31	13	9	17	
SUM	101	99	100	101	

TABLE 3A

GUDGEON_1

Well Data Listing

DEPTH	GR	RT	RHOB	NPHI	VSH	PHIE	SWE
(mRKB)	api	ohmm	g/cc	frac	frac	frac	frac
3050.0	46	N	2.567	0.165	Nul	0.000	0.000
3051.0	45	N	2.723	0.164	Nul	0.000	0.000
3052.0	47	1.9	3.207	0.185	Nul	0.000	0.000
3053.0	90	2.6	2.593	0.202	0.214	0.076	1.000
3054.0	72	3.4	2.453	0.194	0.142	0.168	0.687
3055.0	49	4.6	2.415	0.171	0.080	0.170	0.598
3056.0	51	4.7	2.449	0.145	0.068	0.157	0.646
3057.0	66	12.1	2.530	0.123	0.103	0.108	0.522
3058.0	38	24.0	2.353	0.145	0.020	0.187	0.245
3059.0	37	33.9	2.368	0.136	0.036	0.171	0.216
3060.0	31	31.7	2.424	0.086	0.028	0.135	0.284
3061.0	45	30.7	2.318	0.118	0.026	0.182	0.219
3062.0	54	23.4	2.302	0.156	0.023	0.197	0.237
3063.0	60	14.4	2.320	0.154	0.036	0.190	0.309
3064.0	63	14.5	2.250	0.183	0.039	0.219	0.269
3065.0	72	9.6	2.329	0.193	0.082	0.179	0.384
3066.0	63	6.8	2.502	0.107	0.087	0.117	0.676
3067.0	139	15.5	2.606	0.189	0.434	0.000	1.000
3068.0	125	12.0	2.529	0.199	0.412	0.000	1.000
3069.0	95	5.4	2.461	0.203	0.245	0.062	0.888
3070.0	95	4.8	2.450	0.193	0.236	0.071	0.825
3071.0	93	4.3	2.452	0.212	0.209	0.096	0.786
3072.0	92	4.2	2.404	0.186	0.182	0.124	0.719
3073.0	85	3.7	2.464	0.221	0.168	0.112	0.864
3074.0	39	5.7	1.744	0.312	Co	bal	
3075.0	89	12.5	2.491	0.219	Co	bal	
3076.0	77	8.9	2.488	0.225	0.231	0.062	0.843
3077.0	100	11.1	2.589	0.270	0.365	0.000	1.000
3078.0	77	12.1	2.533	0.158	0.221	0.057	0.894
3079.0	43	5.5	2.429	0.153	0.077	0.164	0.563
3080.0	77	6.9	2.503	0.187	0.240	0.064	0.832
3081.0	80	8.6	2.533	0.239	0.244	0.062	0.844
3082.0	37	10.1	2.422	0.117	0.050	0.156	0.440
3083.0	33	25.8	2.385	0.111	0.046	0.156	0.266
3084.0	21	24.7	2.396	0.111	0.040	0.158	0.272
3085.0	20	27.0	2.401	0.107	0.019	0.168	0.256
3086.0	14	14.7	2.422	0.110	0.018	0.164	0.358
3087.0	16	6.9	2.388	0.159	0.022	0.194	0.449
3088.0	17	2.0	2.385	0.129	0.018	0.183	0.890
3089.0	17	1.4	2.403	0.125	0.010	0.181	1.000
3090.0	20	1.8	2.371	0.105	0.016	0.187	0.931
3091.0	18	1.2	2.357	0.161	0.042	0.195	1.000
3092.0	14	1.2	2.356	0.141	0.026	0.196	1.000
3093.0	15	1.1	2.320	0.166	0.020	0.223	1.000
3094.0	17	1.0	2.350	0.172	0.023	0.220	1.000
3095.0	8	1.2	2.350	0.130	-0.000	0.206	1.000
3096.0	41	1.1	2.451	0.127	0.104	0.131	1.000
3097.0	61	4.1	2.477	0.114	0.130	0.109	0.920
3098.0	68	5.6	2.534	0.202	0.169	0.113	0.694
3099.0	30	2.0	2.395	0.107	0.044	0.161	0.984
3100.0	26	1.7	2.360	0.150	0.033	0.194	0.915
3101.0	30	1.7	2.317	0.162	0.033	0.214	0.833
3102.0	41	1.8	2.411	0.129	0.053	0.156	1.000
3103.0	26	1.3	2.319	0.160	0.028	0.214	0.971

		GUDGEO	1 (pa	ge 2 of	data lis	ting)	
DEPTH	GR	RT	RHOB	NPHI	VSH	PHIE	SWE
(mRKB)	api	ohmm	g/cc	frac	frac	frac	frac
	•		•				
3104.0	24	1.1	2.355	0.142	0.015	0.204	1.000
3105.0	31	1.2	2.357	0.140	0.025	0.192	1.000
3106.0	33	1.4	2.320	0.184	0.045	0.196	0.980
3107.0	30	1.9	2.418	0.113	0.023	0.153	1.000
3108.0	41	2.2	2.431	0.132	0.076	0.156	0.960
3109.0	104	7.2	2.534	0.277	0.351	0.000	1.000
3110.0	47	2.3	2.183	0.508	0.144	0.299	0.498
3111.0	23	1.0	2.299	0.168	0.017	0.227	1.000
3112.0	23	1.0	2.380	0.167	0.005	0.216	1.000
3113.0	21	1.2	2.372	0.138	0.012	0.196	1.000
3114.0	22	1.0	2.357	0.165	0.006	0.226	1.000
3115.0	23	1.0	2.361	0.141	0.014	0.200	1.000
3116.0	25	1.1	2.371	0.174	0.012	0.220	1.000
3117.0	30	1.8	2.439	0.111	0.043	0.142	1.000
3118.0	24	1.8	2.396	0.113	0.040	0.157	1.000
3119.0	25	1.5	2.394	0.127	0.035	0.170	1.000
3120.0	19	1.1	2.510	0.173	0.017	0.197	1.000
3121.0	20	1.2	2.386	0.143	0.018	0.189	1.000
3122.0	26	1.3	2.483	0.138	0.032	0.167	1.000
3123.0	32	1.0	2.288	0.156	0.016	0.213	1.000
3124.0	35	1.2	2.378	0.134	0.027	0.186	1.000
3125.0	33	1.7	2.411	0.115	0.024	0.170	1.000
3126.0	21	1.0	2.443	0.137	-0.000	0.205	1.000
3127.0	26	1.0	2.339	0.151	0.023	0.202	1.000
3128.0	26	1.1	2.350	0.163	0.009	0.223	1.000
3129.0	24	0.9	2.391	0.171	0.024	0.206	1.000
3130.0	28	1.1	2.375	0.156	0.027	0.201	1.000
3131.0	24	1.0	2.476	0.153	0.017	0.192	1.000
3132.0	28	1.1	2.456	0.155	0.001	0.210	1.000
3133.0	23	1.0	2.402	0.158	0.027	0.196	1.000
3134.0	26	1.1	2.377	0.166	0.023	0.208	1.000
3135.0	23	1.1	2.421	0.140	0.018	0.184	1.000
3136.0	21	1.2	2.431	0.124	0.012	0.179	1.000
3137.0	19	1.0	2.464	0.159	0.021	0.188	1.000
3138.0	25	1.0	2.503	0.147	0.031	0.173	1.000
3139.0	24	1.1	2.425	0.137	0.009	0.201	1.000
3140.0	47	1.1	2.396	0.153	0.075	0.177	1.000
3141.0	71	1.3	2.382	0.199	0.121	0.172	1.000
3142.0	83	1.5	2.374	0.184	0.167	0.140	1.000
3143.0	84	1.8	2.330	0.153	0.151	0.152	1.000
3144.0	68	1.3	2.350	0.187	0.071	0.181	1.000
3145.0	51	1.4	2.354	0.185	0.050	0.187	1.000
3146.0	25	1.5	2.393	0.105	0.015	0.167	1.000
3147.0	24	1.0	2.345	0.149	0.018	0.208	1.000
3148.0	20	0.9	2.340	0.150	0.010	0.216	1.000
3149.0	23	0.8	2.309	0.165	0.011	0.230	1.000
3150.0	23	0.9	2.347	0.149	0.017	0.204	1.000
3151.0	27	1.0	2.349	0.155	0.026	0.203	1.000
3152.0	28	1.1	2.395	0.134	0.040	0.167	1.000
3153.0	21	1.2	2.406	0.130	0.000	0.203	1.000
3154.0	24	1.2	2.367	0.150	0.030	0.180	1.000
3155.0	25	1.3	2.366	0.122	0.020	0.181	1.000
3156.0	24	1.2	2.348	0.123	0.011	0.186	1.000
3157.0	27	1.1	2.346	0.134	0.015	0.190	1.000
3158.0	30	1.3	2.375	0.129	0.027	0.174	1.000
3159.0	33	1.2	2.353	0.132	0.028	0.181	1.000



		GUDGEO	N1(pa	ige 3 of	data lis	ting)	
DEPTH	GR	RT	RHOB	NPHI	VSH	PHIE	SWE
(mRKB)	api	ohmm	g/cc	frac	frac	frac	frac
••••••••			0,				
3160.0	35	1.1	2.331	0.158	0.029	0.195	1.000
3161.0	35	1.2	2.307	0.147	0.021	0.203	1.000
3162.0	23	1.1	2.346	0.129	0.009	0.190	1.000
3163.0	21	1.2	2.373	0.118	0.016	0.177	1.000
3164.0	24	1.4	2.446	0.101	0.010	0.164	1.000
3165.0	22	1.2	2.369	0.130	0.016	0.185	1.000
3166.0	25	1.5	2.406	0.099	0.027	0.153	1.000
3167.0	25	1.4	2.373	0.137	0.029	0.173	1.000
3168.0	20	1.5	2.383	0.115	0.021	0.168	1.000
3169.0	18	1.6	2.384	0.098	0.032	0.160	1.000
3170.0	19	1.4	2.528	0.116	0.049	0.134	1.000
3171.0	22	1.3	2.587	0.117	0.002	0.154	1.000
3172.0	24	1.4	2.523	0.098	0.029	0.137	1.000
3172.0	31	1.7	2.391	0.096	0.021	0.157	1.000
3173.0	21	1.7	2.502	0.106	0.021	0.182	1.000
3175.0	20	1.5	2.467	0.094	0.058	0.140	1.000
	20	2.0		0.101	0.020	0.120	
3176.0			2.461			0.152	1.000
3177.0	49	1.9	2.433	0.090 0.120	0.035		1.000
3178.0	46	1.6	2.348		0.014	0.170	1.000
3179.0	53	1.1	2.265	0.184	0.030	0.219	1.000
3180.0	48	1.1	2.251	0.182	0.024	0.223	0.996
3181.0	49	1.0	2.275	0.188	0.029	0.219	1.000
3182.0	51	1.1	2.322	0.160	0.029	0.203	1.000
3183.0	46 57	1.0	2.302	0.181	0.037	0.208	1.000
3184.0	56	1.4	2.350	0.164	0.054	0.185	1.000
3185.0	67 (5	1.9	2.482	0.129	0.102	0.126	1.000
3186.0	65	1.7	2.371	0.149	0.066	0.159	1.000
3187.0	69	1.4	2.339	0.157	0.067	0.167	1.000
3188.0	68	1.6	2.361	0.156	0.067	0.174	1.000
3189.0	71	2.1	2.435	0.144	0.085	0.149	1.000
3190.0	71	1.9	2.363	0.153	0.074	0.163	0.981
3191.0	59	1.6	2.327	0.169	0.040	0.194	0.946
3192.0	67	1.7	2.369	0.133	0.039	0.165	1.000
3193.0	64	1.6	2.384	0.133	0.044	0.158	1.000
3194.0	62	2.3	2.457	0.098	0.031	0.129	1.000
3195.0	64	1.5	2.366	0.153	0.035	0.173	1.000
3196.0	53	1.3	2.298	0.154	0.019	0.201	1.000
3197.0	57	1.3	2.323	0.164	0.029	0.195	1.000
3198.0	63	1.5	2.376	0.145	0.056	0.160	1.000
3199.0	68	1.7	2.347	0.148	0.066	0.163	1.000
3200.0	62	1.7	2.370	0.150	0.073	0.151	1.000
3201.0	73	1.8	2.377	0.136	0.088	0.143	1.000
3202.0	64	1.9	2.382	0.130	0.063	0.156	1.000
3203.0	74	1.9	2.362	0.128	0.059	0.155	1.000
3204.0	67	2.0	2.394	0.122	0.044	0.149	1.000
3205.0	57	2.1	2.367	0.132	0.027	0.162	0.977
3206.0	58	2.0	2.391	0.119	0.030	0.153	1.000
3207.0	47	2.5	2.433	0.108	0.031	0.136	1.000
3208.0	20	2.4	2.449	0.073	0.025	0.125	1.000
3209.0	23	2.0	2.434	0.093	0.043	0.129	1.000
3210.0	24	1.6	2.372	0.105	0.036	0.168	1.000
3211.0	21	3.0	2.485	0.064	0.028	0.111	1.000
3212.0	23	2.5	2.464	0.075	0.024	0.118	1.000
3213.0	22	2.7	2.511	0.065	0.027	0.108	1.000
3214.0	20	2.1	2.453	0.093	0.032	0.136	1.000
3215.0	15	2.3	2.455	0.085	0.030	0.131	1.000

