

# Palynological analysis of sidewall cores from Fenton Creek–1, Port Campbell Embayment Otway Basin.

by

Alan D. Partridge

Biostrata Pty Ltd A.C.N. 053 800 945

Biostrata Report 1997/11

27 May 1997

)

## Palynological analysis of sidewall cores from Fenton Creek–1, Otway Basin.

)

)

## by Alan D. Partridge

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **INTERPRETATIVE DATA**

Summary	. 1
Materials and Methods	. 1
Table-1: Palynological summary for Fenton Creek-1	. 2
Geological Comments	. 3
Biostratigraphy Spore-Pollen Zones Microplankton Zones	. 7 . 7 11
References	15
Table-2: Interpretative Palynological Data for Fenton Creek-1	17
Confidence Ratings	19

### **BASIC DATA**

Table-3: Basic Sample and Palynomorph Data for Fenton Creek-1	C
Table-4: Fenton Creek-1Range and Abundance Chart for palynomorphs2	1

#### ATTACHMENT

Palynomorph Range Chart

#### **INTERPRETATIVE DATA**

#### Summary

)

)

)

Twenty-five sidewall core samples were analysed in Fenton Creek–1 with the focus of the palynological investigation concentrated on the Upper Cretaceous Sherbrook Group. Only two samples of Albian age was analysed from the underlying Eumeralla Formation. The palynological zones, their ages and suggested correlations to established stratigraphic units are summarised in the following Table 1.

Additional interpret vive data on all samples including zone identification and Confidence Ratings are recorded in Table 2, whilst basic data on sidewall core lithologies, visual residue yields, preservation and species diversity are recorded on Table 3. Counts of the assemblages are presented on Table 4 and distribution of all identified palynomorphs are presented on the accompanying range chart.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The palynological slides were prepared in the Santos Ltd palynological laboratory and received by the author in two batches on the 7th and 13th May 1997. Provisional reports were submitted on the 8th and 15th May. For most samples the oxidised slides separated using 1.65 specific gravity zinc bromide were the only slides both scanned and counted. The counts were mostly made under a x40 objectives to be confident of picking up all specimens of the smaller palynomorphs. On some samples, where the residue were sparsely or unevenly distributed on the slides, it was necessary to partially count the slides using a x25 objective. The counts were all terminated when just over 100 specimens of spores and pollen had been counted. Only on the slides with the best preservation and concentration of specimens are significantly larger counts provided. The counts give a good approximation of the changes in the abundance of the major species groups in the assemblages but are only considered accurate to  $\pm 5\%$ .

Although the calculated yield from processing was mostly low the visual yield of residue on the palynological slides was overall moderate to high, certainly sufficient to record high diversity spore-pollen assemblages and moderate diversity microplankton assemblages from most of the samples. Palynomorph preservation was somewhat variable but mostly fair. Recorded spore-pollen diversity ranged from 17 to 44 species and averaged 29+ species per sample over the whole section, while recorded microplankton diversity ranged 4 to 21 species and averaged 10+ species per sample through the Sherbrook Group (Table 3).

 Table-1: Palynological summary for Fenton Creek-1

AGE	LITHOLOGICAL UNIT	SPORE-POLLEN ZONES (Subzones)	MICROPLANKTON ZONES (Subzon <del>es</del> )		
EARLY EOCENE TO LATE PALEOCENE	PEMBER MUDSTONE 799–832.5m	NOT SAMPLED	NOT SAMPLED		
LATE PALEOCENE	PEBBLE POINT FORMATION 832.5–851.5m	NOT SAMPLED	NOT SAMPLED		
DANIAN TO MAASTRICHTIAN	K/T BOUNDARY SHALE 851.5–862m	NOT SAMPLED	NOT SAMPLED		
MAASTRICHTIAN	WIRIDJIL FORMATION 862-893.5m	NOT SAMPLED	NOT SAMPLED		
MAASTRICHTIAN to CAMPANIAN CAMPANIAN Undifferentiated TIMBOON SAND and PAARATTE FORMATION 893.5–1198.5m		N. senectus and F. sabulosus Subzone 1118m	N. aceras 1118m		
SANTONIAN	SANTONIAN SKULL CREEK MUDSTONE 1198.5–1324m		I. cretaceum and I. rotundatum Subzone 1320m		
SANTONIAN	NULLAWARRE GREENSAND 1324–1417m	NOT SAMPLED	NOT SAMPLED		
SANTONIAN to CONIACIAN	BELFAST MUDSTONE 1417–1524.5m	Upper T. apoxyexinus 1422m P. mawsonii 1498.5-1520m	I. cretaceum 1422m I. balmei Subzone 1498.5-1520m		
TURONIAN	FLAXMAN FORMATION 1524.5–1552.5m including Banoon Member 1524.5–1527m	P. mawsonii 1524–1549m G. ancorus Subzone 1524–1543m	P. infusorioides 1524–1549m K. polypes Subzone 1524–1549m		
	WAARRE FORMATION 1552.5–1655m Subdivided into	P. mawsonii 1566–1654m	P. infusorioides 1566–1654m		
TURONIAN	Unit C 1552.5m–1612.5m	<i>L. musa</i> Subzone 1566–1567m	<i>I. evexus</i> Subzone 1566–1567m		
	Unit B 1612.5–1624m Unit A 1624–1655m	H. trinalis Subzone 1622–1654m	C. edwardsii Acme 1622–1654m		
LATE ALBIAN	EUMERALLA FORMATION 1655–1840m	P. pannosus 1790.5–1810m	Indeterminate Non-marine		
<u> </u>			T.D. 1840m		

#### **Geological Comments**

)

)

- ) 1. The sequence sampled in Fenton Creek-1, with minor modifications, can be readily assigned to the Mesozoic spore-pollen and microplankton zones defined by Helby *et al.* (1987) with further resolution provided by subzones recognised by McMinn (1988) and Partridge (1997). The time interval sampled is from the Late Albian to Early Campanian.
  - 2. The spore-pollen zones identified conform to the succession in the Otway Basin first established by Dettmann & Playford (1969), and modified by Helby et al. (1987), except that the P. mawsonii Zone can now be demonstrated to extend to the base of the Waarre Formation. The A. distocarinatus Zone originally established by Dettmann & Playford (1969) and subsequently redefined by Helby et al. (1987) is considered to be absent at the unconformity between the Waarre and Eumeralla Formations. This latter result confirms recent review work in the Port Campbell Embayment where the index species Clauffera triplex and Phyllocladidites mawsonii have been found in all examined wells to range to the base of the Waarre Formation (Partridge, 1996a;1997).

The implications of this discovery is that all sections assigned to the *A. distocarinatus* Zone in the Otway Basin actually belongs to the *P. mawsonii* Zone and consequently there are <u>no sediments of proven</u> <u>Cenomanian age currently recognised in the Otway Basin</u>. In manuscripts currently in preparation it is proposed to abandon the use of the *A. distocarinatus* Zone and replace it with the *Hoegisporis uniforma* Zone for the revised "Cenomanian" concept of the zone as redefined by Helby *et al.*, (1987).

In many wells in the Otway Basin the top of *A. distocarinatus* Zone, which has usually been picked at the youngest occurrence of *Appendicisporites distocarinatus*, approximates the top of the new *H. trinalis* Subzone. This stratigraphic level corresponds to about the last or youngest **consistent**, frequent to common occurrences of *A. distocarinatus*. Unfortunately, sporadic, inconsistent and rare occurrences of *A. distocarinatus* are recorded as high as the top of the new *G. ancorus* Subzone as has been recorded in this well. These latter records are the reason why the previously recorded tops for the *A. distocarinatus* Zone is often irregular or time diachronous with respect to log correlations and stratigraphic units. Many of these younger records are believed to represent reworked specimens.

- 3. Marine microplankton first appear in Fenton Creek–1 in the basal sample analysed from the Waarre Formation and thereafter are found in all samples analysed from the Sherbrook Group. It is therefore reasonable to conclude (with the exception perhaps of some of the sands) that the entire Sherbrook Group was deposited in marine environments. Except for the low diversity microplankton assemblage recorded at 1200m all samples from the Sherbrook Group were successfully assigned to microplankton zones.
- 4. Commencing from total depth the oldest unit penetrated in Fenton Creek-1 is the Eumeralla Formation at the top of the Otway Group. The two deep sidewall cores at 1790m and 1810m both gave typical Eumeralla assemblages which are assigned to the *P. pannosus* Zone. As no microplankton were identified in either sample both are considered to represent deposition in fluviatile environments. The 12 sidewall cores recovered between 1790m and top of the formation were either barren or gave only low palynomorph recoveries and were not analysed for this report.
- 5. The log pick for the top of the Eumeralla Formation at 1655m lies immediately below the first good assemblage from the Waarre Formation at 1654m. The occurrence of marine dinoflagellates immediately above the top of Otway unconformity (in this case one metre above) is consistent with all other wells in the Otway Basin where there is close sampling across this unconformity. The final erosion on this surface, prior to deposition of the marine Waarre Formation, is therefore interpreted as a classic plain of marine denudation (Bates & Jackson, 1987; p.507).
- 6. The 102 metre thick Waarre Formation identified between 1552.5–1655m is subdivided into the three units recognised by Buffin (1989) using both electric logs and palynological data. Unit A, containing the basal sands is identified between 1624–1654m while Unit B is considered to be restricted to the shale between 1612.5–1624m. The palynomorph assemblages from these two units are dominated by spores with different species prominent in each of the samples. The samples also contain frequent to common marine dinoflagellate assemblages (average 7% of combined SP and MP counts) which are of low diversity. Overall the environment of deposition is marine but probably near shore and shallow water with possibly some lagoonal or  $\geq$ estuarine environments near the base of the section. Units A and B belong to the new H. trinalis Subzone of the P. mawsonii spore-pollen Zone and the new C. edwardsii Acme of the P. infusorioides microplankton Zone both of which are assigned an early Turonian age.]

}

)

7. A 60 metre thick Unit C of the Waarre Formation is identified between 1552.5–1612.5m but only the two closely spaced claystone sidewall core samples at 1566m and 1567m were analysed for palynology. The recorded assemblages are assigned to the new L. musa spore-pollen Subzone and *I. evexus* microplankton Subzone and both are dominated by the enigmatic microplankton or algal cyst Amosopollis cruciformis which averages 37% of total assemblage count. This cyst has been found in abundance associated with both marine dinoflagellates in the Otway Basin and with the endemic non-marine algal cyst assemblages found in the Turonian large lakes of the Gippsland and Bass Basins (Marshall, 1989; Partridge, 1996b). In Fenton Creek-1 deposition of the shale unit between 1564–1568m is interpreted to have occurred in a very shallow marine to brackish marginal marine -Xenvironment. Relative to the underlying Units A and B, and the overlying Flaxman Formation, Unit C is more regressive in character. This is consistent with its higher sand ratio.

It is also tentatively suggested that Unit C can be subdivided into Unit Ca between 1594–1612.5m and Unit Cb between 1552.5–1594m following Partridge (1997). If Fenton Creek-1 behaves like other wells the LAD of *Hoegisporis trinalis* n.sp. should occur in one or all of the thin shale beds at 1586m, 1603m and 1605m and a sequence boundary could be placed at 1585m.

