

# Palynological analysis of cuttings samples from the Sherbrook Group in Lindon-1, Otway Basin.

by Alan D. Partridge Biostrata Pty Ltd A.C.N. 053 800 945

16

## Palynological Analysis of cuttings samples from the Sherbrook Group in Lindon-1, Otway Basin.

#### by Alan D. Partridge

### Table of Contents INTERPRETATIVE DATA 1 Introduction Table-1: Palynological Summary for Sherbrook Group Geological Comments **.....** Biostratigraphy References 7 Table-2: Interpretative Palynological Data for Lindon-1, Otway Confidence Ratings **BASIC DATA** 10 Table-3: Basic Sample Data-Lindon-1, Otway Basin. Table-4: Basic Palynomorph Data for Lindon-1, Otway Basin. 10 Table-5: Spore-Pollen Range Chart for Lindon-1 12

Table-6: Microplankton Range Chart for Lindon-1

#### INTERPRETATIVE DATA

#### Introduction

Eight new cuttings samples are analysed in Lindon-1 with seven samples from the Sherbrook Group and one sample from the Eumeralla Formation. This new data is integrated with previous work by Archer (1984a, b) and Morgan (1986) and a synthesised interpretation of the palynological zones and ages identified and their correlations to formations and new units identified in the well summarised in Table-1 below. This is followed by discussion of methods, geological comments and basis of zone picks. Additional interpretative data on each of the new samples with zone identification and Confidence Ratings are recorded in Table-2, whilst basic data on cuttings lithologies, sample quantities, residue yields, preservation and diversity are recorded on Tables-3 and4. All species which have been identified with binomial names are tabulated on Tables-5 & 6.

Table-1: Palynological Summary for Sherbrook Group in Lindon-1.

AGE	UNIT	SPORE-POLLEN ZONES	MICROPLANKTON ZONES (SUBZONES)		
PALEOCENE	PEBBLE POINT FORMATION 915-938m	Not sampled	Not sampled		
DANIAN to MAASTRICHTIAN	K/T Boundary Shale 938–950m	Indeterminate	M. druggii 945m		
TIMBOON MAASTRICHTIAN SAND 950-990m		Not sampled	Not sampled		
EARLY CAMPANIAN to SANTONIAN	to FORMATION		<i>N. aceras</i> 1015-1070m		
SANTONIAN	BELFAST MUDSTONE 1199-1226m	T. арохускіпиs 1200-1223.1m	I. cretaceum 1200-1210m		
? SANTONIAN	? SANTONIAN Unnamed sand 1226-1232m		Not sampled		
ALBIAN	EUMERALLA FORMATION 1232+ m	P. pannosus 1235m	No zones defined.		

T.D. 3011m

#### Materials and Methods

Letween 10 to 24 grams (average 15.7 g) of the cuttings were collected by the author then forwarded to Laola Pty Ltd in Perth for processing.

Moderated to high residue yields were extracted from most samples.

Kezogen slides were prepared with filtered and unfiltered fractions, and where sufficient residue was recovered separate oxidised slides were prepared from fractions concentrated from the residues using 8 and 15 micron filters. Palynomorph concentrations on the palynological slides were mostly moderate, while palynomorph preservation was generally good.

Overall space-pollen diversity was high averaging 32+ species per sample (Table-4). Microplankton shundance and diversity was low, averaging 8+ species per sample. The microplankton abundance data presented in Table-2 was obtained from counts made on slides prepared using 8microns filter cloth.

The earliest palynological analysis of Lindon-1 consists of two brief reports by Archer (1984a, b) in which six sidewall cores and two cuttings samples were analysed between 1206.8-3005m. Only the shallowest two samples were from the basal 30 metres of the Sherbrook Group. Because only limited species assemblages were recorded by Archer (oc. cit.) the confidence in the age picks is low. Subsequently, Morgan (1986) re-examined Archer's material and analysed 17 new samples (most of which seem to have been cuttings), over the same interval of 1206.8-3005m. Only four sidewall cores were examined from the Sherbrook Group. Morgan discusses his interpretation of the samples but only lists the key species supporting his zone picks. Unfortunately, in the copy of Morgan's report made available for review there were no species lists for the individual samples, so it was not possible to confirm or challeng. Morgan's interpretations.