		GUDGEON	l_1 (pa	ge 4 of	data lis	ting)	
DEPTH	GR	RT	RHOB	NPHI	VSH	PHIE	SWE
(mRKB)	api	ohmm	g/cc	frac	frac	frac	frac
3216.0	17	2.3	2.486	0.088	0.037	0.122	1.000
3217.0	19	2.5	2.459	0.062	0.018	0.127	1.000
3218.0	22	2.7	2.414	0.079	0.019	0.137	1.000
3219.0	22	2.7	2.432	0.078	0.016	0.136	1.000
3220.0	19	2.6	2.469	0.070	0.040	0.110	1.000
3221.0	31	2.0	2.396	0.101	0.037	0.149	1.000
3222.0	93	2.0	2.495	0.095	0.228	0.044	1.000
3223.0	9 8	2.7	2.442	0.147	0.250	0.049	1.000
3224.0	98	3.4	2.462	0.130	0.224	0.043	1.000
3225.0	67	2.2	2.383	0.157	0.118	0.146	0.990
3226.0	66	1.7	2.377	0.174	0.109	0.168	1.000
3227.0	64	1.5	2.313	0.172	0.073	0.190	0.959
3228.0	92	1.8	2.442	0.146	0.181	0.103	1.000
3229.0	98	2.3	2.392	0.156	0.190	0.107	1.000
3230.0	114	3.2	2.464	0.150	0.303	0.021	1.000
3231.0	121	2.8	2.372	0.176	0.319	0.018	1.000
3232.0	103	2.4	2.412	0.172	0.256	0.056	1.000
3233.0	9 9	3.2	2.439	0.155	0.217	0.078	0.955
3234.0	109	4.5	2.514	0.155	0.267	0.033	1.000
3235.0	102	3.0	2.450	0.158	0.239	0.059	1.000
3236.0	114	2.2	2.436	0.117	0.251	0.044	1.000
3237.0	79	1.5	2.324	0.164	0.095	0.177	1.000
3238.0	77	1.5	2.376	0.130	0.077	0.163	1.000
3239.0	88	1.6	2.366	0.174	0.130	0.165	1.000
3240.0	88	1.8	2.383	0.160	0.134	0.153	1.000
3241.0	86	2.1	2.401	0.152	0.143	0.140	1.000
3242.0	93	2.6	2.410	0.139	0.157	0.125	1.000
3243.0	80	1.6	2.378	0.183	0.096	0.175	1.000
3244.0	49	1.8	2.347	0.121	0.042	0.175	0.956
3245.0	73	2.4	2.411	0.159	0.098	0.153	0.923
3246.0	68	2.2	2.428	0.157	0.092	0.158	0.935
3247.0	104	3.4	2.419	0.176	0.273	0.036	1.000
3248.0	112	4.1	2.428	0.157	0.291	0.030	1.000
3249.0	110	3.4	2.467	0.173	0.305	0.024	1.000
3250.0	112	3.5	2.480	0.171	0.336	0.007	1.000
3251.0	97	3.9	2.445	0.167	0.254	0.051	0.999
3252.0	90	3.7	2.448	0.165	0.244	0.059	0.976
3253.0	102	3.1	2.412	0.162	0.231	0.088	0.863
3254.0	104	3.8	2.494	0.163	0.281	0.035	1.000
3255.0	102	3.2	2.418	0.184	0.225	0.087	0.871
3256.0 3257.0	108 124	4.9	2.450	0.167	0.232	0.071 0.000	0.832
3258.0	126 83	4.4 3.1	2.566	0.185 0.143	0.360 0.172	0.108	1.000 0.989
3259.0	62	1.7	2.435 2.392	0.143	0.081	0.108	1.000
3260.0	52	1.6	2.351	0.137	0.058	0.145	1.000
3261.0	20	1.5	2.350	0.145	0.028	0.181	1.000
3262.0	41	1.8	2.442	0.132	0.089	0.141	1.000
3263.0	27	1.4	2.365	0.118	0.016	0.174	1.000
3264.0	31	1.5	2.372	0.122	0.023	0.168	1.000
3265.0	41	1.8	2.440	0.098	0.024	0.135	1.000
3266.0	61	2.7	2.478	0.132	0.088	0.139	0.962
3267.0	77	2.2	2.334	0.211	0.098	0.186	0.794
3268.0	70	2.3	2.452	0.194	0.105	0.142	1.000
3269.0	69	1.8	2.327	0.150	0.057	0.176	0.955
3270.0	76	1.6	2.355	0.150	0.074	0.170	1.000
3271.0	80	1.4	2.332	0.166	0.063	0.173	1.000
•							



		GUDGEON	I1 (pa	ae 5 of	data lis	tina)	
DEPTH	GR	RT	RHOB	NPHI	VSH	PHIE	SWE
(mRKB)	api	ohmm	g/cc	frac	frac	frac	frac
(millio)	up i	•••••	3,				
3272.0	82	1.5	2.318	0.174	0.073	0.182	1.000
3273.0	91	1.7	2.385	0.154	0.109	0.151	1.000
3274.0	75	1.5	2.284	0.182	0.059	0.201	0.935
3275.0	103	1.6	2.372	0.167	0.138	0.149	1.000
3276.0	62	1.6	2.300	0.154	0.043	0.194	0.928
3277.0	78	1.8	2.518	0.115	0.160	0.092	1.000
3278.0	85	4.5	2.566	0.187	0.253	0.040	1.000
3279.0	78	4.5	2.440	0.197	0.187	0.102	0.786
3280.0	83	5.0	2.552	0.213	0.258	0.052	0.984
3281.0	78	4.6	2.594	0.139	0.193	0.063	1.000
3282.0	88	5.2	2.508	0.230	0.199	0.096	0.747
3283.0	65	1.2	2.246	0.166	0.037	0.218	0.957
3284.0	52	1.3	2.321	0.164	0.008	0.224	0.919
3285.0	59	1.6	2.404	0.155	0.046	0.173	1.000
3286.0	67	2.5	2.396	0.195	0.071	0.170	0.836
3287.0	70	3.0	2.387	0.158	0.078	0.178	0.722
3288.0	85	4.2	2.485	0.204	0.187	0.114	0.756
3289.0	63	2.2	2.472	0.187	0.114	0.154	0.947
3290.0	69	3.1	2.440	0.183	0.124	0.139	0.865
3291.0	46	2.2	2.398	0.129	0.041	0.169	0.901
3292.0	49	2.9	2.471	0.085	0.062	0.113	1.000
3293.0	59	2.9	2.473	0.099	0.096	0.115	1.000
3294.0	54	2.5	2.393	0.158	0.089	0.157	0.879
3295.0	49	2.9	2.447	0.103	0.074	0.127	0.997
3296.0	59	2.3	2.391	0.156	0.071	0.156	0.930
3297.0	85	5.7	2.536	0.171	0.226	0.057	0.960
3298.0	92	6.8	2.535	0.218	0.299	0.027	1.000
3299.0	86	4.0	2.467	0.166	0.229	0.074	0.862
3300.0	90	2.8	2.438	0.222	0.210	0.094	0.924
3301.0	86	3.5	2.559	0.177	0.235	0.055	1.000
3302.0	67	3.8	2.472	0.135	0.125	0.110	0.965
3303.0	67	3.8	2.426	0.125	0.102	0.134	0.812
3304.0	90	6.3	2.507	0.152	0.236	0.051	0.996
3305.0	96	6.6	2.519	0.182	0.263	0.041	1.000
3306.0	78	2.1	2.460	0.191	0.167	0.135	1.000
3307.0	50	1.4	2.338	0.141	0.030	0.182	1.000
3308.0	43	1.4	2.329	0.147	0.031	0.187	1.000
3309.0	44	1.5	2.369	0.139	0.032	0.172	1.000
3310.0	48	2.1	2.435	0.103	0.053	0.132	1.000
3311.0	44	2.0	2.391 2.408	0.124 0.113	0.047	0.155 0.143	1.000
3312.0 3313.0	47 51	2.1 2.1	2.408	0.115	0.056 0.052	0.145	1.000 1.000
3314.0	52	2.0	2.398	0.119	0.061	0.138	1.000
3315.0	57	2.0	2.454	0.096	0.073	0.149	1.000
3316.0	51	1.9	2.375	0.112	0.045	0.159	1.000
3317.0	50	1.6	2.340	0.154	0.054	0.183	0.975
3318.0	55	1.6	2.381	0.134	0.066	0.150	1.000
3319.0	62	4.3	2.503	0.076	0.112	0.083	1.000
3320.0	53	2.8	2.442	0.086	0.078	0.121	1.000
3321.0	50	2.0	2.400	0.098	0.066	0.137	1.000
3322.0	56	2.4	2.440	0.090	0.069	0.121	1.000
3323.0	54	2.9	2.492	0.092	0.093	0.097	1.000
3324.0	55	2.9	2.479	0.093	0.086	0.113	1.000
3325.0	52	2.4	2.461	0.092	0.072	0.118	1.000
3326.0	49	2.4	2.430	0.106	0.063	0.129	1.000
3327.0	52	2.5	2.460	0.086	0.071	0.111	1.000

		GUDGEON	_1 (pag	ge6of	data list	ting)	
DEPTH	GR	RT	RHOB	NPHI	VSH	PHIE	SWE
(mRKB)	api	ohmm	g/cc	frac	frac	frac	frac
3328.0	54	3.2	2.503	0.089	0.090	0.099	1.000
3329.0	51	2.8	2.475	0.096	0.078	0.113	1.000
3330.0	51	3.1	2.474	0.091	0.080	0.107	1.000
3331.0	47	2.8	2.478	0.089	0.082	0.105	1.000
3332.0	49	3.2	2.463	0.086	0.077	0.112	1.000
3333.0	48	3.4	2.512	0.085	0.082	0.102	1.000
3334.0	48	2.8	2.478	0.107	0.077	0.115	1.000
3335.0	49	2.4	2.447	0.100	0.065	0.123	1.000
3336.0	51	2.5	2.446	0.096	0.062	0.121	1.000
3337.0	49	2.0	2.409	0.119	0.064	0.142	1.000
3338.0	50	2.5	2.423	0.093	0.058	0.129	1.000
3339.0	49	2.7	2.453	0.098	0.068	0.122	1.000
3340.0	48	2.7	2.468	0.089	0.076	0.108	1.000
3341.0	49	3.0	2.464	0.082	0.085	0.105	1.000
3342.0	50	3.6	2.511	0.083	0.104	0.091	1.000
3343.0	54	4.1	2.519	0.077	0.106	0.082	1.000
3344.0	44	2.6	2.461	0.094	0.051	0.123	1.000
3345.0	48	2.8	2.452	0.091	0.054	0.123	1.000
3346.0	50	2.3	2.442	0.109	0.057	0.131	1.000
3347.0	56	2.5	2.471	0.092	0.062	0.126	1.000
3348.0	46	2.7	2.484	0.087	0.081	0.108	1.000
3349.0	63	3.2	2.413	0.082	0.082	0.142	0.852
3350.0	100	5.6	2.521	0.169	0.298	0.019	1.000
3351.0	99	5.1	2.540	0.167	0.288	0.022	1.000
3352.0	101	4.0	2.505	0.160	0.269	0.036	1.000
3353.0	108	5.2	2.567	0.168	0.334	0.005	1.000
3354.0	103	5.1	2.511	0.161	0.297	0.023	1.000
3355.0	108	5.2	2.526	0.175	0.312	0.015	1.000
3356.0	96	3.6	2.443	0.151	0.250	0.053	0.995
3357.0	100	5.2	2.507	0.164	0.262	0.043	1.000
3358.0	91	3.9	2.476	0.170	0.237	0.062	0.955
3359.0	97	4.1	2.506	0.163	0.250	0.046	1.000
3360.0	98	4.6	2.534	0.151	0.266	0.031	1.000
3361.0	101	5.4	2.509	0.176	0.286	0.027	1.000
3362.0	94	4.2	2.523	0.144	0.272	0.031	1.000
3363.0	102	5.5	2.528	0.163	0.300	0.018	1.000
3364.0	99	4.1	2.468	0.149	0.252	0.046	1.000
3365.0	93	3.5	2.469	0.161	0.233	0.063	0.979
3366.0	91	3.1	2.461	0.179	0.233	0.065	0.996
3367.0	119	7.2	2.637	0.215	0.361	0.000	1.000
3368.0	105	4.2	2.478	0.177	0.296	0.026	1.000
3369.0	111	6.8	2.601	0.200	0.337	0.004	1.000
3370.0	115	2.8	2.388	0.174	0.208	0.095	0.934
3371.0	112	3.4	2.446	0.131	0.177	0.104	0.918
3372.0	114	3.2	2.442	0.182	0.218	0.082	0.927
3373.0	129	5.6	2.581	0.165	0.326	0.002	1.000
3374.0	115	6.9	2.585	0.224	0.328	0.016	1.000
		4.4	2.687	0.195	0.221	0.067	0.916
3375.0 3376.0	110 91	4.4 4.1	2.007	0.195	0.221	0.084	1.000
3377.0	97	2.0	2.382	0.158	0.132	0.084	0.949
3378.0	78	2.0	2.302	0.132	0.132	0.153	0.949
3378.0 3379.0	86	2.3 1.4	2.343	0.139	0.144	0.155	1.000
3380.0	77	2.8	2.437	0.112	0.087	0.124	1.000
3381.0	48	2.8 1.5	2.340	0.133	0.035	0.124	1.000
3382.0	40	1.6	2.349	0.123	0.032	0.130	1.000
3383.0	47	1.5	2.349	0.115	0.032	0.170	1.000
	-71		2.300	0.110	0.010	0.107	

		GUDGE	ON 1 (p;	age 7 of	data lis	ting)	
DEPT	H G		RHOB	NPHI	VSH	PHIE	SWE
(mRK				frac	frac	frac	frac
•	•••		-				
3384	.0 43	3 1.2	2.310	0.152	0.027	0.197	1.000
3385	.0 4	2 1.2	2.311	0.155	0.025	0.195	1.000
3386	.0 4	7 1.3	2.336	0.137	0.022	0.185	1.000
3387	.0 4	7 1.4	2.351	0.126	0.026	0.170	1.000
3388	.0 5	4 1.4	2.342	0. 10 9	0.031	0.171	1.000
3389	.0 7	8 1.4	2.395	0.170	0.099	0.173	1.000
3390	.0 8	5 2.3	2.427	0.168	0.130	0.151	0.937
3391	.0 7	9 2.0	2.396	0.166	0.126	0.157	0.973
3392	.0 6	9 1.4	2.339	0.180	0.092	0.183	1.000
3393	.0 4	7 1.8	2.389	0.132	0.047	0.168	1.000
3394	.0 4	4 1.7	2.393	0.124	0.039	0.160	1.000
3395	.0 39	9 1.3	2.385	0.129	0.024	0.176	1.000
3396	.0 4	0 1.4	2.411	0.123	0.038	0.163	1.000
3397	.0 4	0 1.4	2.348	0.138	0.037	0.181	1.000
3398	.0 4	1 1.5	2.389	0.115	0.019	0.165	1.000
3399	.0 38	B 1.6	2.408	0.107	0.019	0.154	1.000
3400	.0 45	5 1.6	2.367	0.130	0.036	0.170	1.000
3401	.0 38	3 1.8	2.392	0.108	0.029	0.152	1.000
3402	.0 3	7 2.0	2.425	0.093	0.027	0.137	1.000
3403	.0 38	3 1.8	2.428	0.112	0.028	0.148	1.000
3404	.0 44			0. 105	0.033	0.144	1.000
3405				0.087	0.049	0.114	1.000
3406				0.096	0.033	0.142	1.000
3407				0.093	0.035	0.132	1.000
3408				0.095	0.037	0.140	1.000
3409				0.100	0.036	0.138	1.000
3410			2.416	0.094	0.027	0.137	1.000
3411			2.400	0.090	0.021	0.143	1.000
3412.			2.431	0.101	0.032	0.139	1.000 1.000
3413			2.418 2.417	0.100 0.095	0.024 0.034	0.145 0.137	1.000
3414. 3415.			2.417	0.091	0.027	0.137	1.000
3416.			2.434	0.086	0.025	0.134	1.000
3417.			2.532	0.052	0.039	0.085	1.000
3418.			2.388	0.108	0.034	0.155	0.959
3419.				0.127	0.019	0.178	0.991
3420.			2.418	0.102	0.022	0.145	1.000
3421				0.116	0.017	0.159	1.000
3422.			2.404	0.087	0.011	0.141	1.000
3423.			2.472	0.107	0.068	0.115	1.000
3424			2.426	0.086	0.028	0.133	1.000
3425.			2.493	0. 04 9	0.023	0.096	1.000
3426.			2.565	0.037	0.033	0.059	1.000
3427.	.0 25	5 3.9	2.535	0. 051	0.033	0.080	1.000
3428.	.0 36	5 3.2	2.353	0. 105	0.020	0.163	0.778
3429.	.0 34	2.1	2.428	0.098	0.022	0.152	1.000
3430.	.0 35	5 3.3	2.460	0.065	0.018	0.113	1.000
3431.	.0 37	7 3.0	2.444	0.072	0.015	0.126	1.000
3432.	.0 52	2.8	2.477	0.135	0.042	0.148	0.912
3433.			2.483	0.078	0.014	0.120	0.973
3434.			2.592	0.020	0.020	0.052	1.000
3435.			2.521	0.058	0.045	0.084	1.000
3436.			2.531	0.047	0.031	0.084	1.000
3437.				0.038	0.037	0.072	1.000
3438.			2.474	0.058	0.040	0.095	1.000
3439.	.0 6'	2.6	2.455	0.080	0. 04 8	0.110	1.000