- 8. A <u>28</u> metre thick Flaxman Formation is identified in Fenton Creek-1 between <u>1524.5-1552.5m</u>. All samples gave palynological assemblages which are confidently assigned to the middle part of the *P. mawsonii* Zone and upper part of the *P. infusorioides* microplankton Zone. They can be more precisely assigned to the new *K. polypes* microplankton Subzone. The equivalent new *G. ancorus* spore-pollen Subzone is however only confidently identified between 1524–1543m. Both subzones provide confident biostratigraphic correlation to the recently reviewed and revised type section of the Flaxman Formation in Port Campbell-2 (Partridge, 1996a; Kelly & Partridge, 1997).
- 9. A 2.5 metre thick sandstone identified between 1524.5–1527m at the top of the Flaxman Formation, based on sidewall core lithology and the electric logs, is assigned to the Banoon Member recently proposed by Kelly & Partridge (1997). Palynology supports a correlation to the type section of the Banoon Member in Flaxman–1 based on the presence of the characteristic *Cupressacites* pollen spike (Kelly & Partridge, 1997). The sidewall cores at 1524m and 1526.5m which are described as "dark greenish

grey sandstone" will however need to be checked by petrology to confirm whether they contain authigenic chamosite and goethite considered diagnostic of this new member (Kelly & Partridge, 1997). The shallower sample at 1524m lies above the log pick for the top of the member. This may reflect a slight inaccuracy in the sampling depth or could be interpreted as reworking at the base the Belfast Mudstone. The latter is suggested by the mutual occurrence of *Kiokansium polypes* and *Valensiella griphus* in an assemblage dominated by *Trithyrodinium* sp., a species which is considered more typical of the overlying *I. balmei* Subzone.

10. A 107 metre thick Belfast Mudstone is identified between 1417–1524m based on biostratigraphic criteria established by Partridge (1996a). The three samples analysed confirm a Coniacian age at the base and a Late Santonian age at the top. In biostratigraphic terms the two deepest samples between 1498.5–1520m are assigned to the *I. balmet* Subzone of the *C. striatoconus* microplankton Zone as identified by McMinn (1988). Unfortunately the eponymous species for both the *C. striatoconus* Zone and the new *C. vultuosus* spore-pollen Subzone were not recorded in either sample. Above these two samples is a ~100m sampling gap before the sample at 1422m near the top of the formation which is assigned to the *I. cretaceum* microplankton Zone and Upper *T. apoxyexinus* spore-pollen Zone. The Upper subdivision of the latter zone is based on the increase in *Proteacidites* species abundance which is similar to that found in the type section of the Belfast Mudstone in Port Campbell-1 (Partridge, 1996a).

The Belfast Mudstone could not be confidently subdivided on the limited palynological sampling available, however it possible that the gamma/sonic spike at 1458m could represent a significant boundary in the formation which may correlate to the sequence boundary at the top of the informal Morum Member recognised in the Gambier Embayment (Partridge, 1997). The sonic spike and more subtle gamma change at 1452m may also be significant.

11. A 93 metre thick Nullawarre Greensand is identified on the electric logs between 1324–1417m but unfortunately was not analysed. This is overlain by a 125 metre thick Skull Creek Mudstone (GSV, 1995) between 1198.5–1324m which is sampled near its base and top. The occurrence of the new *I. rotundatum* microplankton Subzone of the *I cretaceum* Zone at the base of the formation is consistent with current data in other wells. The base of the overlying *N. aceras* microplankton is also known from other wells to lie within the Skull Creek Mudstone but precisely where is uncertain. The

sample at 1200m unfortunately does not help as key species were not found in a moderate diversity spore-pollen assemblage. Overall very little detailed palynological work has been undertaken at this stratigraphic level in the Sherbrook Group within the Port Campbell Embayment because of a current emphasis on detailed sampling of the older formations.

12. The shallowest sample analysed in Fenton Creek-1 at 1118m is from within the Paaratte Formation and is Early Campanian in age (*N. senectus* and *N. aceras* Zones). Unfortunately lack of palynological control and the overall similarity in the electric log signature makes it difficult to distinguish the Paaratte Formation from the overlying Timboon Sand so therefore this interval is left undifferentiated.

## **Biostratigraphy**

)

)

)

The zone and age determinations are based on the Australia wide Mesozoic sporepollen and microplankton zonation schemes described by Helby *et al.* (1987) with further resolution provided by the subzones recognised by McMinn (1988) and Partridge (1997). Author citations for most spore-pollen species can be sourced from Helby *et al.* (1987), Dettmann (1963), Stover & Partridge (1973) or other references cited herein, whilst author citations for dinoflagellates can be found in the index of Lentin & Williams (1993). Species names followed by "ms" or "n.sp." are unpublished manuscript names.

#### **SPORE-POLLEN ZONES**

Nothofagidites senectus spore-pollen Zone Forcipites sabulosus spore-pollen Subzone Sample at: 1118.0 metres. Age: Early Campanian.

This angiosperm dominated assemblage with abundant *Proteacidites* spp. (37%) is assigned to the *N. senectus* Zone on the frequent occurrence *Forcipites* sabulosus (~4%). The frequent to common occurrence of the latter species and absence of *Gambierina* rudata defines the *F. sabulosus* Subzone within the lower part of the *N. senectus* Zone.

The sample at 1200m unfortunately only gave a small residue yield. Although a moderate diversity spore-pollen assemblage was recorded the absence of key index species means the sample can only be assigned to the interval of the *N. senectus* to *T. apoxyexinus* Zones.

Environment of deposition of both samples is considered marine although probably shallow water and near-shore.

## *Tricolporites apoxyexinus* spore-pollen Zone Interval: 1320.0–1422.0 metres.

#### Age: Late Santonian.

Two samples are assigned to this zone on the occurrence of *Tricolporites apoxyexinus* in both samples, presence of *Ornamentifera sentosa* in the shallower sample, and absence of younger index species. The significant abundance of *Proteacidites* spp. in both samples (average 10%) suggests a position high in the spore-pollen zone and this is confirmed by the associated microplankton which are assigned to the *I. cretaceum* microplankton Zone. Aside from being characterised by an overall increase in angiosperm pollen (average 25%) both samples have abundant bisaccate pollen assigned to *Podocarpidites/Alisporites* spp. (average 24%) and common *Gleicheniidites/Clavifera* spores (average 12%).

Both samples contain common microplankton of moderate diversity and are likely to have been deposited in an offshore marine environment in moderate water depths (~mid to outer shelf).

## Phyllocladidites mawsonii spore-pollen Zone Interval: 1498.5-1654.0 metres. Age: Coniacian-Turonian.

Nineteen samples over an interval of 155+ metres are assigned to the *P. mawsonii* Zone in the lower third of the Sherbrook Group in Fenton Creek–1. The index species *Phyllocladidites mawsonii* is very rare and recorded from only the lowest 2 of the 5 deepest samples, but is consistent, varying from rare to common, in the 14 shallowest samples. *Clavifera triplex* the index species originally proposed by Dettmann & Playford (1969) for this zone interval is also recorded from 2 of the 5 deepest samples and 9 of the next 14 samples. It tends to be rarer than *P. mawsonii* in the assemblages. Further details of these assemblages are discussed under the new subzones.

## Gleicheniidites ancorus spore-pollen Subzone Interval: ?1498.5m to 1524.0-1543.0m to ?1549.0 metres. Age: Late Turonian to Coniacian?.

The *G. ancorus* Subzone is the interval between the last consistent and frequent occurrence of *Laevigatosporites musa* n.sp. (which is also approximately the local FAD of *Gleicheniidites ancorus* n.sp.) to the FAD of *Clavifera vultuosus* n.sp. This new subzone is confidently recognised in the Flaxman Formation between 1524–1543m on the presence of the *Gleicheniidites ancorus* n.sp. The eponymous species was not found in the three deepest samples from the between 1544.5-1549m and

)

)

it is dubious whether the two samples from the basal Belfast Mudstone which contain *G. ancorus* but lack *Clavifera vultuosus* n.sp. should be assigned to this zone. The upper part of the subzone between 1524–1530m is also characterised by an common *Cupressacites* pollen (7% to 14%). The continued presence of *Rugulatisporites admirabilis* ms and rare specimens of *Appendicisporites distocarinatus* are considered as secondary features characteristic of this subzone. The rare occurrences of *Laevigatosporites* musa ms at 1533.5m, 1543m and 1548m are considered atypical.

The composition of all assemblages from the upper part of the *P. mawsonii* Zone between 1498.5m to 1549m is also distinctive. With exception of low yielding sample at 1538m all samples are dominated by gymnosperm pollen (average 64%). The most conspicuous increase is in the abundance of *Araucariacites* and *Dilwynites* pollen which combined average 26% of the spore-pollen count through this interval. Based on work in the Gippsland Basin were high counts of *Dilwynites* pollen correlate directly to high microplankton abundances (Partridge, 1989) it is empirically deduced that high *Dilwynites* and *Araucariacites* abundances in marine or lacustrine assemblages are a manifestation of a "Neves Effect" on the assemblages (Traverse, 1988: p.413). This suggests that the Flaxman Formation and basal Belfast Mudstone in Fenton Creek–1 have been deposited in distal offshore environments, which may also have been fairly deep. These observations are consistent with the higher microplankton abundances and species diversities over this interval in Fenton Creek–1.

## Laevigatosporites musa spore-pollen Subzone Interval: 1566–1567.0 metres.

#### Age: Mid? Turonian.

The *L. musa* Subzone is defined as the interval between the LAD for *H. trinalis* ms and the last consistent appearances of *Laevigatosporites musa* ms within the *P. mawsonii* Zone. In Fenton Creek–1 only the two closely spaced samples at 1566m and 1567m are assigned to the subzone. The assemblages are dominated by *Podocarpidites/Alisporites* spp. (~27%), *Cyathidites* spp. (19%) and *Gleicheniidites* spp. (11%). Contrast also the dominance of gymnosperm pollen in these two samples (average 57%) with the dominance of spores (average 68%) in the count of the samples from the underlying *H. trinalis* Subzone (Table 4).

In the Port Campbell Embayment this zone is found in the upper part of the Unit C of the Waarre Formation. Unfortunately definition of the top of the subzone is somewhat problematical as it is obscured by poor sampling associated with the unconformity and major facies change between the Waarre and Flaxman Formations.

## Hoegisporis trinalis spore-pollen Subzone Interval: 1622.0-1654.0 metres.

### Age: Early? Turonian.

The *H. trinalis* Subzone is defined as the interval from the LAD of *Hoegisporis uniforma* to the LAD of *H. trinalis* ms. It is recorded in the five deepest samples from the Sherbrook Group in Fenton Creek–1 over an interval of 32 metres. The zone is characterised by the rare but consistent occurrences of the eponymous species in each sample together with consistent occurrences of *Appendicisporites distocarinatus* (in all samples), *Rugulatisporites admirabilis* ms (in 4 of 5 samples) and *Laevigatosporites* musa ms (in 3 of 5 samples). The presence of very rare specimens of *Phyllocladidites* mawsonii at 1650.5m and 1654m and *Clavifera triplex* at 1650.5m and 1635m confirms that the interval still belongs to the *P. mawsonii* Zone.

The assemblages have similar compositions on counts being dominated by the species groups *Gleicheniidites* (average 20%), *Cyathidites* (average 18%), *Podocarpidites/Alisporites* (average 11%) and *Araucariacites/Dilwynites* (average ~9%). The consistent high abundance of *Gleicheniidites* (from 6% to 44%) is a key compositional feature which distinguishes assemblages from the basal Sherbrook Group from those of the underlying Eumeralla Formation. Average spore-pollen diversity in the subzone is 35+ species with a total species diversity of 50+ species.

The *H. trinalis* Subzone has previously been documented from Units A, B and basal part of Unit C of the Waarre Formation (Partridge, 1994).

## Pimopollenites pannosus spore-pollen Zone. Interval: 1790.5–1810.0 metres

## Age: Late Albian. The two sample analysed from the Eumeralla Formation gave very low yield, spore dominated residues in which *Cyathidites* spp. (34%), and *Baculatisporites/ Osmundacidites* spp. (25%) were the dominant species complexes. The gymnosperm pollen were dominated by *Alisporites/Podocarpidites* spp. (17%) with *Corollina* spp. showing a secondary but distinct abundance averaging ~4%. This latter species abundance has proved to be a key difference in distinguishing between assemblages from the Eumeralla and Waarre Formation even in spore dominated assemblages like those found near the base of the latter formation in Fenton Creek–1. In this well fortunately the samples can be confidently assigned to the *P. pannosus* Zone on the rare presence of the eponymous species.