#### Geological Comments

- 1. The deepest cutting at 1235m gave a very good. pannosus Zone assemblage with only minimal contamination by cavings. The top of the Eumeralla Formation can therefore be confidently picked at the break on the gamma, resistivity and bulk density logs at ~1232m. The uncertainty in the exact depth is solely due to the small scale of the logs used to pick the formation tops.
- 2. There is no evidence in the cuttings of palynomorph species diagnostic of the Waarre, Flaxman or Morum Formations (the last is a new

formation containing the *C. striatocomus* Zone). Instead the caved element at 1235m suggest the Eumeralia Formation is overlain by the *T. apoxyexinus* and *I. cretaceum* Zones. The thin sand between 1226–1232m which has previously been identified as belonging to the Waarre Formation is now considered to be a new unit laterally equivalent to the Belfast Mudstone.

- 3. The shale unit from 1199-1226m can be confidently confirmed as age equivalent to the type section of the Belfast Mudstone as it contains the T. apoxyexinus score-pollen and I. cretaceum microplankton Zones. Although the cuttings (at 1200m) in this interval contains Velsoniella aceras this species, on the balance of evidence, is considered to be caved. The I. cretaceum Zone is also confirmed by the dinoflagellates Amphidiadema denticulata and Isabelidia imm cretaceum recorded in the sidewall core at 1206.5m (Archer, 1984a).
- 4. The interval 990-1199m is confirmed as belonging to the broad concept of the Paaratte Formation. It contains both the T. apoxyexinus and N. senectus spore-pollen Zones while the N. aceras microplankton Zone was identified in the samples at 1015m and 1070m. More tenuously it is suggested that the more sandy interval between 1085-1199m can be correlated to the Nullawarre Greensand and the more shaly interval between 990-1085m to the Skull Creek Mudstons. These latter correlations are based on the association of the N. aceras Zone with the Skull Creek Mudstone in the Port Campbell Embayment, and the absence of the X. australis Zone in Lindon-1.
- 5. The absence of index species diagnostic of the T. lilliei or Lower T. longus spore-pollen Zones and X. australizand I. korojonense microplankton Zones in any of the cuttings is evidence to suggest a major unconformity is present at ~990m, at which most of Campanian and Early to Middle Maastrichtian is missing (ie. ~15 million years). The sandy section above this unconformity between 950-990m would then equate to part of the Timboon Sand. As the gamma log over this section shows two sands separated by a shaly spike it may even contain two sequences and another unconformity. For instance the interval 965-990m could be interpreted as the Timboon Sand while the overlying sand between 950-965m could be equivalent to the Wiridjil Gravel of Tickell et al. (1992, p.15). Unfortunately inspection of the cuttings samples over the interval 950-990m revealed no samples suitable for palynological analysis to test these speculations.

- 6. The palynological assemblage from cuttings at 945m confirms the shale between ~938-950m is the Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary shale recognized in the Port Campbell Embayment (Partridge, 1994). Although the spore-pollen assemblage is not diagnostic, being overwhelmed by caved specimens, the key dinoflagellatesManumiella conorsta, M. seciandica and Palaeoperidinium pyrophorumand were recorded.
- 7. Dinotagellate abundances (Table-2) in the cuttings samples analysed are consistently below 16% of the total palynomorph count. This includes the shallowest sample at 945m which is believed to be biased by a significant caved component in the assemblage count. Excluding the deepest sample at 1235m which is from the fluviatile Eumeralla Formation, all samples are considered marine, although more proximal to the Late Cretaceous shoreline than wells to the south.

#### Biostratigraphy

The zone and age determinations are based on the Australia wide Mesozoic spore-pollen and microplankton zonation schemes described by Helby, Morgan & Partridge (1987). Author citations for most spore-pollen species can be sourced from Helby, Morgan & Partridge (1987), Dettmann (1963), Stover & Partridge (1973) or other references cited herein, whilst author citations for dinoflagellates can be found in the index of Lentin & Williams (1993). Species names followed by "ms" are unpublished manuscript names.

Manumiella druggii microplankton Zone.

intervai: 945 metres.

Age: basal Danian to Early Maastrichtian.

The shallowest occurrence of common Manumiella conorata associated with rare specimens of M. seclandica is the basis for identification of the M. druggii Zone. Both species are considered typical of microplankton assemblages from the near the base of the Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary shale. Palaeoperidinium pyrophorum, Tubiosphaeia filosand Paralecaniella indentata are also known from the Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary shale, but the remaining species representing approximately 50% of all microplankton recorded in the count are considered to be caved.

The associated spore-pollen in this sample are not considered diagnostic. The presence of Malvacipollis spp. ~4%; Haloragacidites harrisii~4%; Intratriporopollenites notabilis and Proteacidites nasus Truswell & Owen, 1988, indicate significant caving from the Pember Mudstone above 915m.