		GUDGEON	1 (pa	qe 8 of	data lis	ting)	
DEPTH	GR	RT	RHOB	NPHI	VSH	PHIE	SWE
(mRKB)	api	ohmm	g/cc	frac	frac	frac	frac
3440.0	38	3.6	2.469	0.070	0.029	0.109	1.000
3441.0	33	3.9	2.517	0. 05 3	0.025	0.088	1.000
3442.0	31	3.9	2,475	0.066	0.021	0.109	1.000
3443.0	43	4.0	2,487	0.063	0.023	0.101	1.000
3444.0	40	4.1	2.499	0.057	0.019	0.098	1.000
3445.0	40	4.4	2.498	0.050	0.028	0.088	1.000
3446.0	38	4.1	2.472	0.056	0.025	0.101	1.000
3447.0	50	4.3	2.485	0.072	0.031	0.100	1.000
3448.0	42	3.9	2.512	0.064	0.028	0.094	1.000
3449.0	43	4.0	2.472	0. 064	0.028	0.107	1.000
3450.0	44	4.2	2.509	0.062	0.036	0.089	1.000
3451.0	46	4.3	2.521	0.062	0.038	0.085	1.000
3452.0	44	4.9	2.520	0.054	0.032	0.088	1.000
3453.0	64	5.4	2.523	0.047	0.034	0.076	1.000
3454.0	60	6.4	2.554	0.034	0.032	0.057	1.000
3455.0	63	5.0	2.523	0.057	0.031	0.080	1.000
3456.0	62	4.6	2.496	0.054	0.021	0.090	1.000
3457.0	66	6.6	2.538	0.053	0.045	0.073	1.000
3458.0	60	10.1	2.568	0.031	0.043	0.050	1.000
3459.0	56	7.3	2.521	0.042	0.028	0.071	1.000
3460.0	59	5.2	2.469	0.058	0.029	0.094	1.000
3461.0	55	5.1	2.492	0. 059	0.024	0.097	1.000
3462.0	49	5.4	2.503	0. 055	0.032	0.086	1.000
3463.0	43	5.7	2.533	0.040	0.027	0.076	1.000
3464.0	41	7.1	2.537	0.034	0.025	0.070	1.000
3465.0	49	6.5	2.513	0. 03 9	0.018	0.079	1.000
3466.0	50	4.9	2.520	0.053	0.016	0.090	1.000
3467.0	38	8.2	2.575	0.032	0.045	0.053	1.000
3468.0	40	6.1	2.522	0.052	0.014	0.092	0.959
3469.0	63	4.4	2.430	0.070	0.007	0.120	0.895
3470.0	72	4.5	2.467	0.097	0.038	0.116	0.893
3471.0	55	4.6	2.467	0.082	0.024	0.116	0.893
3472.0	53	4.8	2.489	0.071	0.033	0.104	0.959
3473.0	38	6.8	2.554	0.046	0.052	0.061	1.000
3474.0	31	6.9	2.530	0.046	0.045	0.077	1.000
3475.0	29	6.5	2.530	0.041	0.050	0.070	1.000
3476.0	28	8.2	2.545	0.036	0.027	0.064	1.000
3477.0	40	6.6	2.528	0.048	0.045	0.070	1.000
3478.0	39	6.0	2.513	0.045	0.030	0.077	1.000
3479.0	43	5.4	2.513	0.049	0.042	0.076	1.000
3480.0	45	5.7	2.473	0.080	0.042	0.109	0.830
3481.0	39 79	5.1	2.477	0.074	0.039	0.103	0.930
3482.0 3483.0	38 29	4.6 13.9	2.489 2.608	0.066 0.028	0.040 0.065	0.099 0.034	1.000 1.000
3483.0 3484.0	29	5.1	2.518	0.028	0.041	0.095	1.000
3485.0	29	18.2	2.629	0.006	0.041	0.021	1.000
3486.0	33	12.7	2.499	0.023	-0.000	0.027	0.709
3487.0	34	13.2	2.586	0.017	0.025	0.043	1.000
3488.0	35	16.7	2.561	0.024	0.029	0.056	0.971
3489.0	38	9.4	2.550	0.039	0.039	0.067	1.000
3490.0	37	11.0	2.585	0.026	0.042	0.039	1.000
3491.0	37	9.8	2.556	0.026	0.035	0.058	1.000
3492.0	48	4.5	2.501	0.061	0.044	0.092	1.000
3493.0	61	5.3	2.544	0.047	0.055	0.061	1.000
3494.0	78	4.9	2.458	0.100	0.076	0.112	0.853
3495.0	83	3.8	2.446	0.096	0.077	0.105	1.000

• •

		GUDGEO	N_1 (pa	ge 9 of	data lis	ting)	
DEPTH	GR	RT	RHOB	NPHI	VSH	PHIE	SWE
(mRKB)	api	ohmm	g/cc	frac	frac	frac	frac
3496.0	79	4.1	2.442	0.091	0.044	0.117	0.915
3497.0	78	3.8	2.464	0. 085	0.042	0.111	1.000
3498.0	89	3.0	2.442	0.111	0.054	0.124	1.000
3499.0	100	3.0	2.443	0.118	0.078	0.119	1.000
3500.0	110	3.2	2.447	0.113	0.091	0.112	1.000
3501.0	111	3.0	2.398	0.120	0.088	0.128	0.969
3502.0	115	3.6	2.472	0.118	0.099	0.111	1.000
3503.0	118	6.3	2.495	0.111	0.099	0.097	0.831
3504.0	51	4.4	2.478	0.081	0.049	0.105	0.978
3505.0	46	3.1	2.509	0.097	0.059	0.103	1.000
3506.0	38	7.6	2.572	0.031	0.023	0.065	1.000
3507.0	35	6.7	2.499	0.042	0.019	0.088	0.951
3508.0	41	5.4	2.498	0.048	0.024	0.089	1.000
3509.0	46	4.8	2.519	0. 067	0.032	0.095	1.000
3510.0	34	5.7	2.512	0. 055	0.023	0.094	0.966
3511.0	36	6.2	2.547	0. 04 8	0.028	0.082	1.000
3512.0	39	7.4	2.559	0. 03 3	0.031	0.065	1.000
3513.0	37	11.1	2.561	0.031	0.031	0.057	1.000
3514.0	36	11.8	2.581	0.020	0.022	0.048	1.000
3515.0	42	12.4	2.594	0.027	0.046	0.041	1.000
3516.0	86	3.2	2.414	0.080	0.068	0.113	1.000
3517.0	112	1.9	2.363	0.148	0.131	0.144	1.000
3518.0	121	3.8	2.468	0.128	0.179	0.081	1.000
3519.0	98	4.7	2.500	0.114	0.145	0.082	1.000
3520.0	78	7.6	2.540	0.043	0.081	0.049	1.000
3521.0	72	5.1	2.471	0.065	0.034	0.091	1.000
3522.0	48	5.9	2.546	0. 044	0.050	0.061	1.000
3523.0	44	5.5	2.495	0.050	0.025	0.089	1.000
3524.0	43	5.2	2.502	0.054	0.018	0.094	1.000
3525.0	47	4.5	2.471	0.069	0.025	0.104	0.995
3526.0	46	4.1	2.448	0.072	0.008	0.122	0.907
3527.0	49	3.6	2.447	0.096	0.027	0.128	0.923
3528.0	56	2.8	2.419	0.081	0.025	0.124	1.000
3529.0	58	5.2	2.493	0.061	0.038	0.088	1.000
3530.0	49	23.6	2.545	0.024	0.037	0.052	0.975
3531.0	49	11.6	2.561	0.044	0.059	0.060	0.985
3532.0	53	9.4	2.509	0.038	0.034	0.075	0.909
3533.0	59 70	20.6	2.600	0.032	0.049	0.037	1.000
3534.0	70	5.2	2.496	0.076	0.038	0.094 0.105	1.000
3535.0 3536.0	68 61	4.6 4.9	2.467 2.516	0.072 0.050	0.022 0.016	0.087	0.984 1.000
3537.0	63	4.9	2.485	0.102	0.022	0.087	0.900
3538.0	48	29.0	2.654	-0.003	0.022	0.002	1.000
3539.0	40	17.7	2.602	0.025	0.020	0.049	1.000
3540.0	40 54	6.6	2.571	0.039	0.106	0.047	1.000
3541.0	51	7.2	2.589	0.021	0.064	0.044	1.000
3542.0	48	5.1	2.512	0.082	0.059	0.104	0.908
3543.0	43	8.9	2.617	0.055	0.067	0.058	1.000
3544.0	41	8.1	2.562	0. 04 3	0.044	0.071	1.000
3545.0	45	6.2	2.506	0.062	0.042	0.098	0.875
3546.0	51	5.2	2.469	0.074	0.043	0.103	0.915
3547.0	44	12.0	2.599	0.019	0.033	0.042	1.000
3548.0	56	5.5	2.505	0.057	0.032	0.091	1.000
3549.0	56	5.6	2.513	0.063	0.043	0.084	1.000
3550.0	49	7.0	2.517	0.036	0.027	0.077	1.000
3551.0	38	18.7	2.644	0.009	0.053	0.009	1.000

. •

		GUDGEON	l 1 (pa	ge 10 of	data lis	sting)	
DEPTH	GR	RT	RHOB	NPHI	VSH	PHIE	SWE
(mRKB)	api	ohmm	g/cc	frac	frac	frac	frac
3552.0	43	10.7	2.553	0.041	0.047	0.067	0.937
3553.0	39	7.0	2.538	0.044	0.046	0.068	1.000
3554.0	40	7.6	2.508	0.050	0.040	0.081	0.943
3555.0	41	9.8	2.558	0.030	0.047	0.054	1.000
3556.0	39	21.5	2.703	0.009	0.040	0.002	1.000
3557.0	35	58.3	2.668	0.009	0.039	0.005	1.000
3558.0	65	5.8	2.449	0.093	0. 05 8	0.111	0.795
3559.0	46	12.8	2.642	0. 059	0.067	0.037	1.000
3560.0	42	43.0	2.635	-0.002	0. 05 5	0.020	1.000
3561.0	61	2.3	2.416	0.119	0.097	0.133	1.000
3562.0	128	10.3	2.590	0.240	0.409	0.000	1.000
3563.0	84	3.5	2.535	0.160	0.211	0.073	0.998
3564.0	58	2.3	2.397	0.159	0.083	0.169	0.864
3565.0	60	3.2	2.473	0.110	0.063	0.131	0.934
3566.0	74	6.1	2.590	0.107	0.128	0.070	1.000
3567.0	49	4.7	2.510	0.069	0.080	0.094	1.000
3568.0	48	4.0	2.477	0.079	0.061	0.104	1.000
3 569.0	48	2.5	2.451	0.113	0. 05 3	0.135	1.000
3570.0	55	5.3	2.587	0.078	0.084	0.076	1.000
3571.0	49	1.9	2.420	0.107	0.062	0.138	1.000
3572.0	72	4.3	2.490	0.148	0.096	0.136	0.751
3573.0	53	2.5	2.447	0.132	0. 05 5	0.150	0.939
3574.0	46	2.1	2.402	0.117	0.046	0.145	1.000
3575.0	42	1.8	2.404	0.118	0. 04 3	0.155	1.000
3576.0	42	2.5	2.481	0.082	0.053	0.113	1.000
3577.0	42	1.3	2.305	0.165	0.032	0.203	1.000
3578.0	40	1.2	2.281	0.174	0.020	0.214	1.000
3579.0	42	1.3	2.297	0.168	0.033	0.203	1.000
3580.0	44	1.3	2.317	0.163	0.032	0.198	1.000
3581.0	43	1.3	2.328	0.149	0.027	0.191	1.000
3582.0	26	1.6	2.407	0.127	0.011	0.177	1.000
3583.0	54	1.2	2.291	0.179	0.019	0.213	1.000
3584.0	49	1.2	2.295	0.163	0. 017	0.204	1.000
3585.0	32	1.4	2.351	0.142	0.017	0.186	1.000
3586.0	61 50	1.4	2.297	0.162	0.024	0.202	0.967
3587.0	52	1.9	2.408	0.123	0.037	0.153	1.000
3588.0 3589.0	48 57	2.1 1.7	2.420 2.373	0.099	0.045	0.134	1.000 1.000
3590.0	58	1.4	2.330	0.129 0.155	0.045 0.034	0.160 0.192	1.000
3591.0	61	1.5	2.358	0.137	0.043	0.172	1.000
3592.0	37	1.9	2.407	0.098	0.026	0.149	1.000
3593.0	46	1.8	2.372	0.109	0.020	0.149	1.000
3594.0	48	2.0	2.404	0.113	0.029	0.156	1.000
3595.0	43	3.0	2.523	0.066	0.037	0.100	1.000
3596.0	25	9.9	2.672	-0.003	0.035	0.009	1.000
3597.0	29	5.5	2.480	0.076	0.030	0.109	0.854
3598.0	31	6.6	2.535	0.052	0.028	0.089	0.945
3599.0	30	7.0	2.509	0.043	0.032	0.081	0.995
3600.0	31	10.9	2.582	0.026	0.027	0.060	1.000
3601.0	26	13.1	2.580	0.022	0.037	0.049	1.000
3602.0	27	15.4	2.600	0.017	0.037	0.039	1.000
3603.0	28	8.8	2.558	0.032	0. 03 9	0.060	1.000
36 04.0	38	6.9	2. 52 9	0.039	0.038	0.079	1.000
3605.0	46	4.6	2.498	0. 085	0.060	0.100	0.993
3606.0	45	6.2	2.512	0.065	0.041	0.092	0.932
3607.0	64	10.0	2.560	0.052	0. 07 5	0.052	1.000