#### MICROPLANKTON ZONES

#### ) Nelsoniella aceras microplankton Zone. Sample at 1118 metres.

## Age: Early Campanian.

The shallowest samples analysed can be assigned to the N. aceras Zone on the presence of a single specimen of the eponymous species. Other species in the low diversity microplankton assemblage are not considered zone diagnostic. The underlying sample at 1200m also contains a low diversity microplankton assemblage which potentially could belong to this zone.

## Isabelidinium cretaceum microplankton Zone. Sugar + 132014 -

#### Interval: 1320.0-1422.0 metres.

#### Age: Late Santonian.

Multiple specimens of the eponymous species recorded from both samples confirm the zone assignment. The samples also contain a variety of morphologically related types many of which have been assigned to new subspecies by Marshall (1984). Most significant is Isabelidinium belfastense subsp. rotundatum which is here considered to be a separate species whose FAD defines the base of the new I. rotundatum Subzone. This subzone has previously been recorded from the Nullawarre Greensand and base of the overlying Skull Creek Mudstone (eg. Partridge, 1994), as is the case in Fenton Creek-1. Other subspecies recorded were I. cretaceum subsp. contractum and I. cretaceum subsp. elongatum, which were both found in the deeper sample. Although the Isabelidinium species show the most diversity, Heterosphaeridium species tend to dominate the assemblages counts.

## Isabelidinium balmei microplankton Subzone. Interval: 1498.5-1520.0 metres.

#### Age: Coniacian.

)

)

The Isabelidinium balmei Interval Subzone was erected as a subzone of the C. striatoconus Zone by McMinn (1988) for the interval from the FAD for I. balmei to the FAD for Gillinia hymenophora and was considered to lie within the total range of C. striatoconus. In Fenton Creek-1 the two samples assigned to this zone contain I. balmei but lack C. striatoconus even though all slides from the two samples were searched. The samples may therefore be considered equivalent to the C. striatoconus Zone or may represent a previously unrecorded and slightly older interval in the Otway Basin between the FAD of I. balmei to the FAD C. striatoconus. Supporting the latter proposition is the lack of the spore Clavifera vultuosus n.sp. which on recent work in the Otway Basin appears to have a similar FAD to C. striatoconus. In Dunbar-1 the nearest well to Fenton Creek-1.

containing the *C. striatoconus* Zone, the eponymous species of the latter zone occurs with both *C. vultuosus* and *I. balmei* in a lower sample but *I. balmei* is missing from the association in an upper sample (Partridge, 1995). These differences in species associations may represent real range differences, or may just reflect serendipidous factors of sampling and palynology processing. Because of this uncertainty it is considered best to refrain from assigning the two samples in Fenton Creek–1 to the *C. striatoconus* Zone.

## Palaeohystrichophora infusorioides microplankton Zone. Interval: 1524–1654.0 metres.

#### Age: Turonian.

Although the seventeen samples assigned to this zone only showed low diversity in the Waarre Formation (average 6+ species per sample) and moderate diversity in the Flaxman Formation (average 14+ species per sample) the total diversity over the interval is high with 40+ species recorded.

The zone was originally defined on negative criteria of the absence of the index species for the underlying and overlying zones (Helby *et al.*, 1987; p.62). In Fenton Creek–1, as in other wells in the Otway Basin, the characteristic species of the underlying Cenomanian *D. multispinum* Zone are not found. Such species looked for and not found included *Diconodinium multispinum*, *Pseudoceratium ludbrookiae*, *Litosphaeridium siphoniphorum* and *Canninginopsis denticulata*. The top of the zone is usually better defined as *Conosphaeridium striatoconus*, the index species for the overlying zone, has been recorded from wells in the Otway Basin, although not in this well. Within the *P. infusorioides* Zone in Fenton Creek–1 three subzones are recognised as described below:

### Kiokansium polypes microplankton Subzone. Interval: 1524.0m? to 1526.5m-1549.0 metres. Age: Late? Turonian.

In the Otway Basin this subzone is defined as the interval between the FAD of *Valensiella griphus* to the LAD of *Kiokansium polypes* which is usually concurrent with the LAD of *V. griphus*. In the Port Campbell–2 well this zone conforms exactly with the type section of the Flaxman Formation (Partridge, 1996a) and therefore its identification is used as a key method for confirming the presence of that formation. The zone is recorded from ten samples in which the index species are usually prominent components of the microplankton assemblages. *Valensiella griphus* ranges in abundance from 4% to 17% (average ~17%), and *Kiokansium polypes* ranges in abundance from <1% to 14% (average ~10%) of MP count. The most abundant marine dinoflagellate however is *Heterosphaeridium* spp. ranging in abundance from 4% to 38% (average ~17%). Although overall the

)

)

microplankton are common to abundant through the zone (10% to 59%: average 39% of total SP and MP count) a significant component of this abundance is the algal cyst *Amosopollis cruciformis* which varies from <1% to a maximum of 37% (average ~12%) of total SP and MP count. In what are otherwise relatively homogeneous microplankton assemblages, through the Flaxman Formation, this variation in abundance of *A. cruciformis* is interpreted to reflect some type of cyclical phenomenon. As *A. cruciformis* has been observed to occur in abundance in both non-marine and marine environments the changes in abundance in this instance could be reflecting influxes of fresh or brackish water containing *A. cruciformis* into the basin.

The top sample at 1524m is described as a greenish grey sandstone. This contrasts with its log character which appears to indicate a shale or claystone. The samples also lies just half a metre above the top of the Banoon Member picked at 1524.5m. It is therefore possible the recorded depth at which this sidewall core was shot may be slightly in error. Alternatively, the sample may represent a reworking and mixing event at the flooding surface at the base of the Belfast Mudstone. Supporting this latter interpretation is the high abundance of *Trithyrodinium* sp. cf *T*. sp. A of Marshall 1990 which represents 50% of the microplankton count. This species is not recorded in the underlying samples but is common in younger samples and therefore is potentially indicating the sample at 1524m should be assigned to the Belfast Mudstone.

Overall the Flaxman Formation assemblages have the highest abundance and diversity of microplankton of all the stratigraphic units analysed in Fenton Creek–1. The marked change in both abundance and diversity of marine microplankton compared to the underlying Waarre Formation is the reason the formation is interpreted to represent the base of the major flooding event as well as the base of the regional seal within the Port Campbell Embayment (Partridge, 1997). The environment of deposition at Fenton Creek–1 is interpreted to be outer shelf in fairly deep water.

### Isabelidinium evexus microplankton Subzone. Sample at: 1566.0 metres.

#### Age: Late? Turonian.

This subzone is defined as the interval between the FAD of *Isabelidinium evexus* n.sp. to the local Otway Basin FAD of *Valensiella griphus*. The zone represents the oldest appearance in the Sherbrook Group succession of a small *Isabelidinium* species with a faint but distinct intercalary archeopyle (Type 2I). In Fenton Creek–1 this species is recorded in the shallowest sample analysed from the Waarre Formation, and from a few samples in the Flaxman Formation. The zone

is thought to be partly equivalent to the Ascodinium parvum Zone of Evans (1966, 1971). Ascodinium parvum although having a similar outline, is distinguished by its characteristic combination archeopyle involving both intercalary and apical paraplates. Unfortunately, this latter species has not been identified in any palynological studies on new wells drilled in the Otway Basin during the last five years. It is therefore concluded that the early records of A. parvum in the Otway Basin are all misidentifications. Another morphologically similar species is Isabelidinium acuminatum which can be distinguished from I. evexus by the presence of a small but distinct apical horn on the endocyst. Although I. acuminatum has been recorded in the Otway Basin by various palynologists I have never seen, nor can I confirm that any of the previously identified specimens actually have this apical horn which is so characteristic of the type specimens of I. acuminatum. In the absence of this distinguishing characteristic the option followed here is to assign all similar but distinct specimens to the new species Isabelidinium evexus. The zone based on such morphological criteria may then be partly equivalent to the Isabelidinium acuminatum Interval Zone of McMinn (1988), because small specimens of Isabelidinium, without a distinct apical horn on the endocyst, are included within McMinn's (1985) concept of Isabelidinium acuminatum.

The low diversity and abundance of marine dinoflagellates associated with abundant *Amosopollis cruciformis* in the samples at 1566m and 1567m is interpreted to indicate a shallow marine inner-shelf depositional environment.

## Cribroperidinium edwardsii microplankton Acme Subzone. Interval: 1622.0-1654.0 metres.

#### Age: Early? Turonian.

The Cribroperidinium edwardsti Acme Subzone was established for marine dinoflagellate assemblages found in the lower part of the Waarre Formation which are of relatively low diversity and low abundance, yet contain a dominance of the eponymous species (Partridge, 1994). In the five samples in Fenton Creek–1 referred to this zone average microplankton abundance is only ~7% and average diversity 7+ species per sample. In these assemblages *C. edwardsti* has an abundance ranging from 7% to 50% (average ~30%) of the total MP in what are very low assemblage counts (see Table 4). Although rarely dominant in the total palynomorph assemblages *C. edwardsti* is certainly the most conspicuous and often the dominant dinoflagellate, thereby justifying the use of the term Acme Zone. The LAD for *C. edwardsti* in the Port Campbell Embayment appears to be within or at the top of the Flaxman Formation, but as its occurrence in the latter formation is both rare and sporadic it is considered highly likely that most of these younger occurrences represent reworking. Because of this significant

difference between total range versus dominance in the assemblages the weight given to the records of *C. edwardsii* in early palynological reports in the Otway Basin should be treated with extreme caution unless there is some indication of the relative abundance of the species.

Environment of deposition during this zone in Fenton Creek–1 is interpreted to be shallow marine to marginal marine. The low abundance and diversity of the microplankton associated with coaly laminations and unusual high abundances of *Gleicheniidites* spores suggest that some deposition occurred landward of the palaeoshoreline in lagoons or estuaries (eg. SWC at 1650.5m which is an interbedded coal and claystone with 44% *Gleicheniidites*).

#### References

)

)

- BATES, R.L. & JACKSON, J.A., (Editors), 1987. Glossary of Geology. Third Edition. American Geological Institute, Alexandria, Virginia, p.1-788.
- BUFFIN, A.J., 1989. Waarre Sandstone development within the Port Campbell Embayment. APEA Journal 29 (1), p.299-311.
- DETTMANN, M.E., 1963. Upper Mesozoic microfloras from southeastern Australia. Proceedings Royal Society Victoria 77, p.1–148.
- DETTMANN, M.E. & PLAYFORD, G., 1969. Palynology of the Australian Cretaceous: a review. **In** Stratigraphy and palaeontology. Essays in honour of Dorothy Hill, K.S.W. Campbell, ed., A.N.U. Press Canberra, p.174-210.
  - GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF VICTORIA, 1995. The stratigraphy, structure and geophysics and hydrocarbon potential of the Eastern Otway Basin. *Geological Survey of Victoria Report 103*, p.1-241, figs 1-85, enclosures 1-16 (In the text this paper is referred to as GSV, 1995).
  - HELBY, R., MORGAN, R. & PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1987. A palynological zonation of the Australian Mesozoic. *Memoir Association Australasian Palaeontologists 4*, p.1–94.
  - KELLY, J.C. & PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1997. Petrology and stratigraphy of selected glauconitic and chamositic core samples from the Flaxman Formation, Port Campbell Embayment, southeastern Victoria. *Biostrata Report* 1997/11, p.1– 37.
  - LENTIN, J.K. & WILLIAMS, G.L., 1993. Fossil Dinoflagellates: Index to genera and species, 1993 Edition. AASP Contribution Series No. 28, p.1–856.
  - MARSHALL, N.G., 1984. Late Cretaceous dinoflagellates from the Perth Basin, western Australia. PhD thesis, University of Western Australia (unpubl.).
- MARSHALL, N.G., 1989. An unusual assemblage of algal cysts from the late Cretaceous, Gippsland Basin, Southeastern Australia. *Palynology* 13, p.21– 56.
  - MARSHALL, N.G., 1990. Campanian dinoflagellates from southeastern Australia. Alcheringa vol.14, p.1-38.