No index species characteristic of the UpperT. longue Zone were identified to support the identification of the M. druggii Zone.

Nothofagidites senectus spore-pollen Zore

Interval: 990-1015 metres.

Age: Early Campanian.

The combined observence in both samples of Nothofagidites spp. and Forcipites sabulosus is the basis for identification of this zone.

Nothofagidites sabulosus was recorded in the deeper sample and N. endurus in the shallower. In both samples Frotencidites and N. e. 10% is the dominant pollen in the agree thlagen.

Nelsonielia aceras microplankton Zone.

interval: 1015-1070 metres.

Age: Late Santonian to Early Campanian.

The two samples are confidently assigned to the *N. aceras* Zone on the presence of the eponymous species in assemblages dominated by *Heterosphaeridium* spp. Other microplankton species recorded are longer ranging or caved.

In the overlying sample at 990m the recorded microplankton are caved or non-diagnostic except for Heterosphaeridium heteracanthumand the manuscript species Anthosphaeridium bullatum. The latter was described from the Perth Basin by Marshall (1985) where it is found in the access Zone and lower part of the overlying K. mastralis Zone. Its short range is strong supporting evidence for a major unconformity and missing section at the log break at 500m.

Tricolporites apoxyexinus spore-pollen Zone

Interval: 1070-1223.1 metres.

Age: Santonian.

The base of the *T. apoxyexinus* Zone is identified on the oldest occurrence of *Ornamentifera sentosa* in the sidewall core at 1223.1m (Morgan, 1986) and cuttings at 1210m. The top is identified on the absence of younger index species. The spore-pollen assemblage counts are dominated by *Gleicheniidites circinidites, Podocarpidites* pp. and *Proteacidites* spp. The high and increasing abundance of *Proteacidites* spp. from 4% at 1210m to 15% at 1200m, culminating in a high 22% in the shallowest sample at 1070m is considered typical of the upper part of this zone.

Isabelidinium cretaceum microplankton Zone.

Interval: 1200-1210 metres.

Age: Santonian.

The microplankton component whilst not abundant contains the index species *Isabelidinium cretaceum*, *I. belfastense* and *I. thomasii* (Table-5). The assemblage recorded by Archer (1984a) from the sidewall core at 1206.5m with both *I. cretaceum* and *Amphidiadema denticulataceum* firms the assignment. The next shallowest cuttings seconds at 1155m cannot be confidently assigned to a microplankton zone.

Phimopollenites pannosus spore-pollen Zone.

Interval: 1235 metres.

Age: Late Albian.

The one sample analysed from the Eumeralla Formation was an excellent representation of the 2 pannosus Zone and contained an unusually high abundance of eponymous species Phimopollen tes pannosus 22%). Other index species recorded were Coptospora paradoxa and Pilosisporites grandis whilst high abundances of Cicatricosisporites/Ruffordiasporaspp. at ~7%; Foraminisperis asymmetricusat ~3%; marattisporites scabratusat >8%; and Microcachryidites antarcticusat 13% make the composition of the assemblage quite distinct from the cuttings samples from the overlying Sherbrook Group. Except for the fresh water aigal cystsChizosporis reticulatus, Sigmopollis carbonisand S. hispidus the recorded microplankton are interpreted as caved.

#### References

- ARCHER, V., 1984a. Palynology report of the Lindon-1 well for Feach Petroleum N/L. Gecl. Surv. Vict. Rept, 7p. (unpubl.).
- ARCHER, V., 1984b (May). Palynology report on samples from the Lindon No.1 well for Beach Petroleum N/L. Geos. Surv. Vict. Rept, 3p. (unpubl.).
- DETTMANN, M.E., 1982. Upper Mesozoic microfloras from southeastern Australia. Proc. R. Soc. Vict. 77, 1-148.
- HELBY, R., MORGAN, R. & PARTITOGE, A.E., 1987. A palynological zonation of the Australian Mesozoic. Mem. Ass. Australias. Falaeontols 4,1-94.
- ENTIN, J.K. & WILLIAMS, G.M., 1990. Firsti Discollagellates: Index to genera and species, 1993 Edulou. AASF Contribution Series No. 28,1–856.
- MARSHALL, N.G., 1985. Late Cretaceous dinoflagellates from the Fermi Basic, Western Australia. Ph.D thesis, University of Western Australia 297p. (uap-bl.).
- MORGAN, R., 1936. Otway Basin Oil Drilling: A selective palynology review. In: The Petraleum Geology of the Otway Basin. A non-exclusive study by F. Connerd Pty Lad. Appendix 1 (unpubl.).
- PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1994. Palynological analysis of Langley-1, Port Campbell Embayment, Otway Basin. Biostrata Report 1994/11, 1-28.
- STOVER, L.E. & PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1973. Tertiary and late Cretaceous spores and pollen from the Gippsland Basin, southeastern Australia. *Proc. E. Bac. Vict.* 85,237-286.
- TICRELL, S.J., EDWARDS, J. & ABELE, C., 1992. Port Campbell Embayment 1:100 000 Map Geological Report. Geological Survey of Victoria Report 95, 1-97.
- TEUSWI'LL, F. M. & OWEN, J.A., 1988. Eccene pollen from Bungonia, New South Wales. Mem. Ass. Australas. Palaeontols 5 259-284.