		GUDGEO	N_1 (pa	age 11 of	data li	sting)	
DEPTH	GR	RT	RHOB	NPHI	VSH	PHIE	SWE
(mRKB)	api	ohmm	g/cc	frac	frac	frac	frac
	•						
3608.0	55	5.8	2.483	0.040	0.037	0.085	1.000
3609.0	57	3.5	2.449	0.096	0.050	0.120	0.974
3610.0	57	3.7	2.440	0.111	0.048	0.133	0.860
3611.0	66	4.0	2.436	0.104	0.049	0.130	0.848
3612.0	70	4.5	2.438	0.098	0.087	0.113	0.871
3613.0	80	6.1	2.515	0.099	0.139	0.084	0.939
3614.0	79	5.2	2.474	0.081	0.067	0.101	0.918
3615.0	64	6.2	2.544	0.064	0.062	0.088	0.952
3616.0	52	4.3	2.488	0.065	0.042	0.100	1.000
3617.0	37	7.3	2.544	0.039	0.055	0.068	1.000
3618.0	30	14.2	2.624	0.036	0. 057	0.045	1.000
3619.0	36	4.9	2.505	0.054	0.035	0.088	1.000
3620.0	45	4.1	2.462	0.088	0.063	0.113	0.938
3621.0	48	8.1	2.519	0.068	0.079	0.080	0.891
3622.0	28	7.9	2.735	-0.006	0.024	0.001	1.000
3623.0	28	57.0	2.711	-0.012	0.020	0.001	1.000
3624.0	31	47.0	2.631	0.010	0.032	0.022	1.000
3625.0	27	62.7	2.685	0.002	0.015	0.002	1.000
3626.0	54	10.6	2.602	0.032	0.110	0.027	1.000
3627.0	48	4.2	2.471	0.080	0.060	0.106	0.986
3628.0	97	10.9	2.633	0.091	0.228	0.020	1.000
3629.0	63	4.9	2.482	0.085	0.086	0.105	0.909
3630.0	90	7.8	2.534	0.005	0.153	0.079	0.845
3631.0	65	5.8	2.504	0.089	0.064	0.101	0.874
3632.0	57	6.1	2.504	0.035	0.004 0.047	0.102	0.851
3633.0	52	6.5	2.524	0.062	0.035	0.095	0.886
3634.0	56	6.2	2.568	0.080	0.057	0.087	0.969
3635.0	47	5.7	2.466	0.061	0.029	0.107	0.856
3636.0	61	5.3	2.576	0.081	0.029	0.079	1.000
3637.0	65	6.9	2.580	0.085	0.101	0.070	1.000
		9.1		0.043		0.063	1.000
3638.0	51 50	7.8	2.579 2.553	0.043	0.055 0.072	0.079	0.919
3639.0 3640.0	59 54	4.6	2.512	0.077	0.058	0.103	0.973
3641.0	55	4.6	2.499	0.090	0.065	0.103	0.973
3642.0	46	7.8	2.678	0.019	0.060	0.008	1.000
3643.0	27	112.7	2.695	0.006	0.022	0.002	1.000
3644.0	26	174.1	2.706	-0.009	0.022 0.017	0.002	1.000
3645.0	29	59.9	2.661	0.020	0.060	0.001	1.000
3646.0	38	28.1	2.521	0.020	0.030 0.042	0.075	0.505
3647.0	44	11.5	2.536	0.038	0.042	0.073	0.828
3648.0	44	7.4	2.521	0.040	0.052	0.108	0.728
3649.0	54	14.9	2.554	0.032		0.052	0.997
3650.0	50			0.032	0.052 0.063	0.024	1.000
3651.0	42	24.2	2.630		0.040		0.649
3652.0	42 34	43.2	2.533	0.034 -0.004	0.040	0.063	
		137.2	2.640			0.005	1.000
3653.0 3654.0	38 40	56.9 80 3	2.611	0.005 0.005	0.009	0.034	1.000 1.000
	40	80.3	2.618		0.021	0.022	
3655.0 3656 0	31 71	44.2	2.598	0.014	0.035	0.048	1.000
3656.0	31	105.6	2.664	-0.006	0.027	0.002	1.000
3657.0	28	222.4	2.696	-0.009	0.011	0.001	1.000
3658.0	30	115.0	2.669	-0.003	0.028	0.005	1.000
3659.0	29	235.6	2.684	-0.004	0.020	0.001	1.000
3660.0	29	183.3	2.697	-0.004	0.019	0.002	1.000
3661.0	40	131.1	2.670	0.003	0.014	0.001	1.000
3662.0	39 77	103.3	2.684	0.008	0.028	0.003	1.000
3663.0	37	112.4	2.668	0.000	0.028	0.010	1.000

ł

1

		GUDGEON	11 (pag	ae 12 of	data lis	sting)	
DEPTH	GR	RT	RHOB	NPHI	VSH	PHIE	SWE
(mRKB)	api	ohmm	g/cc	frac	frac	frac	frac
3664.0	41	122.9	2.653	0.015	0.066	0.022	1.000
3665.0	41	117.4	2.650	0.022	0.059	0.024	1.000
3666.0	47	-18.3	2.537	0.084	0.028	0.102	1.000
3667.0	73	4.2	2.519	0.084	0.085	0.096	1.000
3668.0	66	4.5	2.534	0.089	0.082	0.086	1.000
3669.0	48	3.3	2.427	0.094	0.038	0.131	0.932
3670.0	51	3.2	2.406	0.099	0.050	0.140	0.885
3671.0	113	8.6	2.553	0.170	0.364	0.000	1.000
3672.0	53	3.2	2.448	0.129	0.075	0.145	0.836
3673.0	49	2.6	2.375	0.139	0.063	0.164	0.847
3674.0	53	2.3	2.368	0.137	0.065	0.165	0.885
3675.0	56	2.5	2.376	0.148	0.075	0.161	0.875
3676.0	107	6.3	2.578	0.187	0.363	0.000	1.000
3677.0	106	11.5	2.629	0.160	0.330	0.005	1.000
3678.0	98	8.5	2.545	0.181	0.285	0.030	1.000
3679.0	104	7.1	2,552	0.190	0.302	0.020	1.000
3680.0	86	6.4	2.506	0.175	0.203	0.083	0.744
3681.0	70	4.5	2.477	0.118	0.093	0.123	0.816
3682.0	68	2.2	2.408	0.148	0.084	0.160	0.924
3683.0	70	5.3	2.497	0.148	0.093	0.141	0.651
3684.0	60	2.4	2.377	0.145	0.075	0.167	0.862
3685.0	58	2.5	2.356	0.126	0.082	0.157	0.884
3686.0	60	2.7	2.394	0.149	0.087	0.157	0.842
3687.0	65	3.0	2.455	0.133	0.089	0.141	0.878
3688.0	97	2.9	2.476	0.125	0.177	0.092	1.000
3689.0	89 85	4.1	2.442	0.149	0.167	0.113	0.823
3690.0	85	5.2 4.2	2.403	0.185 0.152	0.150 0.137	0.153 0.138	0.583 0.732
3691.0 3692.0	83 99	4.2 9.3	2.437 2.572	0.152	0.137	0.032	1.000
3693.0	82	4.1	2.487	0.208	0.149	0.163	0.625
3694.0	61	4.4	2.425	0.181	0.100	0.166	0.617
3695.0	55	2.0	2.357	0.116	0.043	0.167	0.962
3696.0	92	4.4	2.535	0.122	0.148	0.102	0.941
3697.0	58	2.6	2.401	0.138	0.047	0.162	0.865
3698.0	69	3.5	2.549	0.151	0.111	0.116	0.969
3699.0	50	4.3	2.550	0.155	0.099	0.120	0.843
3700.0	35	2.4	2.418	0.107	0.025	0.149	0.984
3701.0	35	1.5	2.331	0.134	0.019	0.184	1.000
3702.0	35	1.6	2.381	0.120	0.029	0.160	1.000
3703.0	30	2.0	2.438	0.093	0.027	0.140	1.000
3704.0	31	2.0	2.424	0.091	0.026	0.137	1.000
3705.0	37	1.8	2.485	0.093	0.027	0.140	1.000
3706.0	32	2.5	2.484	0.079	0.047	0.115	1.000
3707.0	32	3.3	2.480	0.065	0.032	0.110	1.000
3708.0	34	2.9	2.506	0,083	0.037	0.118	1.000
3709.0	37	2.2	2.429	0.099	0.042	0.142	1.000
3710.0	75	4.0	2.521	0.136	0.161	0.098	0.980
3711.0	75	5.6	2.482	0.145	0.121	0.129	0.671
3712.0	72	3.3	2.437	0.146	0.101	0.146	0.812
3 713.0	64	3.9	2.487	0.120	0.105	0.125	0.847
3714.0	49 41	3.8	2.478	0.125	0.059	0.138	0.811
3715.0 3716.0	61 53	3.2	2.474	0.103	0.063	0.123	0.990
3716.0	53 71	2.2	2.388	0.160	0.059	0.175	0.867 0.878
3717.0 3718.0	71 63	3.0 3.7	2.518 2.552	0.183 0.213	0.173 0.140	0.122 0.143	0.878
3718.0	65 29	3.7 1.4	2.352	0.213	0.019	0.145	1.000
JI 17.U	L7	1.4	1001	0.140	0.017	0.175	

		GUDGEON	_1 (pag	ge 13 of	data lis	sting)	
DEPTH	GR	RT	RHOB	NPHI	VSH	PHIE	SWE
(mRKB)	api	ohmm	g/cc	frac	frac	frac	frac
3720.0	30	N	2.373	0.111	Nul	0.171	0.991
3721.0	20	N	2.401	0.098	Nul	0.000	0.000
3722.0	20	N	2.366	0.119	Nul	0.000	0.000
3723.0	31	N	2.420	0.098	Nul	0.000	0.000
3724.0		N	2.371	0.103	Nul	0.000	0.000
3725.0		N	2.403	0.094	Nul	0.000	0.000

Petrophysical Response of Common Minerals LASER Modelling Parameters Gippsland Basin Australia by Wm Scott Dodge Snr

TABLE 5

Mineral Classification	Mineral Name	Chemical Elements	Litho Density	Photoelectric Factor	Photoelectric Factor	Thermal Neutron Porosity	Capture Cross Section	Compressional Transit Time	Propagation Time	Gamma Ray	Potassium	Thorium	Uranium
			(gm/cm3)	barns/electro	n (barns/cm3)	(p.u.)	(c.u.)	(us/metre)	(nsec/m) (@ 100 deg)	(APi)	(wt %)	(ppm)	(ppm)
Silica	Quartz	SiO2	2.64	1.81	4.79	-2.1	4.55	165.3	7.2	0.0	0.00	0.5 -> 6.0	0.1 -> 5.0
	Sphene	CaTiOSiO4	3.48	7.12	24.40	3.6	71.94			> 10000	0.00	100 -> 600	100 -> 700
	Tourmaline	NaMg3Al6B3Si6O27(OH)4	3.03	1.43	4.30	37.4	4347.00			0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
e se stearais t	Zircon	ZrSiO4	4.51	70.04	307.43	0.9	5.52	314.3	NIKIR MUKANANAN INI	> 2800	0.00	50 -> 4000	1450 -> 4600
and the second secon	ى ئەركەر ئەركەر ئەردى ئەردى. مەركەر ئەنبەر ئەردەر ئەردىيەن مىلەر		west to be Ground Sugar							hi	ألكتك كتنك	وسفيقيد فرأر يتشقدهم	الأمينة والمتنبية مرتبها
Feldspar s	Orthoclase	KAISi3O8	2.54	2.86	7.29	-1.1	15.82	175.5	7 -> 8.2	235 -> 275	10.50	0.0	1.2 -> 2.6
	Plagioclase	NaAISi3O8	2.58	1.68	4.34	-1.3	7.64	154.9	7 -> 8.2	4 -> 57	0.30	0.0	1.9 -> 6.0
S. Cal	A State of the second second	<u> </u>	<u> Angela</u>		<u> </u>		1939 (S. A.	<u> </u>			a thing the second state of the	an a	
Micas	Muscovite	KAI2(AISi3O10)(OH)2	2.83	2.40	6.77	16.5	17.06	154.9	8.3 -> 9.4	270.0	8.70	0.0	8.1
	Biotite	K(Mg,Fe)3(AISi3O10)(OH)2	3.20	8.70	27.54	22.5	35.09	162.1	7.2 -> 8.1	275.0	6.95	0.0	2.6 -> 48
an a	at min that have not a second		anie i conteste				2222					all all and a summer of	an a
Carbonates		CaCO3	2.71	5.08	13.76	0.0	7.08	156.0	9.1	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
	Dolomite	CaMg(CO3)2	2.85	3.14	8.91	0.5	4.70	149.3	8.7	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
	Siderite	FeCO3 Ca(Fe,Mg)(CO3)2	3.91 3.08	14.51 8.44	55.56 25.77	12.9 5.7	52.80 26.90	143.7 144.3	8.7	< 5.0 0.0	0.00 0.00	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0
a) an is an	Ankerite	Cu(re, My)(CC3)2	3.00 Maasaa Ma	0.44	20.77	5.7	20.90	144.5	939-0-92-92-92-92-92-92-92-92-92-92-92-92-92-				
a (mining and the second		sound a house south ridents and better lister balances I when our a hear that	- 00	16.97	00.06		<u> </u>	102.2			Sector State with the summer	and a summer was a summer	man in a source the last
Sulphides	Pyrite	FeS2	5.00	10.97	82.25	-1.9	90.52	123.3	Banda a seconda	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
	والمراجعة المستحد العا	an a na an ann an an an an an an an an a	an in out in the second	Las Americano a classi del	al and a surger had	in the second	an a see a see		alata a ser a s	بالمنابية وترويع والمحالية المحفظ	and the set of the set	بالمتعقوب استغلال	. يېمخان د م
Phosphates	Fluorapatite	Ca5(PO4)3F	3.21	5.82	18.48	-0.2	10.23	147.0	Barthala Carrier an	120 -> SAT	0.00	0.0	47 -> 62
											and an an and the second s		na an taon an t
Coals	Lignite	C H0.849 N0.015 O0.211	1.23	0.20	0.27	54.2	12.90	525.0	water in the second	10 -> 25	0.00	0.0	0
S. Subable Barrow	an a sa an an thair a saine antar bailean a sa			Carrie Contract	and the second				alle states	<u>der mere</u>		بديدوده المعدف سأستستحك	بالتوج وأربيت المعاد معارضه المنفو
Clays	Kaolinite	AI4(SI4O10)(OH)8	2.62	1.70	4.46	45.1	13.04	694.6	8.0	80 -> 130	0.49	7 -> 47	1 -> 12
lllites	llite	K.8(AI1.6Fe.2Mg.2)(Si3.4AI.6)O10(OH)2	2.77	3.03	8.37	15.8	16.74		8.0	130 -> 235	4.91	8 -> 25	1->5
~ "	Glauconite	K.7(Fe.7A11.3)(Si3.3AI.7)O10(OH)2	2.85 2.11	4.79 2.11	13.60 4.53	17.5 50.0	20.89 13.97		8.0	155 -> 210 140.0	5.10	2 -> 8	0.0
Smectites		Na.33(A11.67Mg.33)(Si4O10)(OH)2 + 4H2O (Mg5AI)Si3AIO10(OH)8	2.11	1.39	3.71	42.8	13.97		8.0 8.0	140.0	0.38 0.00	10 -> 22 3 -> 8	≀->4 0.0
Chlorites	Mg Chlorite Fe Chlorite	(Fe5AI)Si3AIO10(OH)8	3.40	12.36	41.43	42.0 >60	47.44		8.0	180 -> 250	0.00	3->8	0.0
See Secolation Ac			NA WAR	AND PROVIDENT			NA WAR		0.0 6780-29799			i den sabar	0.0
Fluids	1. Wanter all Burg. Manhorman Same	H2 O	1.00	0.44	0.40	CANALANCA Z	22.00	620.0	26	0	0.00	0.0	0
Fiulds		H2O(0.965) NaCl(0.035)	1.02	0.61	0.54		32.00	620.0	20 42	0	0.00	0.0	0
		H2O(0.93) NoCI(0.07)	1.05	0.85	0.74		46.00	620.0	53	ŏ	0.00	0.0	Ő
		1120(0.70) 1130(0.07)		0.00	0.7-1		-10.00	020.0	00	Ŭ	0.00	0.0	Ū
Notes:	Reference: Schlu	mberger 1990 Element Mineral Rock Catal	og								Con	nmon LASER M	lodel
	Reservoir sands p	rimary constituent is quartz with secondary	potassium f	eldspar grains.							Structural Gra	ins	
		iotite are present and commonly decompo										Quartz	
		ys assosciated with micas are Chlorite, Illite									ļ	Potassium Føl	
		issociated with Pyrite from the decompositi			n kaolinite and i	lite.					Structural & A	uthigenic Clay	's
		inerals of Zircon and Tourmaline are visible i										Kaolinite	
		on develops micro/secondary porosity. Ka			tion.							Mixed layer II	lite-Smectite
		inerals causing saturated GR responses: Zirc		1							Name atte	Glauconite	4-4
	Radioactive Isoto	opes: Potassium 40, Thorium 232, Uranium 23	0		•						Diagenetic C	ements/Precip	vitates
Version 1: 16	(11/02											Dolomite	
Version 1: 16 Version 2: 18												Calcite Pyrite	
Version 2: 16 Version 3: 22											1	Siderite	
												0,00110	

Version 3: 22/12/94 Version 4: 14/07/95 (EXCEL)

24/07/95 GIPPSMIN.XLS

DENSITY - NEUTRON CROSSPLOT











LOG ANALYSIS GRAPHICAL SUMMARY



CORE/LOG POROSITY COMPARISON

APPENDIX 1

Description of LASER Forward Modelling

Description of LASER Forward Modelling

The Log Analysis Statistical Evaluation Resource (LASER) is a tool in the Exxon System Of Log Analysis Resources (SOLAR) which performs log analyses for porosity, fluid saturations and mineralogy. Any combination of open and or cased hole logs can be incorporated into a LASER analysis model. LASER allows the petrophysicist to build a model as simple or complex as the problem requires.