- MCMINN, A., 1985. Late Cretaceous dinoflagellate palynostratigraphy of northwestern Australia. PhD thesis, Macquarie University, Sydney (unpubl.).
- MCMINN, A., 1988. Outline of a Late Cretaceous dinoflagellate zonation of northwestern Australia. *Alcheringa vol.12*, no.1-2, p.137–156.
- PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1989. Palynological analysis of Roundhead–1, Gippsland Basin. Esso Australia Ltd, Palaeontological Report 1989/17.
- PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1994. Palynological analysis of Langley-1, Port Campbell Embayment, Otway Basin. *Biostrata Report 1994/11*, p.1–28.
- PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1995. Palynological analysis of Dunbar-1, Port Campbell Embayment, Otway Basin. *Biostrata Report* 1995/7, p.1-11.
- PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1996a. Palynological review of the type sections of the Belfast Mudstone, Flaxman and Waarre Formations in the Port Campbell Embayment, Otway Basin. *Biostrata Report* 1996/1, p.1-25.
- PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1996b. Large break-up lakes of the Bass Strait. In: Gallagher, S., (compiler), *Gippsland Basin Symposium '96 Program and Extended Abstracts*, University of Melbourne, p.3-6.
- PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1997. New Upper Cretaceous palynology of the Sherbrook Group, Otway Basin. In Victorian Supplement, PESA News April/May, p.9.
- STOVER, L.E. & PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1973. Tertiary and late Cretaceous spores and pollen from the Gippsland Basin, southeastern Australia. *Proceedings Royal Society Victoria* 85, p.237–286.

TRAVERSE, A., 1988. Paleopalynology. Unwin Hymen Ltd, Boston, p.1-600.

 $\smile$ 

.

Sample Type	Depth (m)	Spore-Pollen Zone (and Subzone)	CR	Microplankton Zone (and Subzone)	CR	MP%	Ac%	Key Species Present
SWC 48	1118.0	N. senectus	B2	N. aceras	B3	~4%	1%	FAD of Forcipites sabulosus at ~4% Proteacidites spp. abundant at 37%.
SWC 47	1200.0	N. senectus to T. apoxyexinus				~6%	NR	Low yield sample without key species. Proteacidites spp. common at 11%.
SWC 46	1320.0	T. apoxyexinus (Upper)	BI	I. cretaceum (I. rotundatum)	B2	7%	2%	FAD of Isabelidinium rotundatum ms. Proteacidites spp. common at 9%
SWC 45	1422.0	T. apoxyexinus (Upper)	B1	I. crelaceum	B2	13%	1%	FAD of Tricolporites apoxyexinus Proteacidites spp. common at 12%
SWC 44	1498.5	P. mawsonii	B1	I. balmel	B2	24%	14%	Cupressacites pollen decreasing at ~3% Proteacidites spp. rare at <1%.
SWC 43	1520.0	P. mawsonll	B1	I. balmei	B3	11%	9%	FAD of Isabelidinium balmei Cupressacites pollen spike of 14%
SWC 42	1524.0	P. mawsonii (G. ancorus)	BI	P. infusorioides (K. polypes)	B2	20%	<1%	LAD of Kiokansium polypes Cupressacites pollen spike of ~8%
SWC 41	1526.5	P. mawsonii (G. ancorus)	B2	P. infusorioides (K. polypes)	B2	10%	2%	Cupressaciles pollen spike of 13% Dilwynites spp. abundant at 50%
SWC 40	1530.0	P. mawsonii (G. ancorus)	B1	P. infusorioides (K. polypes)	B3	12%	3%	FAD of Tanyosphaertdium salpinx Cupressacties pollen spike of 7%.
SWC 39	1533.5	P. mawsonll (G. ancorus)	BI	P. infusorioides (K. polypes)	B2	49%	23%	LAD of Laevigatosporites musa ms Cupressacites pollen increasing at ~3%
SWC 38	1535.5	P. mawsonii (G. ancorus)	B1	P. Infusorioides (K. polypes)	B2	43%	15%	Cupressacites pollen not recorded in count.
SWC 37	1538.0	P. mawsonli (G. ancorus)	B2	P. infusorioldes (K. polypes)	B3	44%	10%	Low yield sample with poor assemblage. LAD of Rugulatisporites admirabilis ms
SWC 36	1543.0	P. mawsonii (G. ancorus)	B1	P. infusorioides (K. polypes)	B2	52%	37%	FAD of Gleicheniidites ancorus ms
SWC 35	1544.5	P. mawsonii	B1	P. infusorioides (K. polypes)	B2	51%	19%	Maximum MP diversity of 21+ species. Heterosphaeridium spp. 24% of MP count.
SWC 34	1548.0	P. mawsonii	B1	P. infusorioldes (K. polypes)	B2	58%	5%	Maximum MP diversity of 20+ species. Heterosphaeridium spp. 22% of MP count.

Page 17

Biostr
ata
Report
1997/
11

Sample Type	Depth (m)	(and Subzone)	CR	Microplankton Zone (and Subzone)	CR	MP%	Ac%	Key Species Present
SWC 33	1549.0	P. mawsonli	B1	P. infusorioldes (K. polypes)	B2	46%	12%	Helerosphaeridium spp. 38% of MP count. Base of marine flooding event.
SWC 31	1566.0	P. mawsonii (L. musa)	Bl	P. infusorioides (I. evexus)	B3	45%	40%	LAD of consistent Laevigatosporites musa ms FAD of Isabelidinium evexus ms.
SWC 30	1567.0	P. mawsonii (L. musa)	Bl	P. infusorioides	B3	36%	33%	Oldest occurrence of Phyllocladidites mawsonil in count at ~4%.
SWC 22	1622.0	P. mawsonii (H. trinalis)	B1	P. infusorioides (C. edwardsii Acme)	B3	12%	1%	LAD of Hoegisporis trinalis ms LAD of consistent A. distocarinatus.
SWC 21	1635.0	P. mawsonii (H. trinalis)	B1	P. infusorioides (C. edwardsii Acme)	B3	9%	1%	Maximum SP diversity of 42+ species. LAD of Paleoperidinium cretaceum.
SWC 19	1646.0	P. mawsonii (H. trinalis)	B1	P. infusorioides (C. edwardsii Acme)	B3	~5%	NR	Cyathidites spp. dominant at $34\%$ . H. trinalis ms frequent at $\sim 3\%$ .
SWC 18	1650.5	P. mawsonii (H. trinalis)	B1	P. infusorioides (C. edwardsii Acme)	B3	7%	1%	Glechnlidites spp. at 44% dominant. FAD of Clavifera triplex.
SWC 17	1654.0	P. mawsonii (H. trinalis)	B1	P. infusorioides (C. edwardsii Acme)	B3	~3%	NR	FADs of P. mawsonil, Hoegisporis trinalis ms and Appendicisporites distocarinatus.
SWC 4	1790.5	P. pannosus	B2			NR	NR	Cyathidites spp. dominant at ~30% Rare Phimopollenites pannosus present.
SWC 2	1810.0	P. pannosus	B2			NR	NR	FAD of Phimopollenites pannosus. Corollina spp. conspicuous at 6.5%.
Abbreviati	lons:							
	fidence Rati							FAD = First Appearance Datum
		as percentage of total MP a ruciformis as percentag						LAD = Last Appearance Datum NR = Not Recorded

#### **Confidence Ratings**

)

)

)

The Confidence Ratings assigned to the zone identifications on Table 2 are quality codes used in the STRATDAT relational database developed by the Australian Geological Survey Organisation (AGSO) as a National Database for interpretive biostratigraphic data. Their purpose is to provide a simple relative comparison of the quality of the zone assignments. The alpha and numeric components of the codes have been assigned the following meanings:

Alpha codes: Linked to sample type

- **A** Core
- **B** Sidewall core
- C Coal cuttings
- **D** Ditch cuttings
- E Junk basket
- F Miscellaneous/unknown
- G Outcrop

Numeric codes: Linked to fossil assemblage

1	Excellent confidence:	High diversity assemblage recorded with key zone species.
2	Good confidence:	Moderately diverse assemblage recorded with key zone species.
3	Fair confidence:	Low diversity assemblage recorded with key zone species.
4	Poor confidence:	Moderate to high diversity assemblage recorded without key zone species.
5	Very low confidence:	Low diversity assemblage recorded without key zone species.

#### **Species Diversity**

The use of relative diversity terms equate to the following number of species. Both spore-pollen and microplankton diversity excludes reworked or caved species in the samples

Very low	=	1–5	species
Low	=	6–10	species
Moderate	=	11–25	species
High	=	26–74	species
Very high	=	75+	species

Sample Type	Depth (m)	Lithology Visual Yield Palynomorph Concentration			Preservation	Number SP Species	Number MP Species
SWC 48		SANDSTONE, light grey (60%) inter-bedded with dark grey CLAYSTONE (40%).	Moderate	Low	Fair-good	18	4
SWC 47		SANDSTONE, light grey.	Very low	Very low	Poor-fair	25	4
SWC 46		CLAYSTONE, dark brownish grey.	High	High	Poor-good	44	11
SWC 45		CLAYSTONE, brownish black with common glauconitic.	High	High	Poor-fair	41	12
SWC 44		CLAYSTONE, brownish black.	High	Low-high	Poor-fair	40	14
SWC 43		CLAYSTONE, dark grey.	Moderate	Moderate	Poor-fair	34	9
SWC 42	1524.0	SANDSTONE, dark greenish grey.	High	Moderate	Poor-fair	30	16
SWC 41	1526.5	SANDSTONE, dark greenish grey.	Very low	High	Fair-good	19	10
SWC 40	1530.0	CLAYSTONE, dark grey.	Moderate	Low-moderate	Poor	21	8
SWC 39		CLAYSTONE, dark grey.	High	Moderate	Poor-fair	28	19
SWC 38	1535.5	CLAYSTONE, dark grey.	High	High	Poor-fair	23	14
SWC 37		CLAYSTONE, dark grey.	Very low	Low	Poor	14	8
SWC 36	1543.0	CLAYSTONE, dark grey.	High	High	Poor-fair	32	21
SWC 35	1544.5	CLAYSTONE, dark grey.	High	High	Poor-good	32	20
SWC 34		CLAYSTONE, dark brownish grey.	High	High	Poor-good	33	17
SWC 33	1549.0	CLAYSTONE, dark brownish grey.	High	High	Fair	37	16
SWC 31		CLAYSTONE, brownish black, carbonaceous.	Moderate	Moderate	Poor-fair	27	5
SWC 30		CLAYSTONE, brownish black, carbonaceous.	Moderate	Moderate	Poor-fair	27	4
SWC 22	1622.0	CLAYSTONE, dark brownish grey.	Moderate	Low-Moderate	Fair-good	31	8
SWC 21		CLAYSTONE, dark brownish grey with off- white SANDSTONE laminations.	Moderate	High	Fair-good	42	12
SWC 19		CLAYSTONE, brownish black.	High	Low-high	Fair-good	41	5
SWC 18		Interbedded COAL and brownish black CLAYSTONE.	High	High	Fair-good	31	8
SWC 17		CLAYSTONE, brownish grey with COAL microlaminations.	High	Low-high	Fair-good	34	5
SWC 4		SILTSTONE, medium green-grey.	Low	Moderate	Poor	25	NR
SWC 2	1810.0	SANDSTONE, very light grey.	Low	High	Poor	17	NR
					Averages:	29.8	10.9

)

.