Table-2: Interpretative Palynological Data for Lindon-1, Otway Basin.

Sample Type	Depths	Spore Pollen Zone (Microplankton Zone)	CR*	Comments and Key Species Present
Cutting s	945m	(M. druggii)	D3	Microplankton 12%.  Proteacidites spp. 21%.  Common Manumiella including index species M. conoratum and M. seelandica Palaeoperidinium pyrophorumalso present but probably caved.
Cutting s	990m	N. senectus	D2	Microplankton ~6%.  Amosopollis cruciformis<1%.  Proteacidites spp. 20%.  The occurrence of the distinctive dinoflagellate Anthosphaeridium bullatum ms described from Perth Basin confirms an age no younger than early Campanian or the X. australis Zone.
Cutting s	1015m	N. senectus (N. aceras)	D1 D2	Microplankton <4%.  Amosopollis cruciformis<1%.  Proteaciditesspp. 18%.  Zone picked on frequent Forcipites sabulosus, LAD of Nelsoniella aceras and absence of younger index species.
Cutcing s	1070m	T. apoxyexinus (N. aceras)	D2 D2	Microp. Akton 6%.  Protesciditesspp. 22%.  Zone pick on oldest occurrence of dinoflagellates Nelsoniella accrasand  Odontochitina poriferand absence of younger Xenikoon australis
Cutting s	1155m	T. apoxyexinus	D2	Microplankton 7%.  Protescidicesspp. >17%.  Significant tertiary caving present.
Cutting s	1200m	T. apoxycxinus (I. cretaceum)	D4 D3	
Cutting s	1210m	T. apoxyexinus (I. cretaceum)	D1 D2	<u>-</u>
Cutting s	1235m	P. pannosus	D1	Microplankton <2%. Well preserved and highly diverse assemblage characterised by abundant Pimopollenites pannosusat ~22%. Microplankton are non-marine types and calculated abundance excludes obvious caved species.

\*CR = Confidence Ratings LAD = Last Appearance Datum FAD = First Appearance Datum

#### **Confidence Ratings**

The Confidence Ratings assigned to the zone identifications on Table-2 are quality codes used in the STRATDAT relational database being developed by the Australian Geological Survey Organisation (AGSO) as a National Database for interpretive biostratigraphic data. Their purpose is to provide a simple relative comparison of the quality of the zone assignments. The alpha and numeric components of the codes have been assigned the following meanings:

Alpha codes: Linked to sample type

A Core

B Sidewall core

C Coal cuttings

D Ditch cuttings

E Junk basket

F Miscellaneous/unknown

G Outcrop

Numeric codes: Linked to fossil assemblage

1 Excellent confidence: High diversity assemblage recorded

with key zone species.

2 Good confidence: Moderately diverse as ablage

recorded with ke : species.

3 Fair confidence: Low diversity assemblage recorded with

key zone species.

4 Poor confidence: Moderate to high diversity assemblage

recorded without key zone species.

Very low confidence: Low diversity assemblage recorded without key zone species.

#### BASIC DATA

Table-3: Basic Sample Data-Lindon-1, Otway Basin.

SAMPLE TYPE	DEPTH (Metres)	LITHOLOGY	SAMPLE WT (g)	RESIDUE YIELD
Cuttings	945.0	Sandstone 50%; siltstone/shale 50%.	24.0	High
Cuttings	990.0	Quartz sandstone 60%; siltstone/shale 40%	20.7	Moderate
Cuttings	1015.0	Quartz sandstone 50%; siltstone/shale 50%	15.8	Moderate
Cuttings	1070.0	Dark grey mudstone >90%.	15.7	High
Cuttings	1155.0	Dark grey mudstone >90%.	13.2	Moderate
Cuttings	1200.0	Mudstone/shale 35%, sandstone 65% including yellow quartz.	10.2	Moderate
Cuttings	1210.0	Mudstone/siltstone 90%; sandstone 10%.	11.5	High
Cuttings	1235.0	Felspar 80%; sandstone 20%.	14.4	High

Table-4: Basic Palynomorph Data for Lindon-1, Otway Basin.