LASER operates on principles similar to those found in several commercial log analysis programmes. The first of these is GLOBAL, introduced by Schlumberger in 1980. These programmes use the method of least-squares inversion, an advanced data analysis technique that has been used extensively in geophysical applications.

The LASER forward model is built using results from petrology, x-ray diffraction and scanning electron micrographs which measure the mineralogy within the Gudgeon reservoirs.

Log Response Equations

Each log is considered separately and detailed equations are used to relate the log responses to formation properties. Answers are obtained by solving the equations simultaneously.

Formation Description

In LASER models, the formation is described in terms of a set of bulk volume fractions of pore fluids and matrix constituents. Reservoir properties such as porosity and saturations are calculated from these bulk volume variables.

Model Consistency and Quality Control

Every complex log analysis technique sometimes distorts log data to produce physically meaningful answers. For example, when a computer programme calculates a water saturation greater than 100 percent and then restricts the answer to 100 percent, the effective resistivity has been increased relative to the measured resistivity. LASER computes these effective values as reconstructed logs that are plotted along side the measured logs to check the consistency of the model.

LASER Model

LASER models are files maintained in the SOLAR database. Each model consists of six tables that completely describe a set of formation variables and a set of log response equations.

The primary formation variables are the unknowns of the LASER analysis. Solutions for these variables are the main outputs of the analysis. The variables for most LASER models include a set of bulk volume fractions of matrix solids and pore fluids that together, make up the total formation bulk volume.

Reservoir properties such as porosity and water saturation may not be included among the primary variables however, such properties can be computed as auxiliary variables from the primary variables.

The equations used to solve the primary variables are called constraint equations. Every constraint equation needed to solve the variables in a LASER model must be defined explicitly. Each log used in the analysis imposes one constraint (the forward model equation). The number of constraints must be greater than or equal to the number of primary variables. Each constraint equation contains a number of parameters. For example, the parameters for the density constraint is the density of each formation and fluid variable.

Constraint uncertainty is associated with every forward model function, since these functions can never predict the logs they represent with complete accuracy. In LASER these uncertainties are taken into account in the least-squares solution procedure and they can also be used to estimate the error in the solutions.
PE603428

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE603428 is enclosed within the container PE900910 at this location in this document.

The enclosure PE60	3428 has the following characteristics:
ITEM_BARCODE =	PE603428
CONTAINER_BARCODE =	PE900910
NAME =	Mineral Abundance Log
BASIN =	GIPPSLAND
PERMIT =	VIC/L6
TYPE =	WELL
SUBTYPE =	WELL_LOG
DESCRIPTION =	Laser Mineral Model Output log for
	Gudgeon-1. Attachment 2 to Appendix 2
	of WCR Vol 2.
REMARKS =	
$DATE_CREATED =$	31/10/1995
$DATE_RECEIVED =$	13/11/1995
W_NO =	W1120
WELL_NAME =	GUDGEON-1
CONTRACTOR =	
CLIENT_OP_CO =	ESSO AUSTRALIA LIMITED
(Inserted by DNRE -	Vic Govt Mines Dept)

PE600731

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE600731 is enclosed within the container PE900910 at this location in this document.

The enclosure PE600731 has the following characteristics: ITEM_BARCODE = PE600731 CONTAINER_BARCODE = PE900910 NAME = Computer Generated Log BASIN = GIPPSLAND PERMIT = TYPE = WELLSUBTYPE = WELL_LOG DESCRIPTION = Computer Generated Log (enclosure from WCR) for Gudgeon-1 REMARKS = $DATE_CREATED = 08/11/1995$ $DATE_RECEIVED = 13/11/1995$ $W_NO = W1120$ WELL_NAME = Gudgeon -1CONTRACTOR = ESSOCLIENT_OP_CO = ESSO (Inserted by DNRE - Vic Govt Mines Dept)

Appendix 3



I

5th Cut A4 Dividers Re-order Code 97052

APPENDIX 3

GUDGEON 1

MDT Analysis

~

Gudgeon-1 MDT Interpretation

VIC/L6 Bass Strait Ocean Bounty 2nd & 3rd May 1995

> Mike Scott Reservoir Technology Production Department

•

Contents

1.0 Summary

2.0 Pressure Survey (Run #1)

3.0 Fluid Sampling (Run #2)

4.0 Preliminary Fluid Analysis Results

Appendix 1: Figure 1 - Gudgeon-1 Full MDT Dataset

Figure 2 - Gudgeon-1 Hydrocarbon Sands

Figure 3 - MDT Run 1 Configuration

Figure 4 - MDT Run 2 Configuration

Figure 5 - Gudgeon-1 Fingerprint Analysis

Appendix 2: MDT Pressure Data Sheets

MDT Sampling Sheets

Onsite sample analysis summary

1.0 Summary

Gudgeon-1 was drilled during March/April 1995 and evaluated in April/May 1995. The well is located in VIC production licence L6 at 5735998.2 m North and 627987.5 m East (Longitude: 148 28' 4.97"E, Latitude: 38 30' 54.26"S) and lies approximately 12 km south-east of MKA in 279.4 metres of water.

Gudgeon-1 is a deviated well with a maximum well deviation of 36.2 degrees. The well encountered hydrocarbons at 3053.8 m MDRKB (2833.3 m TVDSS) and preliminary log analysis demonstrated that there is an OWC at 3087.7 m MDRKB (2861.3 m TVDSS). Within the 33.9 m MD reservoir zone there are three distinctive intervals; an upper sandstone reservoir (12.1 m MD), a middle shaly interval (15.5 m MD) and a lower sandstone reservoir (6.3 m MD). The upper and lower sandstone reservoirs are good clean sandstones with 13-19% porosity and 100-1000+ md permeability (demonstrated from onsite core plugs and MDT). The middle shaly interval has less than 10% effective porosity, high clay content and a variable permeability profile; generally tight and less than 50 md.

The MDT obtained 25 pressure survey points during Run #1 (22 apparently good, 1 tight but acceptable, 1 tight and aborted and 1 potentially supercharged) and 3 hydrocarbon fluid samples during Run #2 (2x1 gallon and 1x6 gallon).

The conclusions of the MDT survey are:

- 1. The two main reservoir sands in Gudgeon-1 appear not to be in full pressure communication. The lower sand is 14 psi higher pressure than the upper sand.
- 2. The log OWC of 3054 m MDRKB (2861.3 m TVDSS) in the lower reservoir sand is confirmed by the MDT pressure survey.
- 3. No OWC can be determined for the upper reservoir sand.
- 4. Pressures taken within the middle shaly interval lie between the upper and lower reservoir pressures.
- 5. Gudgeon-1 appears to be 100 psi drawn down when compared to the original aquifer gradient and approximately 20 psi drawn down when compared to the Blackback-3 aquifer gradient obtained in 1993.
- 6. Offsite fluid analysis reports the GOR of the preserved fluid samples from the upper and lower reservoir intervals to be 39 scf/stb and 29 scf/stb respectively. Compared to other reservoirs in the Bass Strait this reported GOR is very low. There is no data from the sampling or laboratory analysis to suggest that the fluid samples are anomalous.

7. Offsite fluid analysis also suggests that there is zero H₂S in the upper reservoir sand and 260 ppm of H₂S in the lower reservoir sand. The 1 gallon fluid sample chambers were made from titanium alloy and the tested fluid is considered to be representative of the fluids in the reservoir. Although the quantities of gas liberated from the 1 gallon oil samples is small (approximately 0.7 cuft) the results of the laboratory analysis are considered to be valid.

Onsite H_2S analysis via drager tubes, on the gas liberated from the 6 gallon sample chamber, confirms the zero H_2S concentration in the upper reservoir. The 6 gallon chamber is constructed from 17.4 pH stainless steel.

8. The preliminary offsite laboratory fluid analysis may suggest that the upper and lower reservoir hydrocarbons vary slightly in composition. The upper sand hydrocarbon composition would appear to have a higher gas content and lower wax content than the lower sand. These differences are not thought to be due to sampling error.

2.0 Pressure Survey (Run #1)

The MDT pressure survey was run on 2nd May 1995. From a total of 25 survey points, 22 were apparently good, 1 tight but acceptable, 1 tight and aborted and 1 potentially supercharged. The full pressure dataset is shown in Figure 1 and the hydrocarbon zone interpretation in Figure 2.

Figure 1 shows that there is good vertical aquifer communication with an average 1.415 psi/m gradient established over approximately 150 m. A 1.415 psi/m gradient may be considered slightly too low for this area (Blackback=1.43 psi/m, Mackerel=1.42 psi/m) and may indicate that the upper aquifer sands are at a slightly higher pressure than the lower aquifer sands, when compared at the same datum depth. If a gradient of 1.42 psi/m was adopted instead of the calculated 1.415 psi/m this would not have an impact on the Gudgeon-1 MDT interpretation.

As can be seen from Figure 2, the log OWC at 3054 m MDRKB (2861.3 m TVDSS) is confirmed by the pressure interpretation in the lower reservoir sand.

As can also been seen from Figure 2, the upper oil sand is at a lower pressure than the aquifer pressure. Because of this, no OWC can be determined for the upper oil sand.

The middle shaly interval of the reservoir generally demonstrates pressures between the upper and lower reservoir pressure systems.

Figure 3 demonstrates the MDT tool configuration for Run #1. As can be seen from Figure 3, the tool was run in pressure sampling mode only. An onsite decision was made to run the tool in this mode because of poor and deteriorating hole conditions.

The pressure survey sheets are detailed in Appendix B.

3.0 Fluid Sampling (Run #2)

Because of deteriorating hole conditions, the MDT tool experienced a 1600 lbs overpull whilst pulling out of the hole on Run #1. Because of this overpull, the tool had to be carefully configured for Run #2 and a limitation had to be placed on the number of sample chambers that the tool could carry. The weight limitation was imposed so that the weak point would not be overstressed if a similar overpull occurred whilst sampling. Onsite tool weight calculations demonstrated that two 1 gallon chambers, one 6 gallon chamber plus the additional MDT sampling accessories (pump out sub and optical fluid analyser) would take the tool weakpoint up to it's maximum safe working limit if an overpull was experienced during the sampling run. The final tool configuration for Run #2 is demonstrated in Figure 4.

Three samples were therefore obtained in the Gudgeon-1 well. The tool was initially set at 3084 m MDRKB (2858.3 m TVDSS) and a one gallon chamber was filled. The tool was then set at 3058.6 m MDRKB (2837.3 m TVDSS) and a 6 gallon chamber and then a 1 gallon chamber was filled. All samples are considered to be valid and representative of the reservoir fluids.

The MDT pump out module and optical fluid analyser were used successfully during the sampling operations to ensure that mud filtrate was flushed from the formation and high quality hydrocarbon samples were obtained. Appendix B details the sample pressure data history.

The one gallon samples were preserved for offsite PVT analysis.

The six gallon sample was transferred on-site to a 20 litre sample bottle for further potential off-site rheology tests. To ensure that the sample was transferred above the pour point with no wax dropout, a hot water bath was constructed for the MDT tool from scrap rig tubulars. The MDT tool was immersed in the hot water bath vertically and heated to 45 degC. The tool was then removed from the hot bath and the fluids transferred to the 20 litre sample bottles via a positive displacement Spraque hand pump.

4.0 Preliminary Fluid Analysis Results

Because of the low GOR in the hydrocarbon samples, no on-site Tutweiler or Orsat tests could be performed. However, from the small amount of gas that was liberated from the 6 gallon cylinder, drager tubes indicated that there was zero concentrations of H2S and low concentrations (<1%) of CO2.

A summary of the onsite analysis by Core Laboratories is shown in Appendix B.

The preliminary results of the offsite PVT analysis by Core Laboratories in Perth provides the following data:

Indicator	Units	MDT#19	MDT#71
Depth	m MDRKB	3084.0	3058.6
API	degrees	44.7	43.3
GOR	scf/stb	39.0	29.0
Во	rb/stb	1.066	1.06
H ₂ S	ppm	260	0
CO ₂	MOL%	0.26	0.15
Pour Point	Degrees C	24	29
Wax	wt%	12.97	23.35
Fingerprint analysis	see Figure 5	more light ends when compared to MDT#71	more heavy ends when compared to MDT#19

As can be seen from the above table, the GOR of the two 1 gallon samples is very low. However, there were no indications during the reservoir sampling to suggest that the samples may be anamolous. In addition, discussions with Core Laboratories in Perth reveal that there were no fluid handling or laboratory problems which would invalidate the data. As a comparison; Mackerel, 12 km to the north-west, has a GOR of 190 scf/stb and Blackback, 8 km to the south-east, has a GOR of 1750 scf/stb. The central fields, Halibut, Cobia and Fortescue have GOR's of approximately 50 scf/stb and Kingfish is approximately 250 scf/stb.

Because of the low GOR in the Gudgeon crude, only a small volume of gas could be liberated from each of the 1 gallon MDT chambers (approximately 0.7 cuft). Discussions with Corelab reveal that this small volume did not cause a problem during the H_2S testing. Tutweiler and Drager tests only require 100cc of gas and therefore the H_2S results are considered to be valid.