Range and Abundance Chart	<b>20</b>	2	6	10	4	6	N	
for Palynomorphs		347	0.46	45	0.44	343	42	41
ior raiynomorphs	SWC	SWC	SWC	SWC	SWC	SWC	SWC	SWC
	0.	0.	0.	0	ເດ	0.	o,	່ານ
Sample Type & Depth (m)		1200.0	1320.0	1422.0	1498.5	1520.0	1524.0	1526.5
SPORES								
Aequitriradites spp.			1					
Appendicisporites spp.	1						1	<u> </u>
Baculatisporites spp.	1	5.0%		0.9%	2.1%	0.9%		0.69
Cicatricosisporites spp.			0.6%	0.9%	1.4%		1.9%	0.69
Clavifera spp.		2.0%	1.7%	0.9%	2.8%			1.29
Cyathidites (large) >40 $\mu$ m	1.8%	3.0%		1.8%	2.1%	5.6%	1.9%	
Cyathidites (small) $<40\mu m$	1.8%	7.9%	1	3.6%	4.9%	1 .	2.9%	1
Dictyophyllidites spp.		1.0%	1.7%	0.9%	3.5%			
Foveogleicheniidites confossus								
Gleicheniidites spp.	0.9%	6.9%	6.9%	14.5%	14.8%	5.6%	4.9%	4.39
Herkosporites & Ceratosporites spp.		5.570	2.9%	1.8%			1.9%	
Laevigatosporites spp.	1.8%	2.0%		3.6%	1.4%	1.9%	1.3 /0	
Marratisporites scabratus	1.0 /0	2.070	2.070	0.070	1.70	0.9%	1.9%	
Osmundacidites spp.		1.0%	1.1%	0.9%		0.9%	1.9%	
Peromonolites spp.		1.0 %	1.1 %	0.976		0.970	1.0%	
Retitriletes spp.	1.8%	1.0%	0.6%		1.49	0.9%	2.08	0.69
	1.070	1.0%	0.0%		1.4%	0.9%	2.9%	0.69
Rugulatisporites spp.		1.00				0.00	1.00	ļ
Stereisporites spp. Triletes undiff.	0.00	1.0%	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.9%	1.0%	0.00
	0.9%	3.0%	2.3%	0.9%	2.1%	3.7%	1.0%	0.69
Triporoletes reticulatus	00/	0.40/	050/	010/	070/	0.70/	010/	100
Total Spores GYMNOSPERMS	9%	34%	25%	31%	37%	27%	21%	129
		1.00	1.10	1.001		1.00	1.00	1.00
Araucariacites australis		1.0%	1.1%	1.8%		1.9%	1.0%	1.29
Corollina spp.						2.8%		
Cupressacites sp.			0.6%	0.9%	2.8%	13.9%		13.49
Dilwynites pusillus		1.0%		2.7%	3.5%	9.3%		
Dilwynites spp.		2.0%	0.6%	3.6%	4.9%	9.3%	24.3%	34.19
Hoegisporis trinalis ms								
Lygistepollenites florinii		2.0%						
Microcachryidites antarcticus	4.5%	3.0%	6.3%	4.5%	15.5%	7.4%	11.7%	5.5%
Phyllocladidites eunuchus ms								
Phyllocladidites mawsonii	14.5%	6.9%	6.3%	2.7%	2.1%	4.6%	2.9%	2.49
Podocarpidites spp.	20%	20%	26%	23%	27%	17%	12%	129
Podosporites microsaccatus	2.7%	5.0%	1.7%	8.2%	5.6%	5.6%	2.9%	3.09
Vitreisporites signatus			0.6%		0.7%		1.0%	0.69
Total Gymnosperms	42%	41%	47%	47%	62%	71%	77%	88%
ANGIOSPERMS undiff.			1.7%	0.9%				
Asteropollis asteroides			1.7%					
Australopollis obscurus	0.9%	8.9%	10.3%	2.7%	0.7%		1.0%	
Forcipites sabulosus	3.6%	2.0%						
Forcipites spp.	2.7%	2.0%		0.9%				
Liliacidites spp.			1		0.7%			
Nothofagidites senectus								
Proteacidites spp.	37%	11%	9%	12%		0.9%		
Tricolpites/Tricolporites spp.	4.5%	3.0%	5.1%	4.5%		0.9%	1.0%	
Triporopollenites spp.		1.0%		0.9%		-		
	49%	26%	28%	22%	1%	2%	2%	•••
Total Angiosperms	49%	2070	20%	22 /0	1 /0	<b>2</b> /0	2 /0	

Table-4: Fenton Creek-1								
Range and Abundance Chart for Palynomorphs	SWC 48	SWC 47	SWC 46	SWC 45	SWC 44	SWC 43	SWC 42	SWC 41
Sample Type & Depth (m)	1118.0	1200.0	1320.0	1422.0	1498.5	1520.0	1524.0	1526.5
MICROPLANKTON % of MP COUNT					ĺ			
Microplankton undiff.	40%		23%	6%	11%	21%	31%	33%
Amosopollis cruciformis	20%		31%	6%	59%	7%		17%
Chatangiella spp./Isabelidinium spp.		14%		29%	7%			
Chlamydophorella nyei								
Cleistosphaeridium ancoriferum							4%	
Cribroperidinium edwardsii								••••••••••
Cyclophelium spp.								
Heterosphaeridium spp.	40%	57%	8%	41%	23%	14%	8%	
Kallosphaeridium spp.								
Kiokansium polypes							4%	6%
Lecaniella spp.								

							1	
Microplankton undiff.	40%		23%	6%	11%	21%	31%	33%
Amosopollis cruciformis	20%		31%	6%	59%	7%		17%
Chatangiella spp./lsabelidinium spp.		14%		29%	7%			
Chlamydophorella nyei								········
Cleistosphaeridium ancoriferum							4%	
Cribroperidinium edwardsii								
Cyclophelium spp.								
Heterosphaeridium spp.	40%	57%	8%	41%	23%	14%	8%	
Kallosphaeridium spp.								
Kiokansium polypes							4%	6%
Lecaniella spp.								
Microdinium spp.								17%
Nummus spp.		14%						
Odontochitina spp.		14%	8%	6%				
Oligosphaeridium spp.						7%		
Palaeohystrichophora infusorioides			23%					
Palambages spp.				12%				6%
Sigmopollis spp.								6%
Spiniferites spp.								
Trithyrodinium spp.			8%			50%	50%	
Valensiella griphus							4%	17%
TOTAL MICROPLANKTON COUNT:	5	7	13	17	44	14	26	18
Microplankton as % of total SP & MP	4%	6%	7%	13%	24%	11%	20%	10%
A. cruciformis as % of total SP & MP	1%		2%	1%	14%	1%		2%
TOTAL SP and MP COUNT:	115	108	188	127	186	122	129	182
Other fossils as % of Total Count								
Fungal fruiting bodies								
Fungal spores	1.7%		0.5%	0.8%		0.8%		
Fungal hyphae			1.0%	1.5%	0.5%	2.4%	3.0%	0.5%
Total Fungii	2%		2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	1%
Reworked Fossils			1.0%	3.0%	0.5%	0.8%		
TOTAL COUNT:	115	108	192	133	188	126	133	183

)

Table-4: Fenton Creek-1Range and Abundance Chart	0	6	80	7	9	ъ	4	6
		39	38	37	36	35	34	33
for Palynomorphs	swc	swc						
	രാ	S)	ŝ	Ś	Ś	o)	Ø	S S
	0.	ົດ	ณ	0.	0.	ю	0.	o.
Sample Type	1530.0	533	1535.	1538.0	543.0	1544.5	48	549.0
& Depth (m)	15	15	15	15	15	15	1548.	15
SPORES								
Aequitriradites spp.								
Appendicisporites spp.								
Baculatisporites spp.	3.8%	2.7%		4.3%	1.9%	3.7%	1.8%	1.0%
Cicatricosisporites spp.		0.9%		2.9%	2.9%	2.8%		1.9%
Clavifera spp.				1.4%	1.0%			1.0%
Cyathidites (large) >40µm	1.9%	4.5%	3.8%		3.8%	5.6%	4.5%	
Cyathidites (small) $<40\mu m$	9.6%		10.5%		12.5%	11.2%	13.6%	11.79
Dictyophyllidites spp.	9.0%	0.9%		2.9%	12.0 %	1.9%	3.6%	11.11
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1.370	0.30	1.0 %	2.30	-	1.3 /0	0.070	
Foveogleicheniidites confossus	1.0%	6 20'	10.5%	10.1%	6.7%	5.6%	5.5%	10.79
Gleicheniidites spp.	1.0%	0.3%	10.5%	10.1%	0.770	5.0%	5.5%	10.75
Herkosporites & Ceratosporites spp.			0.001		0.02	1.00%	F = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	0.00
Laevigatosporites spp.	1.9%	4.5%	3.8%		2.9%	1.9%	5.5%	3.9%
Marratisporites scabratus								
Osmundacidites spp.	1.9%		1.0%	2.9%			0.9%	1.0%
Peromonolites spp.								
Retitriletes spp.	1.9%	ł	1.9%			1.9%	1.8%	1.0%
Rugulatisporites spp.				1.4%	2.9%	0.9%		
Stereisporites spp.		1.8%			1.0%	0.9%	0.9%	1.0%
Triletes undiff.	6.7%	5.4%	2.9%	4.3%	1.0%	5.6%	7.3%	4.9%
Triporoletes reticulatus		1	1.0%				0.9%	
Total Spores	31%	40%	40%	58%	37%	42%	46%	38%
GYMNOSPERMS								
Araucariacites australis	3.8%	6.3%	2.9%	5.8%	1.9%	6.5%		1.9%
Corollina spp.						1.9%	1.8%	
Cupressacites sp.	6.7%	2.7%						2.9%
Dilwynites pusillus	9.6%	4.5%	4.8%		12.5%	8.4%		5.8%
Dilwynites spp.				10.1%	22.1%		11.8%	
Hoegisporis trinalis ms								
Lygistepollenites florinii								 
Microcachryidites antarcticus	6.7%	7.1%	8.6%	4.3%	5.8%	14.0%	14.5%	18.49
Phyllocladidites eunuchus ms	0.1 /0	1.1.70	0.0 /0	7.0/0	0.0 %	14.070	17.070	10.47
Phyllocladidites mawsonii	1.0%	1.90	1.0%	4.3%	1.0%	0.9%	3.69	1 000
	1.0%	1.8%			1.0%		3.6%	1.9%
Podocarpidites spp. Podosporites microsaccatus		13%	14%	1/%		16%	14%	16%
-	5.8%	0.00	1.9%		3.8%	0.9%	1.8%	2.9%
Vitreisporites signatus	0.00	0.9%		100/	1.0%			
Total Gymnosperms	64%	57%	57%	42%	62%	57%	47%	60%
ANGIOSPERMS undiff.	1.0%							
Asteropollis asteroides								
Australopollis obscurus	1.9%	1.8%	1.9%		1.9%		0.9%	
Forcipites sabulosus								
Forcipites spp.								
Liliacidites spp.	1.9%		1.0%			0.9%		
Nothofagidites senectus							0.9%	
Proteacidites spp.							0.9%	
Tricolpites/Tricolporites spp.		0.9%					3.6%	1.9%
Triporopollenites spp.								
Total Angiosperms	5%	3%	3%		2%	1%	6%	2%

•

<b>Range and Abundance Chart</b>	40	39	38	37	36	10	4	ß
for Palynomorphs	SWC 4	SWC 3	SWC 3	SWC 3	SWC 3	<b>SWC</b> 35	SWC 34	SWC 33
Sample Type & Depth (m)	1530.0	1533.5	1535.5	1538.0	1543.0	1544.5	1548.0	1549.0
MICROPLANKTON % of MP COUNT								
Microplankton undiff.	21%	10%	8%	15%	6%	12%	14%	12%
Amosopollis cruciformis	21%	47%	34%	22%	71%	38%	8%	27%
Chatangiella spp./Isabelidinium spp.		5%	13%		1%			
Chlamydophorella nyei		2%	6%	•		2%	3%	6%
Cleistosphaeridium ancoriferum		5%				5%		
Cribroperidinium edwardsii		1						
Cyclophelium spp.						1%		
Heterosphaeridium spp.	21%	8%	6%	36%	4%	24%	22%	38%
Kallosphaeridium spp.		1%	1%				31%	
Kiokansium polypes	14%	8%	4%	5%		3%	4%	6%
Lecaniella spp.								
Microdinium spp.		Í						
Nummus spp.								
Odontochitina spp.			3%	4%	1%	1%	6%	2%
Oligosphaeridium spp.								
Palaeohystrichophora infusorioides		8%	10%		2%	3%		
Palambages spp.		1%	4%		1%			
Sigmopollis spp.				2%				
Spiniferites spp.			10%		1%	_		
Trithyrodinium spp.			-					
Valensiella griphus	21%	5%	3%	16%	13%	12%	12%	9%
TOTAL MICROPLANKTON COUNT:	14	108	80	55	113	110	154	86
Microplankton as % of total SP & MP	12%	49%	43%	44%	52%	51%	58%	46%
A. cruciformis as % of total SP & MP	3%	23%	15%	10%	37%	19%	5%	12%
TOTAL SP and MP COUNT:	118	220	185	124	217	217	264	189
Other fossils as % of Total Count								
Fungal fruiting bodies		0.4%						
Fungal spores				_	0.5%		0.4%	
Fungal hyphae	0.8%	3.9%	1.1%	0.8%		0.5%	0.4%	0.5%
Total Fungli	1%	4%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%
Reworked Fossils		0.4%	0.5%	0.8%	0.5%		0.7%	

.

)

<b>Range and Abundance Chart</b>	31	30	22	21	0	13	~	4	10
for Palynomorphs	SWC 3	SWC 3	SWC 2	SWC 2	SWC 1	SWC 1	SWC 1	SWC	SWC
Sample Type & Depth (m)		1567.0	1622.0	1635.0	1646.0	1650.5	1654.0	1790.5	1810.0
SPORES	[ 	1		1				[	
Aequitriradites spp.						<u> </u>	7.1%		
Appendicisporites spp.		0.9%	1.9%	2.0%	1.7%	0.8%			
Baculatisporites spp.	0.9%	3.8%	2.8%	2.0%	0.9%	3.4%	0.8%	16.8%	20.1
Cicatricosisporites spp.			0.9%	3.3%	1.7%	0.8%	12.7%		1.49
Clavifera spp.	0.9%			0.7%				2.0.0	
Cyathidites (large) >40µm	4.7%	3.8%	4.7%	5.3%	8.7%	2.5%	4.0%	3.0%	6.59
Cyathidites (small) <40µm	8.4%	11.3%	18.9%		25.2%	1	4.0%	26.7%	1
Dictyophyllidites spp.	0.9%	4.7%	6.6%	2.7%	1.7%	1.7%	0.8%	20.170	• • • •
Foveogleicheniidites confossus	1.9%		0.9%	0.7%					
Gleicheniidites spp.	10.3%	12.3%	18.9%		6.1%	44.1%	11.1%	5.0%	1.49
Herkosporites & Ceratosporites spp.		1210/0	10.0 %	1.3%	0.170	0.8%	11.170	0.0 %	0.79
Laevigatosporites spp.	0.9%	1.9%		3.3%	2.6%	1	12.7%		0.17
Marratisporites scabratus				0.7%	2.0 %	10.0 %	12.7 %		
Osmundacidites spp.		0.9%	1.9%		1.7%			6.9%	6.59
Peromonolites spp.		1	110.0			0.8%		0.070	0.07
Retitriletes spp.		l		0.7%	3.5%	0.070	0.8%	4.0%	
Rugulatisporites spp.	6.5%	0.9%	0.9%	1.3%	6.1%	0.8%	0.0 %	4.070	
Stereisporites spp.				1.3%	0.9%	0.8%		2.0%	
Triletes undiff.	0.9%	3.8%	8.5%	7.3%	8.7%	5.1%	4.0%	3.0%	4.39
Triporoletes reticulatus					0.9%		4.8%	0.0%	1.07
Total Spores	36%	44%	67%	60%	70%	79%	63%	69%	73%
GYMNOSPERMS		1						0070	
Araucariacites australis	9.3%	6.6%	4.7%	6.0%	6.1%	0.8%	4.8%	3.0%	3.69
Corollina spp.	0.9%	·			0.9%		0.8%	2.0%	6.59
Cupressacites sp.	1.9%	3.8%	2.8%	2.0%					
Dilwynites pusillus	4.7%	2.8%	9.4%	6.7%		0.8%	0.8%		
Dilwynites spp.	4.7%	2.8%	0.9%	6.0%					
Hoegisporis trinalis ms			0.9%	1.3%	2.6%	0.8%	0.8%		
Lygistepollenites florinii									
Microcachryidites antarcticus	7.5%	4.7%	0.9%	5.3%	2.6%	3.4%	6.3%	5.9%	1.49
Phyllocladidites eunuchus ms					0.9%				
Phyllocladidites mawsonii	2.8%	3.8%							
Podocarpidites spp.	28%	27%	13%	9%	15%	11%	8%	20%	14%
Podosporites microsaccatus		0.9%		1.3%	0.9%	3.4%	15.9%		1.49
Vitreisporites signatus	0.9%					0.8%	10.0.0		
Total Gymnosperms	61%	53%	33%	38%	29%	21%	37%	31%	27%
ANGIOSPERMS undiff.		1.9%			/•			/ •	
Asteropollis asteroides					0.9%				
Australopollis obscurus	1.9%								
Forcipites sabulosus			·1						
Forcipites spp.									
Liliacidites spp.			i	2.0%					
Nothofagidites senectus									
Proteacidites spp.									
Tricolpites/Tricolporites spp.	0.9%	0.9%							0.79
Triporopollenites spp.		-					+		
Fotal Angiosperms	3%	3%		2%	1%				1%

:

	1			1	1			1	1
Table-4: Fenton Creek-1		1							
Range and Abundance Chart for Palynomorphs	SWC 31	<b>SWC 30</b>	SWC 22	SWC 21	SWC 19	SWC 18	SWC 17	SWC 4	SWC 2
Sample Type & Depth (m)	1566.0	1567.0	1622.0	1635.0	1646.0	1650.5	1654.0	1790.5	1810.0
MICROPLANKTON % of MP COUNT					1	1		1	1
Microplankton undiff.	3%	3%	36%	43%		22%	25%		1
Amosopollis cruciformis	90%	90%	7%	7%		11%		1	1
Chatangiella spp./Isabelidinium spp.	1%			1	<u> </u>				1
Chlamydophorella nyei			i		1	<u>+</u>			; ;
Cleistosphaeridium ancoriferum								<u>.</u>	
Cribroperidinium edwardsii		:	14%	7%	50%	33%	50%	· ·	
Cyclophelium spp.		2%		i	50%	1		,	1
Heterosphaeridium spp.	5%		7%					1	1
Kallosphaeridium spp.				1				1	1
Kiokansium polypes			7%						<u> </u> 
Lecaniella spp.	1%	:		1	1	<del> </del>	25%	1	
Microdinium spp.				,					·
Nummus spp.					1	!		1	
Odontochitina spp.		·		l <u></u>		22%		1	. <u>.</u>
Oligosphaeridium spp.			21%	43%	1	11%		:	; ;
Palaeohystrichophora infusorioides					<u>.</u>				:
Palambages spp.						!		·	1
Sigmopollis spp.					<u>.</u>	<u> </u>			:
Spiniferites spp.			7%			1		!	1
Trithyrodinium spp.					l				1
Valensiella griphus									1
TOTAL MICROPLANKTON COUNT:	87	60	14	14	6	9	4		1
Microplankton as % of total SP & MP	45%		12%				3%		1
A. cruciformis as % of total SP & MP	40%	33%	1%			1%			1
TOTAL SP and MP COUNT:	194	166	120	164	121	127	130	101	139
Other fossils as % of Total Count								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Fungal fruiting bodies	1.0%					i			<u>.</u>
Fungal spores	0.5%	1.7%		0.6%					1
Fungal hyphae	2.5%	3.5%	0.8%	0.6%			0.8%	1.0%	
Total Fungii	4%	5%	1%	1%			1%	1%	<u>}</u>
·									
Reworked Fossils		<u> </u>		1.2%	4.0%	0.8%		1.0%	0.7%
	199		1						1

## PALYNOMORPH RANGE CHART FOR FENTON CREEK-1, OTWAY BASIN.

## Format: Relative Abundance by Lowest Appearance

Key to Symbols

.

1.1

,

- D = CONTAMINATION W = REWORKING X = PRESENT I = SINGLE SPECIMEN R = RARE <1% F = FREQUENT >1% TO <5% C = COMMON >5% TO <20% H = VERY ABUNDANT >33% ? = Questionably Present . = Not Present

.