(mts/restech/GD1_PLOT.XLS Chart 1/3:33 PM/26/06/95)



Figure 2 - Gudgeon-1 Hydrocarbon Sands

(mts/restech/GD1_PLOT.XLS Chart 3/12:24 PM/3/07/95)

Figure 3 - MDI Run 1-Pressure Sampling Only Probe Type: Long Nose

SURFACE EQUIPMENT

MRTM-AA 69 GSR-U TCM-AB



Figure 4 - MDT Run 2 Fluid Sampling Only Probe Type: Martineau

SURFACE EQUIPMENT

MRTM-AA GSR-U TCM-AB

DOWNHOLE EQUIPMENT





Figure 5 - Gudgeon-1 Fingerprint Analysis

1

(mts/ResTech/GD_1FING.XLS Chart 1/11:16 AM/28/06/95)

Appendix 2:

--

•

. .

MDT Pressure Data Sheets

MDT Sampling Sheets

Onsite sample analysis summary

.

ESSO AUSTRALIA LTD - PRESSURE DATA FORM

Well				Gudgeon-1				Page			1 of		4	
Date		. <u> </u>		2-May-95				Geologist-Engineer			Mike Scott/John Phill	ips/Jon Elli	ott	
Tool Type	(MDT, F	LFT)		Schlumberger	MDT			KB (metres):			25.3 (12-1/4" hole)			
Gauge Typ	be			CQG/Sapphire				Probe type			Long nose			
Pressure un	nits (psia,	, psig)		PSIA			•	Temperature units (deg	F, degC)		degC			
Run-S	Seat	Dep	oth	Initial		Time	Minimum	Formation	Temp	Time	Final	Delta	Comments	
Numl	ber	m MDRKB	m TVDSS	Hydrostati	ic	Set	Flowing	Pressure		Retract	Hydrostatic	Time	Including Test Quality	
	P-Proton			Pressure	1	(HH:MM)	Pressure			(HH:MM)	Pressure	(MM:SS)	and Fluid Type.	
	E-Sample			1 Г	PPg			PPg			PPg	1		
1/1	Р	3058.6	2837.3	5231.0	10.72	13:21	4001.7	4005.90	77.4	13:24	5227.3	03:00	20cc pre-test volume Good MD/CP=1340.6	
1/2	P	3061.5	2839.7	5233.5	10.72	13:28	3930.1	4008.21	77.6	13:33	5231.8	05:00	Good MD/CP=89.4	
1/3	P	3064.0	2841.8	5236.5	10.74	13:36	3869.3	4010.12	77.8	13:40	5235.2	04:00	Good MD/CP=66.4	
1/4	P	3082.4	2857.0	5266.3	10.80	13:47	4036.3	4039.02	78.2	13:50	5262.7 10.79	03:00	Good MD/CP=379.8	
1/5	P	3084.5	2858.7	5269.3	10.80	13:56	4031.6	4041.63	78.7	14:00	5266.6	04:00	Good MD/CP=285.8	
1/6	P	3086.5	2860.3	5271.3	10.81	14:05	4041.1	4042.93	79.2	14:09	5269.6	04:00	Good MD/CP=5225.4	
1/7	P	3089.0	2862.4	5274.9 Г	10.81	14:14	4039.4	4044.94	79.7	14:19	5273.3	05:00	Good MD/CP=809.7	
1/8	р Р	3083.0	2857.4	5265.7	10.79	14:25	4009.1	4039.64	80.2	14:28	5264.3	03:00	Good MD/CP=312.8	
1/9	Р	3095.0 [·]	2867.3	5284.5	10.83	14:33	3422.7	4052.14	80.7	14:38	5282.2	05:00	Good MD/CP=18.7	
1/10	Г	3106.0	2876.4	5300.9	10.87	14:43	4064.5	4064.88	81.5	14:47	5298.9	04:00	Good MD/CP=20919.1	

1

			·		TUSIKAL	A LTD - PRESS	UKEL	PATA FOR	N1			
Well			Gudgeon-1			Page			2 of		4	
Date			2-May-95			Geologist-Engineer			Mike Scott/John Phill	ips/Jon Elli	ott	
Tool Type (M	DT, RFT)		Schlumberger MDT			KB (metres):			25.3 (12-1/4" hole)			
Gauge Type	·····	1	CQG/Sapphire			Probe type			Long nose degC			
Pressure units			PSIA			Temperature units (deg	emperature units (degF, degC)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Run-Seat		Depth	Initial	Time	Minimum	Formation	Temp	Time	Final	Delta	Comments	
Number	m MDR	CB m TVDSS	Hydrostatic	Set	Flowing	Pressure		Retract	Hydrostatic	Time	Including Test Quality	
~	Protost		Pressure	(HH:MM)	Pressure			(HH:MM)	Pressure		and Fluid Type.	
5-1	1		PPg			PPg	1		PPg	(
1/11	3149.(2912.0	5365.1	15:06	4022.8	4114.25	84.0	15:09	5362.1	03:00	Re-correlate depth Good MD/CP=54.7	
1/12	9 3196.0	2950.4	5439.8	15:17	4159.3	4168.65	85.0	15:21	5431.7	04:00	Good MD/CP=571.6	
1/13	3261.0 P	3003.1	5537.0	15:29	4166.3	4243.35	85.2	15:33	5527.1	04:00	Good MD/CP=33.2	
1/14	3308.0	3041.2	5602.5	15:42	4290.5	4296.62	85.4	15:47	5595.2	05:00	Good MD/CP=1213.4	
1/15	9 3101.4	2872.6	5294.2 10.85	16:11	3431.1	4058.70	86.2	16:15	5292.0	04:00	Return to TOL Good MD/CP=10.6	
1/16	3092.0	2864.9	5278.1	16:22	3294.1	4047.82	86.2	16:26	5277.8	04:00	Good MD/CP=9.8	
1/17	3083.5 P	2857.9	5265.7 10.79	16:32	3905.2	4039.60	86.0	16:36 7	5265.5	04:00	Good MD/CP=51.2	
1/18	3085.5 P	2859.5	5268.9 10.80	16:42	3955.2	4041.23	85.6	16:46	5268.8		Good MD/CP=166	
1/19	3086.5 P	2860.3	5270.4	16:52	3476.2	4042.27	85.5	16:55	5270.5		Good MD/CP=67.8	
1/20	3078.0 P	2853.3	5257.8 10.78	17:02	18.0	n/a n/a	84.5	17:07	5257.5	05:00	Tight-Aborted MD/CP=0	

ESSO AUSTRALIA LTD - PRESSURE DATA FORM

1

						10000	CONTRAD	A LID - I I	(TPOD)	UKE D	PATA FOR	¥1			
Well				Gudgeon-1				Page				3	of		4
Date				2-May-95				Geologist-Engi	Geologist-Engineer			Mike Scott/John Phillips/Jon Elliott			
Tool Type	(MDT, F	LFT)		Schlumberger	MDT			KB (metres):			25.3	(12-1/4)			
Gauge Typ				CQG/Sapphir	e			Probe type		-,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Long nose	· ·		
Pressure un	Pressure units (psia, psig) PSIA					Temperature un	nits (deg	F, degC)		degC					
Run-S	Seat	Dej	oth	Initial		Time	Minimum	Formatic		Temp	Time	Final		Delta	Comments
Num	ber	m MDRKB	m TVDSS	Hydrosta	tic	Set	Flowing	Pressure	e	•	Retract	Hydrosta		1	Including Test Quality
	-			Pressure	e	(HH:MM)	Pressure		-		(HII:MM)	Pressur			and Fluid Type.
	S-Surgiu				PPg	(11000010	l r	PPg		(111.1111)	1103501		(11111.53)	and Fund Type.
				I				1	rrg				PPg_		10
1/21		3079.0	2854.1	5259.5		17:11	1009.3	4039.10	、	85.0	17:17	5258.7	,	06.00	10cc pre-test volume set
	P	5077.0	2001.1	5257.5	10,78	17.11	1009.5	4039.10		65.0	17.17	5258.		06:00	Tight - Good Test
	<u> </u>			I	10.78			[]	8.28				10.78		MD/CP=1.8
1/22		3073.0	2849.2	5250.0		19.00	0 000 (Good
1/22		3073.0	2849.2	5250.0		17:23	2038.6	4024.54		84.3	17:28	5249.7	1	05:00	MD/CP=4.7
	Р				10.76				8.25				10.76		
											;				Good
1/23		3071.5	2848.0	5248.2		17:33	2904.2	4023.33	3	84.0	17:39	5247.8	3	06:00	MD/CP=2.8
	P				10,76			[8.25				10.76		
											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Good
1/24		3056.6	2835.7	5226.2		17:47	2461.0	4003.54	L I	83.6	17:51	5226.1	[04:00	MD/CP=4.6
	P			[10.71			Г Г	8.21				10.71		
				-									L		Good - potentially s\c
1/25		3054.4	2833.5	5223.6		17:56	3487.7	4005.98	3	83.4	18:00	5222.9)	04:00	MD/CP=5.0
	Р			l r	10,71				8.21		10.00	5222.5	10.71	04.00	1112/01-2.0
L			L	L				I	0.21			1	10.71	I	

ESSO AUSTRALIA LTD - PRESSURE DATA FORM

. . .

Vell				Gudgeon-1				Page	4 of 4					
Date				3-May-95				Geologist-Engineer			Mike Scott/Jol	nn Philli	ps/Jon Ellie	ott
ool Type	(MDT, R	FT)	<u> </u>	Schlumberger	MDT			KB (metres):			25.3 (12-1/4" hole)			
auge Typ)e			CQG/Sapphire	;			Probe type			Martineau			
ressure ui	nits (psia,	psig)						Temperature units (de	gF, degC)		degC			
Run-S	Seat	Dept	th	Initial		Time	Minimum	Formation	Temp	Time	Final		Delta	Comments
Num	ber	m MDRKB	m TVDSS	Hydrostat	ic	Set	Flowing	Pressure	1 1	Retract	Hydrostat	ic	Time	Including Test Quality
1	P-Protost	[Pressure	.	(HH:MM)	Pressure			(HH:MM)	Pressure		(MM:SS)	and Fluid Type.
	3-Sampin	ł		l r	PPg			PPg	1		l r	PPg		-
				A										Martineau Probe
2/1	1	3084.0	2858.3	5270.8		1:18	3919.0	4040.50	85.9	1:24	-		06:00	20cc pretest
	P	1		l r	10.81			8.28	1		l r	-		
											1			OFA=Green
	Pump ou	it sub operation	ı	-		1:24	3517.9	-	86.1	1:28	-		04:00	R=22.5 ohm.m
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		l ſ				· ·	1		l r	-		Pump volume=2340cc
	L													R=22.5 ohm.m
	Open 1 g	gallon chamber		1 -		1:29	789.0	4040.00	87.1	1:38	-		09:00	l gallon filled and seale
) r				8.28	1		l r	-		Ŭ
	L							<u> l</u>						Good sample
	Retract t	001		-		1:38	-	-	87.1	1:39	5270.0		01:00	MD/CP=76.1
				i r					1	·	l r	10.80		
	L			¹										Martineau Probe
2/2		3058.6	2837.3	5233.2		1:48	3865.3	4005.32	87.1	1:51	- 1		03:00	200cc pretest
	P			1 1	10.73]	8.21	1		1 r	-		
······				<u> </u>				<u> </u> L	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1			OFA=Green
	Pump ou	it sub operation	1	-		1:52	3672.1	-	87.2	1:54	-		02:00	R=22.0 ohm.m
				i i					1		i r	- -		Pump volume=1170cc
	L							l			1			R=23.2 ohm.m
	Open 6 g	gallon chamber] _		1:56	1679.4	4004.38	86.9	2:10	-)	6 gallon filled and seale
	s	J		l 1				8.21	1		l r	 -		8
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>				·····	+					R=22.1 ohm.m
	Open 1	gallon chamber	•			2:11	2709.2	4005.25	86.2	2:21	-		10:00	1 gallon filled and seale
	s) I				8,21	1 1		ј г			
	L			<u> </u>			<u> </u>	ll			l			Good samples
	Retract t					2:21			86.2	2:24	5232.4		03:00	MD/CP=108.8
	·			1		~	1	r	-	2.2.		10.73	02.00	

. . .

•

.

. . .

ESSO AUSTRALIA LTD - PRESSURE DATA FORM

1

	ESSO AUSTR	ALIA LTD		
WELL GUDGEON-1				
OBSERVER : MIKE SCOTT	DATE : 03 MAY	1995	RUN No. :	••••
LIMP OUT SUB + OFA USED).	CHAMBER 1	(-++) 1 GALLON	CHAMBER 2	
SEAT NO.	2/1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ОЕРТН	3084.0	m		m
A. RECORDING TIMES	_			
Tool Set	01:18	hrs		ħrs
Pretest Duration	6.0	mins		mins
Chamber Ooen	01:29	hrs		hrs
Chamber Full	01:35	mins		mins
Seal Chamber	01:35	hrs		hrs
Fill Time	9.0	mins	·····	mins
Finish Build Up	01:38	hrs		hrs
Build Up Time Tool Retract	<u> </u>	mins		mins
Total Time	21.0	hrs		hrs
	21.0	mins		
B. SAMPLE PRESSURE	5270.8	ania.		
Initial Hydrostatic Initial Formation Pressure (Pretest)	4040.5	psia osia	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ps/a osia
N Initial Flowing Pressure	789,04	osia		osia
Finel Flowing Pressure		osia		bsia
Final Form'n Pressure	4040.0	osia		osia
Final Hydrostatic	5270.0	osia		osia
C. TEMPERATURE		03.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Temo. @ Samole Depth (AMS)	86.4	dea C		deg C
Rm @ Sample Depth (AMS)	0.04	m-mno	· ····································	ohm-m
D. SAMPLE RECOVERY		/		
Surface Pressure		osia /		osia
Volume Gas		cuft		cu ft
Volume Qil		lit		lit
Volume Condensate		lit		lit
Volume Water (Totai)		tit /		fit
E. SAMPLE PROPERTIES				
Gas Composition			/	
C:		form		moa
C2		/ 00m		moa
C3		· / 00m ·	<u> </u>	moo
C4		5/ com	<u> </u>	moo
C5	(2 <u> </u>	ļ/	moq
C6+	¥	m	ļ	moa
CO2/H2S			ļ	%/ pcm
Oil/Cand. Properties	deg API@/	deg C	deg API @	deg C
Colour	J. J.			<u></u>
Fiuorescence	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		ļ/	
GOR	<u> 97</u>		ļ	
Pour Point	¥/		<u>├</u> /	
Water Properties			./_	
Resistivity	ohm-fn@	deg C	<u>ohm-m@</u>	deg C
NaCl Equivalent	<u>+,₹/</u>	הסס	<u>├</u> /	moq
Cl-titrated		<u>com</u>		DPM
Tritium		DPM	├	
	+/		<u>├/</u>	· · · ·
	+/			
F. MUD FILTRATE PROPERTIES Resistivity	@ m-m	deg C	ohm-m@	deg C
NaCl Equivalent		0 geg 0		 moo
CI-litrated	+ /			000
	1/			
Tritium (in Mud)	-V	OPM		0PM
G. GENERAL CALIBRATION	1			
Mud Weight	10.7-10.8	000	/	ocg
Calc. Hydrostatic	5271	osiA		051
Serial No. (Preserved)	MRSC #19 (14			
Choka Size/Probe Type	MOT VARIAGE-100%-1		17	
REMARKS	DRESCRUED	VIA THROTTLE .		