	:																																							
	ARAUCARIACITES AUSTRALIS	BACULATISPORUTES SPP.	CERATOSPORITES EQUALIS	CICATRICOSISPORITES AUSTRALIENSIS	CICATRICOSISPONITES SPP.	COROLLINA TOROSA	E's	CYATHIDITES SPP. small <45 microns of signatures converses	LEPTOLEPIDITES VERRUCOSUS	MICROCACHRYIDITES ANTARTICUS	OSMUNDACIDITES WELLMANIT	PHIMOPOLLENITES PANNOSUS	PODOCARPIDITES SPP.	PODOSPORITES MICROSACCATUS	RETITRILETES SPP.	VELOSPORITES TRIGUETRUS	AEGUITRIRADITES VERRUCOSUS	CRYBELOSPORITES STRIATUS	FOLEOSPORITES CANALIS	ISCHYOSPORITES PUNCTATUS	PEROTRILITES MAJUS	RETITRILETES AUSTROCLAVATIDITES	TRUCCETLES SPP. TRUMBALETES BETICULATUS	ABOUTRRADITES SPINILOSUS	APPENDICISPORITES DISTOCARINATUS	BALMEISPORITES HOLODICTIVUS	BALMEISPORITES TRIDICTYUS	CICATRICOSISPORITES CUNEIFORMIS	CICAT MCUSISFURTES HUGHESH	DICTYOPHYLLIDITES SPP.	DICTYOTOSPORUTES COMPLEX	DILWYNITES PUSILLUS MS	FORAMINISPORIS ASYMMETRICUS	HOEGISPORIS TRUNALIS MS	LAEVIGATOSPORITES OVATUS	LILLACIDITES SUP	PHYLLOCLADIDITES MAWSONII Stovedisendites Microverdicatus	TRILOBOSPORITES MICHVERULENTUS TRILOBOSPORITES PURVERULENTUS		VITREISPORITES SIGNATUS
	==;	: = = م	==: ຕ	==:	ະ=ະ ທ	:== •	:== ► 0	==: ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	2	==	13 13	13		, S	9 9	==	== 91.	:=== 18	2 2	== 17	==: 2	==: 8	=== 7 2	=== 0, 00	53 54	=== 58	59 II	== 8	55	:== • 9	== 3.	:== 32	39 39	37	98 98	:==: 60		- 0	== 2	==: •
=======================================	==:	==:	==:	==:	===	===	==	==:	:==	==	==:			==	==	==	=.		=	==	==:	==:		.==	==:		•• ===	==:		:==	e	ຕ ==:	- ===							
1118.0 M SWC 48 1200.0 M SWC 47	÷	÷	•	•	•	•	F	F I		C	R	•	A		r	•	•	•		•	•	. 3	Γ.		•	•		•	. 1	: .	•	•	•	•	F	. (	C.	•••	•	•
1200.0 M SWC 47 1320.0 M SWC 46	r r	F	•	•	÷	•	F F	С ( с (		F	F	·	A N		F R	•	•	-	-	:				•	-		•	•	• ;	F	•	R C	R	•	Ç	• 9	ç.		·	÷
1422.0 M SWC 45	P	R	?	:	Ŕ	R	P :	r c		č	Ŕ	:	A		R	:	:	:	:	-	R	. (		•••	•		•	•		. r 1 P		P	ĸ	•	r F		с. F	• •	•	R
1498.5 M SWC 44	R	F		R	P	R	F	сс		ē	•		A		F		:				R.	. 1	₹.		:		:	:			:	ř	:	:	F	R	FF	χ.	:	R
1520.0 M SWC 43	F	R	•	R	R	F	C	СС	: .	С	R	•	С	-	R	•	•				. 1	RI	₹.		-			<u>.</u>		•		С	R		F	. 1	F.			
1524.0 M SWC 42	R	R	•	•	F	•	R	F F	•	С	R	•			F	•		•					ι.	•						•	•	С	•	•	•	RI	E.			R
1526.5 M SWC 41 1530.0 M SWC 40	R F	R F	?	-	•	•	R	F F	•	C C		•	C		R	•					•			-						•	•	С	•	•	R	R 1	e.		٠	R
1533.5 M SWC 39	ĉ	P	; 7	P	÷	•	E 1		•	c	r	•	C C	r	R	•		:			R.		 	R R		:	-	-	•	P R	•	C C	•	•	F	RI	R.	• •	•	
1535.5 M SWC 38	F	ĩ		R	F		F (	cc		č	R	:		F	R	:							. F			:		-	•••	R	-	C	, p	•	r D	• •	г. р	• •	•	R
1538.0 M SWC 37	P	P		R	F		Ĉ:	e c		F	P	:	č			:	:	-	-						:		:	•		7		R		•			P .		•	•
1543.0 M SWC 36	F	F			F	•	F (	сс	W	С	R		С	F	R	•		W				•	. F	٤.	•							F	R		F	. 1	P .			R
1544.5 M SWC 35	С	F	•	R	F	r	F (	CE	۰.	С	r	•	С	R	R	•	•					. F				•		•		F		Ĉ			F	r i	R			
1548.0 M SWC 34	F	F	•	•	R	R	P (	СС	: .	С	R	•			R	•		W			• •	. F		•	R	R		R		•		R			F	. 1	F,		•	С
1549.0 M SWC 33 1566.0 M SWC 31	F	F	÷	R	F	:	R (	c c	•	A	R	•	С	F	•			•			• •			•	Ι	•	•	•		R	•	F	•	•	С	. 1	Р.		•	•
1566.0 M SWC 31 1567.0 M SWC 30	C C	R	R	•	F	R	R			C	R	•	A A	÷.	•	•	-	•	-	-		. I		•	÷	٠	•	•	• •	R	•	C	•	•	R	• !	2. -	• •	-	R
1622.0 M SWC 22	~	F	÷	•	R	•			•	г D	7	•	C	R	D	•	R	•	•	-	R	. 1	R S	ι.	I	•	•	•	• •	r	•	F	•	÷	ľ	. ]	ε.	• •	٠	•
1635.0 M SWC 21	ċ	Ē	R	:	F		R (	. A	W	ĉ	£	•		F	R R		R	•	-		нс.	• •	R	t R	P	•	•	R	• •	C F	•	P	Ř	R	R	R P		• •	P	•
1646.0 M SWC 19	č	R		Ř	F		$\bar{c}$	Ā		F	F			F	F			-	-	:			. 8		P	:	•	R		r F	R	E .	R	P	P	£.	 	, . ,	ĸ	•
1650.5 M SWC 18	R	F	R		R		F 1	e H	( <b>.</b>	R	-	-	č	P	•		•		-	-					R	:		R		Ē		R		R	ĉ	. 1	R		P	R
1654.0 M SWC 17	P	R	•	R	С	•	P I	F F	۰.	С			C.	A :	R		С	R		•		. F	ξĒ	Ċ	F	R	R	RI	2 F	R	R	R	R	F	č	? 1	RF	ι. R	r	R
1790.5 M SWC 04	F	С	R	R		F	F /	A P	' <b>.</b>	С	С	R	<b>A</b> _	R	F	•	R	R	R	RI	RF	₹ F	R	:.		•	•	•								•				
1810.0 M SWC 02	F	A	R	R	F	С	с	A F	F	F	С	R	С	F :	RI	R	•	·	•	•	• •	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•••	•	•	•

SPECIES LOCATION INDEX

SPECIE	SPECIES LOCATION INDEX	C H F F
CHART		F
COLUMN	SPECIES	F R C C F
36		F
18	AROUTTRATANTARS VEDDUCOSUS	R R
114	_บ	C C C A C
168		F F A R F
27		F R F R
141	<u>.</u>	F
1	ARAUCARIACITES AUSTRALIS	. ! . !
56	ASTEROPOLLIS ASTEROIDES	C R
75	AUSTRALOPOLLIS OBSCURUS	· R R
7	BACULATISPORITES SPP.	R
67	x.	R
08		R
8 0 7 0	BALMELSFORTTES HOLOULCTYUS	R
32		R R
142	Ē	F F F
177	CALLIALASPORTTES SPP	21
45	- 64	R :
82		P R F
46		R
158	CANNINGIA ROTUNDATUM	R
m	CERATOSPORITES EQUALIS	R R R ·
122	CHLAMYDOPHORELLA NYEI	R
E01	NENSIS	R
4		I I F
000		? 1 ? ? 1
11		R R
200	PSEUDOTRI	· R R ·
0 1	CICATKICUSISPOKITES KHUDANOS MS	R R ·
14	-	r R F
5.5		P C C ·
131	HARRIDIUM	• • • •
17.8	CONTIGNISPORITES BURGERI	R R ·
175	COPTOSPORA PARADOXA	R R
32	~	F
59		]
	₽.	
139	CORONIFERA OCEANICA	R R

CICATRICOSISPORITES PSEUDOTRIPARTITUS CAMAROZONOSPORITES AUSTRALIENSIS CAMAROZONOSPORITES HESKERMENSIS CICATRICOSISPORITES RHODANOS MS 41 || STOVERISPORITES MICROVERRUCATUS APPENDICISPORITES DISTOCARINATUS 43 II TRILOBOSPORITES TRIORETICULOSUS 53 || RUGULATISPORITES ADMIRABILIS MS POVEOGLEICHENHDITES CONFOSSUS 30 II CICATRICOSISPORITES CUNEIFORMIS TRILOBOSPORITES PURVERULENTUS INTERULOBITES INTRAVERRUCATUS 8W TRICOLPITES VANIVERRUCATUS MS CAMAROZONOSPORITES BULLATUS 49 II HERKOSPORITES PROXISTRIATUS FORAMINISPORIS ASYMMETRICUS CICATRICOSISPORITES HUGHESII BALMEISPORITES GLENELGENSIS BALMEISPORITES HOLODICTYUS DENSOISPORITES MURATUS MS RUGULATISPORITES N.SP. (large) LAEVIGATOSPORITES MUSA MS MARKATISPORITES SCABRATUS PINLLOCLADIDITES EUNUCHUS 40 || PITTLOCLADIDITES MAWSONI RUGULATISPORITES MALLATUS DICTYOTOSPORITES COMPLEX RETITRILETES CIRCOLUMENUS BALMEISPORITES TRIDICTYUS 38 IL LAEVIGATOSPORITES OVATUS BIRETITRILETES SPECTABILIS AUSTRALOPOLLIS OBSCURUS DILWYNITES ECHINATUS MS CYATHEACIDITES TECTIFERA ASTEROPOLLIS ASTEROIDES NEORAISTRICKIA TRUNCATA NYSSAPOLLENITES LANOSUS 37 II HOEGISPORUS TRUNALIS MS COPTOSPORA PILEOLUS MS 44 II VITREISPORTES SIGNATUS STEREISPORITES POCOCKII DILWYNITES PUSILLUS MS 48 || DENSOISPORITES VELATUS KLUKISPORITES SCABERIS DILWYNITES GRANULATUS 33 II DICTYOPHYLLIDITES SPP. RETITRULETES EMINULUS SENECTOTETRADITES SP. RETITRILETES FACETUS BALMEIOPSIS LIMBATA PEROMONOLITES SPP. FOVEOTRULETES N.SP. COROLLINA SIMPLEX PROTEACIDITES SPP. CLAVIFERA TRIPLEX CUPRESSACTES SP. LILLACIDITES SPP. 42 = 3 32 = 7 63 = 10 2 2 2 2 20 00 20 00 75 = 76 || **5** 46 47 == 09 89 69 69 1 72 || 73 = 74 8 8 4 8 62 62 77 29 -62 84 84 99 67 11 8 65 FCPFFF.RFFR.FFFCRFRFFCC... . FFFF. . . FRRF. F. . RRFCFFFR .RUPPCUCCURPCRECECE.RR · FFFFRRF · · · FR · · FRR · R · R · FRFCCAAAAACACCFCFRC · FCCFR .R .FFF .FRR .F .F . • R R R R R R R F F • • R R R R R R F F R ••F••••••••RR••••RR• HCCCRRR · · R · · · · RR · · · · R •••R•••••••••••••R · · R · FCCCCF · · · RRRRFFF · • . R • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • R • R • R · · · · · I · · · ·? FRRRCFRFCR ••••R •••••••RR ••••R - · · · · · · · · · · R · R · R · R R R R · · ••••RR•••••••••••R ••••••R••••••••R ••••RR ••••••••••.R • · · · · · W · · · · · · · RI · IFFFRF · R · · · · · · · R · · · · I · · · · · · · · · · · · · R · R · · F R . R R . R F R R R . • CICATRICOSISPORITES PSEUDOTRIPARTITUS CYATHIDITES SPP. large >45 microns CYATHIDITES SPP. small <45 microns CICATRICOSISPORITES AUSTRALIENSIS CAMAROZONOSPORITES AUSTRALIENSIS CAMAROZONOSPORITES BULLATUS CAMAROZONOSPORITES HESKERMENSIS CICATRICOSISPORITES CUNEIFORMIS CICATRICOSISPORITES RHODANOS MS **TETEROSPHAERIDIUM HETERACANTHUM** HYSTRICHOSPHAERIDIUM RECURVATUM HYSTRICHOSPHAERIDIUM TUBIFERUM FOVEOGLEICHENIIDITES CONFOSSUS FOVEOSPORITES CANALIS FOVEOTRILETES BALTEUS CLAVIFERA VULTUOSUS MS CLEISTOSPHAERIDIUM ANCORIZERUM INTERULOBITES INTRAVERUCATUS ISABELIDINIUM BALMEI ISABELIDINIUM BELFASTENSE ISABELIDINIUM CONTRACTUM MS CICATRICOSISPORITES HUGHESII GLEICHENIIDITES CIRCINIDITES HETEROSPHAERIDIUM CONJUNCTUM CRYBELOSPORITES BERBEROIDES CRYBELOSPORITES STRIATUS CRYBELOSPORITES STYLOSUS GRANULATISPORITES TRISINUS HERKOSPORITES ELLIOTTII HERKOSPORITES PROXISTRIATUS CORAMINISPORIS ASYMMETRICUS CRIBROPERIDINIUM EDWARDSII CRIBROPERIDINIUM SP. DICTYOPHYLLIDITES CRENATUS DICTYOPHYLLIDITES SPP. DICTYOTOSPORITES COMPLEX DICTYOTOSPORITES SPECIOSUS SLEICHENIIDITES ANCORUS MS CYCLONEPHELIUM VANNOPHORUM DENSOISPORITES MURATUS MS DENSOISPORITES VELATUS CYCLONEPHELIUM COMPACTUM CYCLONEPHELIUM DISTINCTUM CIBOTIUMSPORA JURIENENSIS HYSTRICHODINIUM PULCHRUM ILEXPOLLENITES PRIMUS MS CONTIGNISPORITES BURGERI CYATHEACIDITES TECTIFERA DIDECTTRILETES ERICIANUS CICATRICOSISPORITES SPP. DILWYNITES ECHINATUS MS DILWYNITES GRANULATUS DILWYNITES PUSILLUS MS HOEGISPORIS TRINALIS MS COPTOSPORA PILEOLUS MS DINOGYMNIUM ACUMINATUM CERATOSPORITES RQUALIS EXOCHOSPHAERIDIUM SPP CHLAMYDOPHORBLLA NYEI ORCIPITES SABULOSUS CANNINGIA ROTUNDATUM POVEOTRILETES N.SP. COPTOSPORA PARADOXA CORONIFERA OCEANICA COROLLINA SIMPLEX COROLLINA TOROSA CUPRESSACITES SP. CLAVIFERA TRIPLEX SPP. FROMEA N.SP CORCIPITES 45 82 46 158 3 122 103 139 109 90 123 123 90 179 83 83 

:-1, OTWAY BASIN.