	ESSO AUSTRAL	IA LTD		
WELL : GUDGEON-1	LOOG AGOMAL			
OBSERVER : MIKE SCOTT	DATE : 03 MAY	995 6	NUN NO	
UMPOUT SUG + OFA USED)		H) 6 GALON	CHAMBER 2 (+) 1 GALLON
SEAT NO.	212		2/2	
DEPTH	3058.6		3058.6	m
A. RECORDING TIMES				
Taal Set	01:43	hrs		hrs
Pretest Ouration	3.0	mins		mins
Chamber Ooen	01:56	hrs	02:11	hrs
Chamber Full	02:10	mins	02:21	mins
Seal Chamber	02:10	ħrs	02:21	hrs
Fill Time	14.0	mins	10.0	mins
Finish Build Uo	02:10	hrs	02:21	hrs
Build Up Time	14.0	mins	10.0	mins
Tool Retract	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	hrs	02:24	hrs
Total Time	هسین .	mins	36.0	mins
B. SAMPLE PRESSURE				
Initial Hydrostatic	5233.2	psia		psia
Initial Formation Pressure (Pretest)	A005.3	csia		osia
IN Joiliel Flowing Pressure	1679.4	osia	2709.2	osia
"Final Flawing Procesuro-		osia		osia
Final Form'n Pressure	4004.38	osia	400.5.26	osia
Final Hydrostatic		osia	5232.35	osia
C. TEMPERATURE				
Temp. @ Sample Depth (AMS)	87·2	deg C	86.2	deg C
Rm @ Samole Depth (AMS)	0.04	0nm-m	0.04	ohm-m
D. SAMPLE RECOVERY				1
Surface Pressure	100	osia		osia /
Volume Gas Volumes REMAINI		su it		cu It
Volume Oil AFTER TRANSFER	150 CC OIL	مبنز		lit
Volume Condensate TO 20 HTRE		lit		lit
Volume Water (Totai) Borne	ISOCC MUD			fit /
E. SAMPLE PROPERTIES	1,2000 1,400		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Gas Composition	NA			
C1	INSUFFICIENT GAS		1	· 00m
C2	IN SUFFICIENT -TIS	 	E	
<u></u>		00m		
C4				mog
C5		20m	A/	
C3 C6+		00m	2/	<u>22</u>
C02/H2S	<1 %/		0/%	
Oil/Cand. Properties	43.8 deg API@ 60°F		deg API@4/	deg C
Colour	DARK BROWN		Q7/	
Fiuorescence	NIA		·	
GOR	N/A		J. J.	
Pour Point	27.0°C		₹/	
Water Properties		/	1.1/	
	@ m-mno	deec	on me	deg C
Resistivity NaCl Equivalent	NO WATER ACCURACT		<u> </u>	000
	- Start		7	
Ci-litrated Tritium	1 CE PERI	DPM	1	DPM
	- VIII			
pH	Nº.		1	
	·		1 / / /	
F. MUD FILTRATE PROPERTIES	0.25 ohm-m@ 29.	🖸 deg C	@ m-mdo	dea C
Resistivity		00m	1/	0000
NaCl Equivalent	50,000		1/	maa
CI-titrated	8.0		1/	
	7.9%	<u></u>		DPM
Tritica (in Most) KCL				
G. GENERAL CALIBRATION	107		10.7-10.3	cog
Mud Weight	10.7-10.8		5233.2	osiA
Calc. Hydrostatic	MRSC = 22	osi A	MRSC # 71	
Senal No. (Preserved)	MADT VARIABLE-100 K=1/4"	I to a market and	MOT VARIABLE -100% 1/1	LARTINE ALL
Choke Size/Probe Type				
REMARKS & GALLOW CHAMBER	PIPELINE RHEOLOGY		PRESERVED MIN FRHP= 3400 p	•
HEATED TO ASE IN WATER				

SAMPLING DATA SHEET CORE LABORATORIES

: ESSO AUSTRALIA LTD.
: GUDGEOH 1
OFFSHERE BASS STRATT, VICTORIA
: 6 GAL CHAMBER - MDT RUN Z
: THOMAL A . SAMUEL
: 3 rd MAY 1995

ANALYSIS #	TUTWEILER	ORSAT	DRAGER TUBES	REMARKS
MDT RUN Z	- NO GAS	- NO GAS-	1. CO2 < 1 %	& OPENING PRESSURE
(6 GAL CHAMBER)			2. CO2 61 %	OF MDT CHAMBER
				AT RIG FLOOR 100 PS
			1. H2S Oppm	
		<u></u>	Z. H2S Oppin	
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
ANALYSIS #	API	POUR	CLOUD	REMARKS
	GRAVITY	POINT	POINT	
MDT RUNZ	43.8060°F	27.0°C		
(G GAR CHAMBER)			•	
<u> </u>				
		···· <u>···</u> ·····························		
	l		L	

REMARKS:

	IN	INSFER	εÞ	All SA	mplt	FROM	MDT	RUN Z,	6 GAL CHAN	MBER
into	ONE	CORE	LAB	20 (d	. Cy	GNDER	S/N	3475A	USING	
SPRA	IGUE	PUMP	. B	OR AN EE	OP	300 0	ie Fl	wid Colli	CTED FROM	
								150 cc 1		
						500 pçi				
	• Z.= \[·								

C:\RFL\JOBS\ SAMP_CO2.XLS

Appendix 4

APPENDIX 4

GUDGEON 1

Core Analysis

ROUTINE CORE ANALYSIS REPORT of GUDGEON NO. 1 for ESSO AUSTRALIA LTD by ACS LABORATORIES PTY LTD

ł

20 July, 1995



Esso Australia Ltd 360 Elizabeth Street MELBOURNE VIC 3000

Attention: A. Mills

REPORT: 002-212 - WELL NAME: GUDGEON NO. 1

CLIENT REFERENCE:

Contract No. 2710080 RFS No. 5

MATERIAL:

Core Plugs

LOCALITY:

Gippsland Basin, VIC-L-6

WORK REQUIRED:

Routine Core Analysis

Please direct technical enquiries regarding this work to the signatory below under whose supervision the work was carried out.

00 for

W J (Bill) DERKSEMA Laboratory Supervisor on behalf of ACS Laboratories Pty. Ltd.

ACS Laboratories Pty. Ltd. shall not be liable or responsible for any loss, cost, damages or expenses incurred by the client, or any other person or company, resulting from any information or interpretation given in this report. In no case shall ACS Laboratories Pty. Ltd. be responsible for consequential damages including, but not limited to, lost profits, damages for failure to meet deadlines and lost production arising from this report.

Address:

P.O. Box 396, Chermside, Qld. 4032 Australia Telephone: 61 7 3350 1222 Facsimile: 61 7 3359 0666

ACS Laboratories Pty Ltd ACN: 008 273 005

CONTENTS

Î

PAGE

· _

LOGI	STICS	1
INTR		1
STUE	DY AIMS	1
1.	SAMPLE EXTRACTION	2
2.	SAMPLE DRYING	2
3.	OVERBURDEN AIR PERMEABILITY	2
4.	OVERBURDEN HELIUM INJECTION POROSITY	2
5.	APPARENT GRAIN DENSITY	3
6.	ABSOLUTE GRAIN DENSITY	3

PLOTS

POROSITY vs PERMEABILITY CROSSPLOT	7
CORE PLOT	9

20 July, 1995



Esso Australia Ltd 360 Elizabeth Street MELBOURNE VIC 3000

Attention: A. Mills

FINAL DATA REPORT - ROUTINE CORE ANALYSIS

REPORT: 002-212 WELL NAME: GUDGEON NO. 1

LOGISTICS

112 core plugs were delivered to ACS Laboratories, Brisbane on 16 May 1995. The plugs (including vertical plugs) arrived stored in vials and consisted of 89 plugs from Core No. 1 and 23 plugs from Core No. 2.

INTRODUCTION

The following report includes tabular data of permeability to air, helium injection porosity and density determinations. Data presented graphically includes a core log plot of the above and a porosity versus permeability to air plot.

STUDY AIMS

The analyses were performed with the following aims:

1. To provide overburden air permeability, helium injection porosity and density data.

Samples were prepared and analysed as follows:

1. SAMPLE EXTRACTION

Plugs from Core Nos. 1 and 2 were extracted initially in a Soxhlet with toluene solvent, followed by solvent Soxhlet extraction using 3:1 chloroform/methanol, providing a second clean to remove any remaining oil and salt. core plugs were removed and checked under ultraviolet light to ensure all hydrocarbons had been removed.

2. SAMPLE DRYING

After cleaning, all plugs were dried in a controlled humidity environment at 50°C and 50% relative humidity. The plugs were stored in an airtight plastic container and allowed to cool to room temperature before analysis.

3. OVERBURDEN AIR PERMEABILITY

The plugs are placed in a heavy duty Hassler sleeve. The assembly is loaded into a thick walled hydrostatic cell capable of withstanding the simulated reservoir overburden stress. The overburden pressure used, as supplied by Esso, was 4700 psi.

During the measurement a known air pressure is applied to the upstream face of the sample, creating a flow of air through the sample. Permeability for each sample is then calculated using Darcy's Law through knowledge of the upstream pressure and flow rate during the test, the viscosity of air and the plug dimensions.

4. OVERBURDEN HELIUM INJECTION POROSITY

Overburden Helium Injection Porosities are determined indirectly by the following method:

The apparent grain volume of each sample was measured by expansion of helium into the sample loaded in a matrix cup. The grain volume is derived by application of Boyle's law. The bulk volume of the sample is determined by mercury immersion. The sample is then loaded into a hydrostatic cell where the pore volume reduction, from ambient to the applied overburden stress is determined by measuring changes in the helium pressure within the pore space and applying Boyle's law. The reduction in the bulk volume is assumed to be equivalent to a reduction in the pore volume. Grain volume remains constant. Where samples are sleeved, corrections are made to account for the weight and volume of sleeves and screens.

5. **APPARENT GRAIN DENSITY**

The apparent grain density is determined by dividing the weight of the plug by the grain volume determined from the helium injection porosity measurement.

6. ABSOLUTE GRAIN DENSITY

A plug offcut, uncleaned and oven dried, is used for this measurement. The sample is crushed to approximately grain size or a little coarser and the granular material weighed. The volume of the grains is determined by pyconometry. By this means the actual density of the grains is determined.

On completion of the analysis the plug samples were re-wrapped in gladwrap and tissue, and are presently stored at ACS Laboratories for possible future studies.

We have enjoyed working for Esso and look forward to working with you in the near future.

END OF REPORT

OVERBURDEN ANALYSIS PRELIMINARY REPORT

CompanyESSO AUSTRALIA LTD.WellGUDGEON No. 1

 Core Interval
 Core 1: 3063.00-3081.00m

 Core Interval
 Core 2: 3081.00-3088.00m

Overburden Pressure

4700 psi

Sample Number	Permeability			Grain Density		
	Depth	to Air	Porosity	Calculated	Absolute	Remarks
	(meters)	(milliDarcy's)	(percent)	(g/cm ³)	(g/cm^3)	
1 R	3063.10	330	20.6	2.63	2.62	
4 R	3063.30	817	14.1	2.62	2.69	Slamed
6 R	3063.50	12.3	6.1	2.99	2.66	Sleeved
7 R	3063.60	<0.01	3.2	2.63	2.63	
9 R	3063.80	<0.01	5.1	2.63	2.03	
11 V	3063.95	<0.01	6.3	2.69	2.64	
12 R	3064.03	<0.01	7.3	2.64	2.63	
14 R	3064.20	<0.01	7.0	2.65	2.64	
16 R	3064.47	<0.01	5.3	2.65	2.62	
18 R	3064.60	<0.01	6.4	2.63	2.68	
20 R	3064.80	<0.01	6.3	2.63	2.64	
22 V	3064.95	<0.01	5.2	2.63	2.61	
23 R	3065.03	0.01	6.3	2.65	2.64	
25 R	3065.20	0.02	9.3	2.63	2.63	
27 R	3065.45	0.01	9.2	2.63	2.62	
29 R	3065.60	0.02	8.6	2.66	2.68	
31 R	3065.80	0.11	12.1	2.67	2.70	
33 V	3065.95	0.02	12.2	2.64	2.60	
34 R	3066.06	0.18	13.0	2.65	2.64	
36 R	3066.17	2.61	15.3	2.66	2.65	
40 R	3066.60	0.08	12.1	2.62	2.62	
42 R	3066.80	2.63	16.1	2.77	2.79	
45 R	3067.03	0.16	13.4	2.60	2.63	
47 R	3067.20	8.92	15.8	2.64	2.64	
49 R	3067.40	0.89	12.3	2.61	2.61	
51 R	3067.55	2.55	12.9	2.65	2.63	
57 R	3067.80	2.56	13.8	2.65	2.65	
60 R	3068.03	0.50	12.5	2.64	2.64	
62 R	3068.20	2.33	13.8	2.64	2.67	
64 R	3068.40	4.79	14.2	2.65	2.67	
66 R	3068.60	1.01	12.8	2.65	2.66	
70 R	3068.85	8.89	15.6	2.64	2.64	
72 V	3068.95	0.01	13.9	2.63	2.63	
73 R	3069.03	6.83	15.7	2.65	2.65	
75 R	3069.20	0.44	12.8	2.63	2.65	
77 R	3069.40	9.63	16.2	2.64	2.64	
79 R	3069.60	1.45	14.3	2.65	2.64	
83 V	3069.72	0.12	12.9	2.65	2.62	
81 R	3069.80	0.71	12.9	2.65	2.66	
84 R	3070.08	0.17	11.3	2.65	2.64	

OVERBURDEN ANALYSIS PRELIMINARY REPORT

CompanyESSO AUSTRALIA LTD.WellGUDGEON No.1

 Core Interval
 Core 1: 3063.00-3081.00m

 Core Interval
 Core 2: 3081.00-3088.00m

Overburden Pressure

4700 psi

Sample		Permeability		Grain Density		
Number	Depth	to Air	Porosity	Calculated	Absolute	Remarks
	(meters)	(milliDarcy's)	(percent)	(g/cm ³)	(g/cm ³)	
88 R	3070.34	314	16.2	2.62	2.63	
92 R	3070.80	3928	19.3	2.65	2.68	
96 R	3071.25	0.02	1.7	1.89	1.86	
100 R	3071.60	0.02	4.2	2.32	2.27	
102 R	3071.80	0.01	7.3	2.62	2.61	
104 V	3071.95	<0.01	8.1	2.61	2.62	
105 R	3072.03	0.04	10.7	2.63	2.62	
107 R	3072.20	0.02	4.7	2.57	2.58	
109 R	3072.40	⊲0.01	3.7	2.52	2.51	
115 R	3072.80	0.02	7.1	2.63	2.62	
117 V	3072.95	0.17	12.3	2.64	2.64	
118 R	3073.04	0.02	9.9	2.62	2.60	
120 R	3073.20	0.09	10.2	2.62	2.62	
122 R	3073.40	0.14	9.8	2.62	2.62	
124 R	3073.60	0.03	9.0	2.62	2.61	
126 R	3073.75	0.01	5.1	2.61	2.58	
128 V	3073.95	<0.01	6.5	2.66	2.64	
129 R	3074.03	0.03	5.3	2.66	2.63	
131 R	3074.20	<0.01	5.2	2.64	2.63	
133 R	3074.40	0.02	9.1	2.64	2.64	
135 R	3074.60	0.01	8.7	2.64	2.64	
137 R	3074.80	0.02	8.3	2.64	2.64	
139 V	3074.95	0.02	7.8	2.64	2.63	
140 R	3075.03	0.01	8.4	2.64	2.74	
142 R	3075.20	0.01	8.7	2.65	2.63	
144 R	3075.40	0.01	8.1	2.63	2.72	
146 R	3075.60	0.70	9.9	2.64	2.67	
150 V	3075.72	0.07	12.3	2.65	2.65	
48 R	3075.80	26.7	16.5	2.67	2.64	
151 R	3076.03	623	22.0	2.66	2.61	
153 R	3076.20	707	21.7	2.69	2.71	
55 R	3076.40	8.10	13.6	2.65	2.64	
57 R	3076.60	137	18.1	2.66	2.64	
59 R	3076.80	1036	22.7	2.65	2.63	
61 V	3076.95	0.06	14.8	2.65	2.62	
66 R	3077.20	31.8	18.6	2.66	2.63	
68 R	3077.35	69	14.8	2.67	2.62	
76 R	3077.80	12.3	11.8	2.56	2.48	
79 R	3078.03	6.83	10.4	2.52	2.56	
83 R	3078.35	0.25	13.7	2.63	2.63	

OVERBURDEN ANALYSIS PRELIMINARY REPORT

CompanyESSO AUSTRALIA LTD.WellGUDGEON No.1

Core Interval Core 1: 3063.00-3081.00m Core Interval Core 2: 3081.00-3088.00m

Overburden Pressure

4700 psi

Sample Number	Permeability			Grain Density		
	nber Depth (meters)	to Air (milliDarcy's)	Porosity (percent)	Calculated	Absolute (g/cm ³)	Remarks
				(g/cm ³)		
187 R	3078.60	9.78	9.4	2.68	2.64	
189 R	3078.80	998	13.9	2.64	2.63	Sleeved
191 V	3078.95	729	15.2	2.63	2.63	Sleeved
192 R	3079.03	1005	16.5	2.64	2.63	Sleeved
194 R	3079.20	760	14.4	2.63	2.62	
196 R	3079.48	517	16.7	2.63	2.62	
198 R	3079.65	1089	14.5	2.63	2.62	
206 V	3079.72	310	13.1	2.64	2.64	
204 R	3080.00	11.9	11.8	2.64	2.63	
210 R	3081.19	88	14.7	2.65	2.64	
212 R	3081.40	3616	15.0	2.64	2.63	Sleeved
214 R	3081.53	6321	16.8	2.65	2.64	Sleeved
218 R	3081.80	722	13.9	2.64	2.64	Sleeved
220 V	3081.95	3309	14.9	2.63	2.63	Sleeved
221 R	3082.05	3096	12.1	2.64	2.63	Sleeved
223 R	3082.20	339	10.8	2.64	2.63	Sleeved
225 R	3082.40	3415	13.6	2.63	2.62	Sleeved
227 R	3082.63	1284	13.0	2.64	2.64	Sleeved
230 R	3082.80	4914	14.7	2.64	2.65	Sleeved
231 V	3082.95	1136	14.5	2.63	2.64	Sleeved
232 R	3083.03	1314	12.6	2.64	2.63	Sleeved
236 R	3083.25	3603	14.9	2.63	2.62	Sleeved
238 R	3083.40	3034	14.5	2.64	2.63	Sleeved
242 R	3083.65	3161	13.9	2.65	2.64	Sleeved
244 R	3083.80	6706	18.1	2.64	2.67	Sleeved
246 V	3083.95	3595	17.1	2.64	2.65	Sleeved
247 R	3084.04	9084	18.1	2.64	2.63	Sleeved
249 R	3084.20	397	14.5	2.63	2.63	Sleeved
251 R	3084.40	3951	16.9	2.62	2.62	Sleeved
253 R	3084.60	11052	19.0	2.63	2.64	Sleeved
255 R	3084.80	2177	15.3	2.62	2.62	Sleeved
257 V	3084.95	883	13.9	2.64	2.63	Sleeved

POROSITY vs PERMEABILITY CROSSPLOT


CORE PLOT

1

٩

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE603429 is enclosed within the container PE900910 at this location in this document.

The enclosure PE603429 has the following characteristics: ITEM_BARCODE = PE603429 CONTAINER_BARCODE = PE900910 NAME = Core Plot BASIN = GIPPSLAND PERMIT = VIC/L6TYPE = WELLSUBTYPE = WELL_LOG DESCRIPTION = Core Plot for Gudgeon-1(from appendix 4 REMARKS = $DATE_CREATED = 20/07/1995$ $DATE_RECEIVED = 13/11/1995$ $W_NO = W1120$ WELL_NAME = GUDGEON-1CONTRACTOR = ACS LABORATORIES PTY LTD CLIENT_OP_CO = ESSO AUSTRALIA LIMITED (Inserted by DNRE - Vic Govt Mines Dept)

of WCR)

Enclosures



5th Cut A4 Dividers Re-order Code 97052

GUDGEON 1

Chronostratigraphy of the Gippsland Basin

PE 902041

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE902041 is enclosed within the container PE900910 at this location in this document.

The enclosure PE902041 has the following characteristics: ITEM_BARCODE = PE902041 CONTAINER_BARCODE = PE900910 NAME = Chronostratigraphy of Gippsland Basin BASIN = GIPPSLAND PERMIT = TYPE = GENERAL SUBTYPE = GEOL_MAP DESCRIPTION = Chronostratigraphy of Gippsland Basin REMARKS = $DATE_CREATED = 03/11/1995$ $DATE_RECEIVED = 13/11/1995$ $W_NO = W1120$ WELL_NAME = Gudgeon -1 CONTRACTOR = ESSOCLIENT_OP_CO = ESSO

٦

GUDGEON 1

Top of Latrobe Group Depth Structure (Pre-Drill)

PE 900992

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE900918 is enclosed within the container PE900910 at this location in this document.

The enclosure PE900918 has the following characteristics: ITEM_BARCODE = PE900918 CONTAINER_BARCODE = PE900910 NAME = Top of Latrobe Group Depth Structure (Pre drill) BASIN = GIPPSLAND PERMIT = TYPE = SEISMIC SUBTYPE = HRZN_CONTR_MAP DESCRIPTION = Top of Latrobe Group Depth Structure (Pre drill) REMARKS = $DATE_CREATED = 06/11/1995$ $DATE_RECEIVED = 13/11/1995$ $W_{NO} = W1120$ WELL_NAME = Gudgeon -1CONTRACTOR = ESSO $CLIENT_OP_CO = ESSO$

GUDGEON 1

Synthetic Seismogram

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE900922 is enclosed within the container PE900910 at this location in this document.

The enclosure PE900922 has the following characteristics: ITEM_BARCODE = PE900922CONTAINER_BARCODE = PE900910 NAME = Synthetic Seismogram BASIN = GIPPSLAND PERMIT = TYPE = WELL SUBTYPE = SYNTH_SEISMOGRAM DESCRIPTION = Synthetic Seismogram REMARKS = $DATE_CREATED = 06/11/1995$ DATE_RECEIVED = 13/11/1995 $W_NO = W1120$ WELL_NAME = Gudgeon -1CONTRACTOR = ESSOCLIENT_OP_CO = ESSO

٠

GUDGEON 1

Seismic Crossline 1366

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE900912 is enclosed within the container PE900910 at this location in this document.

The enclosure PE900912 has the following characteristics: ITEM_BARCODE = PE900912 CONTAINER_BARCODE = PE900910 NAME = Seismic Crossline 1366 BASIN = GIPPSLAND PERMIT = TYPE = SEISMICSUBTYPE = SECTION DESCRIPTION = Seismic Crossline 1366 REMARKS = $DATE_CREATED = 06/11/1995$ $DATE_RECEIVED = 13/11/1995$ $W_NO = W1120$ WELL_NAME = Gudgeon -1CONTRACTOR = ESSOCLIENT_OP_CO = ESSO

GUDGEON 1

Mid Miocene to Top of Latrobe Group Isochron

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE900913 is enclosed within the container PE900910 at this location in this document.

The enclosure PE900913 has the following characteristics: ITEM_BARCODE = PE900913 CONTAINER_BARCODE = PE900910 NAME = Mid Miocene - Top of Latrobe Group Isochron BASIN = GIPPSLAND PERMIT =TYPE = SEISMIC SUBTYPE = ISOCHRON_MAP DESCRIPTION = Mid Miocene - Top of Latrobe Group Isochron, Post Drill Constant Pick, (enclosure from WCR) for Gudgeon-1 REMARKS = $DATE_CREATED = 06/11/1995$ $DATE_RECEIVED = 13/11/1995$ $W_NO = W1120$ WELL_NAME = Gudgeon -1CONTRACTOR = ESSOCLIENT_OP_CO = ESSO

GUDGEON 1

Mid Miocene Depth Structure (From Well Data)

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE900914 is enclosed within the container PE900910 at this location in this document.

The enclosure PE900914 has the following characteristics: ITEM_BARCODE = PE900914 CONTAINER_BARCODE = PE900910 NAME = Mid Miocene Depth Structure (from wells) BASIN = GIPPSLAND PERMIT = TYPE = SEISMICSUBTYPE = HRZN_CONTR_MAP DESCRIPTION = Mid Miocene Depth Structure (from wells) REMARKS = $DATE_CREATED = 06/11/1995$ DATE_RECEIVED = 13/11/1995 $W_NO = W1120$ WELL_NAME = Gudgeon -1CONTRACTOR = ESSO $CLIENT_OP_CO = ESSO$

GUDGEON 1

Top of Latrobe Group Depth Structure (Constant Pick Post Drill)

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE900915 is enclosed within the container PE900910 at this location in this document.

The enclosure PE900915 has the following characteristics: ITEM_BARCODE = PE900915 CONTAINER_BARCODE = PE900910 NAME = Top of Latrobe depth Structure (Post drill constant pick) BASIN = GIPPSLAND PERMIT = TYPE = SEISMIC SUBTYPE = HRZN_CONTR_MAP DESCRIPTION = Top of Latrobe depth Structure (Post drill constant pick) REMARKS = $DATE_CREATED = 06/11/1995$ DATE_RECEIVED = 13/11/1995 $W_NO = W1120$ WELL_NAME = Gudgeon -1CONTRACTOR = ESSOCLIENT_OP_CO = ESSO

GUDGEON 1

Top of Latrobe Group Depth Structure (Variable Pick Post Drill)

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE900916 is enclosed within the container PE900910 at this location in this document.

The enclosure PE900916 has the following characteristics: ITEM BARCODE = PE900916 CONTAINER_BARCODE = PE900910 NAME = Top of Latrobe depth Structure (Post drill variable pick) BASIN = GIPPSLAND PERMIT = TYPE = SEISMIC SUBTYPE = HRZN_CONTR_MAP DESCRIPTION = Top of Latrobe depth Structure (Post drill variable pick) REMARKS = $DATE_CREATED = 06/11/1995$ $DATE_RECEIVED = 13/11/1995$ $W_NO = W1120$ WELL_NAME = Gudgeon -1CONTRACTOR = ESSO $CLIENT_OP_CO = ESSO$ (Inserted by DNRE - Vic Govt Mines Dept)

GUDGEON 1

. .

Structural Cross Section (Constant Pick)

PE 900917

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE900917 is enclosed within the container PE900910 at this location in this document.

The enclosure PE900917 has the following characteristics: ITEM_BARCODE = PE900917 CONTAINER_BARCODE = PE900910 NAME = Structural Cross Section Constant top of Latrobe Group pick BASIN = GIPPSLAND PERMIT = TYPE = WELLSUBTYPE = CROSS_SECTION DESCRIPTION = Structural Cross Section Constant top of Latrobe Group pick REMARKS = $DATE_CREATED = 06/11/1995$ $DATE_RECEIVED = 13/11/1995$ $W_NO = W1120$ WELL_NAME = Gudgeon -1CONTRACTOR = ESSO $CLIENT_OP_CO = ESSO$

GUDGEON 1

Structural Cross Section (Variable Pick)

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE900919 is enclosed within the container PE900910 at this location in this document.

The enclosure PE900919 has the following characteristics: ITEM_BARCODE = PE900919 CONTAINER_BARCODE = PE900910 NAME = Structural Cross Section Variable top of latrobe group pick BASIN = GIPPSLAND PERMIT = TYPE = WELLSUBTYPE = CROSS_SECTION DESCRIPTION = Structural Cross Section Variable top of latrobe group pick REMARKS = DATE CREATED = 30/10/1995 $DATE_RECEIVED = 13/11/1995$ $W_NO = W1120$ WELL_NAME = Gudgeon -1CONTRACTOR = ESSO $CLIENT_OP_CO = ESSO$

GUDGEON 1

Terracotta Depth Structure (Post Drill)

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE900920 is enclosed within the container PE900910 at this location in this document.

The enclosure PES	900	0920 has the following characteristics:
ITEM_BARCODE	=	PE900920
CONTAINER_BARCODE	=	PE900910
NAME	=	Terracotta Depth Structure
BASIN	=	GIPPSLAND
PERMIT	=	
TYPE	=	SEISMIC
SUBTYPE	=	HRZN_CONTR_MAP
DESCRIPTION	=	Terracotta Depth Structure
REMARKS	=	
DATE_CREATED	=	23/10/1995
DATE_RECEIVED	=	13/11/1995
W_NO	=	W1120
WELL_NAME	=	Gudgeon -1
CONTRACTOR	=	ESSO
CLIENT_OP_CO	=	ESSO

GUDGEON 1

Stratigraphic Cross Section

PE 900 921

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE900921 is enclosed within the container PE900910 at this location in this document.

The enclosure PE90	0921 has the following characteristics:
$ITEM_BARCODE =$	PE900921
CONTAINER_BARCODE =	PE900910
NAME =	Stratigraphic Correlation Section
BASIN =	GIPPSLAND
PERMIT =	
TYPE =	WELL
SUBTYPE =	CROSS_SECTION
DESCRIPTION =	Stratigraphic Correlation Section of
	Upper latrobe Group (enclosure from
	WCR) for Gudgeon-1
REMARKS =	
$DATE_CREATED =$	06/11/1995
$DATE_RECEIVED =$	13/11/1995
W_NO =	W1120
WELL_NAME =	Gudgeon -1
CONTRACTOR =	ESSO
CLIENT_OP_CO =	ESSO

Attachments



5th Cut A4 Dividers Re-order Code 97052

ATTACHMENT 1

GUDGEON 1

Composite Well Log

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE600733 is enclosed within the container PE900910 at this location in this document.

The enclosure PE600733 has the following characteristics: ITEM_BARCODE = PE600733 CONTAINER_BARCODE = PE900910 NAME = Well Completion Log BASIN = GIPPSLAND PERMIT =TYPE = WELL SUBTYPE = COMPOSITE_LOG DESCRIPTION = Well Completion Log (enclosure from WCR) for Gudgeon-1 REMARKS = $DATE_CREATED = 06/11/1995$ $DATE_RECEIVED = 13/11/1995$ $W_NO = W1120$ WELL_NAME = Gudgeon -1CONTRACTOR = ESSOCLIENT_OP_CO = ESSO