35 DILWYNITES PUSILLUS MS 159 DINOGYMNIUM ACUMINATUM		3 NYSSAPOLLENITES LANOSUS
133 EXOCHOSPHAERIDIUM SPP.	•	4 II PHYLLOCLADIDITES EUNUCHUS MS
		= = =
89 FORCIPITES SPP. 71 POUPOCIFICHENITITURS CONFOSSIIS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T II BALMEIOPSIS LIMBATA
FOVEOSPORITES CANALIS	R . FCCCCF · · · RRRRFFF · · ·	B II CUPRESSACTTES SP.
FOVEOTRILETES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	==
150 FROMEA N.SP.	12 = · ··R ··R ··························	U I POVEOGLEICIENIDITES CONFOSSUS
GLEICHENIIDITES		==
9 GLEICHENIIDITES CIRCINIDITES 170 GRANHLATISPORITES TRISINHS	R	==:
	•	4    RUGULATISPORITES N.SP. (large)
	CFR .R .FFF .FRR .F .F	5 H AUSTRALOPOLLIS OBSCURUS
134 HETEROSPHAERIDIUM CONJUNCTUM 134 HETEROSPHAEDITIM VETERACANTUM	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	= = =
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	:==
		==
HYSTRICHOSPHAERIDIUM		==
148 HYSTRICHOSPHAERIDIUM TUBLIERUM 07 TIEVDOLIENTWES DETWIS MS	==	80 (  BALMEISPORTES GLENELGENSIS
	= • • • 1 RR • • • • RR • RFRR • • • • • •	==:
ISABELIDINIUM		==:
2 ISABELIDINIUM BELFASTENSI		==:
153 ISABELIDINIUM CONTRACTUM MS	R 1	
MUTNITUTUTUTUTUTUTUTUTUTUTUTUTUTUTUTUTUTU	- ] - ] - ]   	
	- R ] ]	
ISABELIDINIUM	R 1	
ISABELIDINIUM S	= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>=</b> =
	= F F R R	09    FUKURTIES STR. 00    EPVEFICEOPETTE REPREDUIVES
135 KALLGSPHAERIDIUM SP. 135 VIOVANSTIM DOLVDDS		= = :
	R . R	
	= . R R R I	==
		==
LATROBOSPORITES	RR	94    STEREISPORITES VIRIOSUS
LATROBOSPOI	= • • R? • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	= =
	R	96
LU LEFTULEFLUTTES VERRUCOSUS 39 LTLTACTDTERS SPP	R R · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7 II ILEXPOLLENTES PRIMUS MS
	RR · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	B II LATROBOSPORITES AMPLUS
	R	99 BUNTTOCLADIDITES VERRUCOSUS
<b>MATONI SPORITES</b>	P R	100 II TRICOLPITES CONFESSUS
MICRHYSTRIDIUM SI	R R	101 II TRICOLPORITES APOXYEXINUS
	P . R	102 II TRIPOROPOLLENITES SPP.
II MICKOCHCHRILUIGS ANIAKIICUS 167 Neisonteila Acedas	R	103 II CIDOTRUMSPURA JURIENENSIS
NEORAISTRICKIA TR	F	104 II <sup>1</sup> ORNAMENTIFERA SENTOSA
NUMMUS SPP.	R	
	F?	106    FORCIPITES SABULOSUS
ODONTOCHITINA ODONTOCHITINA	F	107 II. LYGISTEPOLLENITES FLORINII
11/ UDUNTOCATIANA UPEKCULAIA 157 ODONTOCATTANA DODITEDA	R	108 II PEROMONOLITES BOWENI
OLIGOSPHAERIDI		100 II CRIBROPERUDINIUM EDWARDSII
	== · · · · R · · · R · · · · R · · · · R · · · · R · · · · R · · · · R · · · · · R · · · · · R · · · · · R · · · · · · R ·	0    CYCLONEPHIELIUM DISTINCTUM
		==
ORNAMENTIFERA SENTOSA		:==
12 OSMUNDACIDITES WELLMANII 137 DAIAPOHVSTBICHODHODA INTISOBIOIDES		==
	= F · FFARRFCAACAACAHARR · R ·	==
	==	11 5 11 Micritystridium SPP.
<b>PENINSULAPOLLI</b>		6 II ODONTOCHITINA COSTATA
PEROMONOLITES	===	==
PEROMONOLITES	== · · · R R · · · · R R · · · · R R · · · ·	8 II OLIGOSPHAERUDINIUM COMPLEX
22 PEROTRILITES JUBAIUS 22 PEROTRILITES MAJUS		9    RIMOSICYSTA SPP.
		20 CYCLONEPHELIUM COMPACTUM
PHYLLOCLADIDITES	IZI ···RRR·R·RRC·PR···RRRR····	I I SPINIFERITES SPP.
40 FHYLLLOCLAUILIES MANSUNII 99 DUVITATATATER AFRONTA	····· BFR.PFC R	2 II CHLAMYDOPHORELLA NYEI

.

•

H UDONTOCHITINA COSTAFA H ODONTOCHITINA OSERCHIATA	A DICOSPILAEPIDINIUM COMPLEX	RIMOSICKSTA SPP.	= = =	==	II CHILAMYDOPHOKELLA NYEI	II CRUBROPERIDIVIUM SP.	HETEROSPILAERUDIUM HETERACANTHUM	H KIOKANSIUM POLYPES	II OLIGOSPILAERIDIUM PULCHERRDNUM	II OLIGOSPHAERIDIUM SP. (short processes)	==:	==	==	:=:	CLEISTOSPHAERUDIUM ANCORIFERUM	I CYCLONEPHELIUM VANNOPHORUM	II EXOCHOSPHAERIDIUN SPP.	==	= =	===		==	==	==	:==	:==	===	==	==	==	==	==	II TANYOSPHAERUDIUM SALPINX	===	==	ISABELIDINUM B	I ISABELIDINIUM CRETACEUM MS	==	II ISABELIDINIUM SPP.	===	==:	= =	==	II INTHIRODINUM VERMICULATA	===	.==	li fungui fruiting bodies	==:	Botryococcus	ANTULISPORITES VARIGRANULATUS	==:	= = =	MICROBACULISPORA SPP.	II PLICATUOLLENTES SPP. PROTOHAPLOXYEIMIS SPP	PSEUDORETICULA INFORM PSEUDORETICULATA	COPTOSPORA PARADOXA	MATUNISPORITES COOKSONIAE	II CALLIALASPORTES SPP.
911	] ]		120	121	1122	1 123	    124	II 125	11 126	127			1129	130	131	11 132	133	13	11111	136	137		661	140	14		1	44		4	= 147	148	149	11 150	121	152	1 153		==:	1157		. = =	==	19 5	===	==	==	166	11 167	168	169 11	120	12	122	2 1	175	176	H 177
R	. F			: R			FR.FCCACAAACFFR	FFCFFFFFC · · RR · · · ·				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · FC · F · FRR · · · · · · ·	· · · · RR · FF · RCRR · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·															R 8		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • R • • F • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• R R C C • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	C	. я		RC	R · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· R · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· P · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	RFFFR CFR .RRRFCRR .				• • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · ·	• • • • •	• • •				
IIII EICONONCLITES BOWENII			22 FEKUTKILITES MAJUS						POLYCINGULATISPORITE	PROTEACIDITES SPP.	PROTOHAPLOXYPINUS SPP.	PSEUDORETICULATISPORA PSE	RETITRILETES AUSTROCI							RUGULATISPORITES MAL	RUGULATISPORITES N.S	SCHIZOCYSTIA				STEREISPORITES ANTIQ		STEREISPORTER ALC		TRICHODINIUM CASTANE			TRICOLPORITES APOXYE	TRILOBOSPORITES PURVI			151 TRITHYRODINIUM SPP. 141 TELTHVRODINIUM VERMICHLATA				VIINELSFORTING JICK	165 fungal fruiting bodies		microfo		1990 - C		1. 1997		****	R.C.	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			~~~	-		



	ISABELIDINTUM ELONGATUN MS	ISABELIDINIUM SPP.	ODONTOCHITINA PURIFERA	CANNINGLA ROTUNDATUM	DINOGYMNIUM ACUMINATUM	ISABELIDINIUM ROTUNDATUM MS	TRITHPRODINIUM VERMICULATA	NELSONIELLA ACERAS	fungel hyphae	fungal spores	fungal fruiting bodies	microforaminiferal linera	Boiryococcus	ANTULISPORTES VARIGRANULATUS	DICTYOTOSPORITES SPECIOSUS	GRANULATISPORTES TRISINUS	MICROBACULISPORA SPP.	PLICATIPOLLENITES SPP.	PROTOHAPLOXYPLINUS SPP.	PSEUDORETICULATISPORA PSEUDORETICULATA	COPTOSPORA PARADOXA	MATONISPORITES COOKSONIAE	CALLIALASPORITES BPP.	CONTIGNISPORITES BURGERI	CRYBELOSPORITES STILOSUS	DIDECITINLETES ENCLANUS					
2 11 191 11 2	H 136 H K	II 156 II I	1157 0	128	159 I D	190 II K	191 II T	I = 162 = N	163 1	u :: 191 :: P	165 I f	1 166 1 n	II 167 II B	168    A	1 169 D	120 ==	121 W	: 172 PI	1173 P	174	175 C	11 176 I M	177 6	178 CC	1179 CI	1 180 I DI	1118	8.0	м	SWC	4
?RC · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>R</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· R C · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·RR - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·R · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• 1 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· P · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· F · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·RFRFFR·CFR·RRRFCRR · ·RR ·	· R R · R · · · R · · R R · · R F · R · · · ·	· · · · · · · · R · · · · · R R R · · · · · · · ·	<b>· · R · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </b>	<b>P</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• ₩ • ₩ • • • • • • • • • • • • • ₩ • • • ₩ • • • •	· · W · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• ₩ • ₩ • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • ₩ ₩ • • • •	· W W · · · · · · · · · · · · W · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• ₩ • ₩ • • • ₩ • • • • • • • • • ₩ • • • •	•••••••	••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1533		м	SWC SWC SWC SWC SWC SWC SWC SWC SWC SWC	444444433333333332211100
TRITHYRODINIUM VERMICULATA	VALENSIELLA GRIPHUS	VELOSPORITES TRIQUETRUS	VEKINACHIUM SFF. Viterisportes signatiis	botryococcus	fungal fruiting bodies	fungal hyphae	fungal spores microforsminifers] ]inerc	SJAUTT TPJAJTUTMBJOJC																							

## ANALYSIS BY: Alan D. Partridge 27 May 1997

1