SAMPLE	DEPTH	Palynomorph	Palynomorph	Number	Microplaukton	Number
TYPE	(Metres)	Concentration	Preservation	S-P Species*	Abundauce	MP Species*
Cutting	945.0	Moderate	Fair-good	21+	Common 12%	7÷
Cutting s	990.0	Moderate	Good	25+	Common 6%	5+
Cutting s	1015.0	Moderate	Fair-good	39+	Frequent <4%	8+
Cutting	1070.0	Moderate	Poor-good	32+	Common 6%	10+
Cutting s	1155.0	Moderate	Fair-good	31+	Common 7%	7+
Cutting	1200.0	High	Fair-good	34+	Frequent <3%	12+
Cutting	1210.0	Moderate	Fair	34+	Abundant 26%	13+
Cutting s	1235.0	High	Good	45%	Rare <2%	3+

\*Diversity: Very low 1-5 species 6-10 species Low Moderate 11-25species 26-74species 75+ species High Very high

Not recorded in sample

Note:

Spore-pollen and Microplankton diversity excludes reworked and obvious caved species in samples.

#### Legend for Tables-5 & 6

RW = Reworked
A = Abundant
C = Common
F = Frequent

F = Frequent
X = Rare to present
Caved = Specimens caved from higher in well

able-5: Lindon-1 —		8° (		- 101	Spo			
	ផ	B	Ħ	Ħ	<u> </u>	ā	B	<b>B</b>
SPECIES/SAMPLES	945	066	015	070	155	200	2	35
SPECIES/SAMPLES	9	56	6	6	3	5	21	123
PORE-POLLEN SPECIES	·	· · ·					####	
EQUITRIRADITES spp.				· · · · ·			****	X
equitriradites n.sp.				·	· ·		x	X
equitriradites spinulosus			<u> </u>	·	<u> </u>			X
equitriradites tilchaensis			•		····			- <u>x</u>
equitriradites verrucosus macolosidites acutullus			·	<b>-</b> •		aved		_ <del>^</del>
					<del>-</del>	RW		
nnulispora folliculosa RW	####	X	x	<b>x</b> .	•		####	####
raucariacites australis*	πππ#		<u> </u>		·		<u> </u>	
arcellites n.sp.					- · · · · ·		X	i
Australopollis obscurus		####	####	####	х	####	####	aved
	####		####			####		####!
BACULATISPORITES spp.	<u>सण्यात</u>	तमण स	X	<u> ТТТТ</u> .	X	X	х	
Balmeisporites glenelgensis Balmeisporites holodictyus				<u> </u>				x :
				RW				
Callialasporites dampieri	·		X	17.44			####	####:
Ceratosporites equalis CICATRICOSISPORITES spp.	<del> </del>		####	####				####
Cicatricosisporites australien		RW			<del></del>	·		X
Dicatricosisporites australien Dicatricosisporites cuneiform	<del></del>	I/W			X			X
Cicatricosisporites cuneilorin		16	<del></del>		x			X
Cicatricosisporites pseudotri			•					X
								- <u>X</u>
Clavatipollenites hughesii	•	- <del>- x</del> -	####	x		####	####	X
Clavifera triplex Clavifera vultuosus ms			- X			- X		
Coptospora paradoxa	•							X
Corollina torosa					####		####	####
Crybelosporites striatus	RW		:					####
Crypelosporites striatus Cupanieidites orthoteichus			· <del>-</del>	caved				
Cupressacites sp.	•			X		####	####	####
Cyatheacidites annulatus		cave	ď					
Cyathidites asper			<del>-</del>					<u>x</u>
Cyathidites australis (>40µm)	###	####	X	####	####	####	####	####
Cyathidites minor (<40µm)			####					####
Cyathidites minor (~40mm)	иппп			X	<u>x</u>			
Cyathidites splendens	x	x	ave	aved		•		:
Densoisporites velatus					X	•	•	+
Dicotetradites clavatus	####	2###	####			X	•	
DICTYOPHYLLIDITES spp.				####	####		####	
Dictyophyllidites crenatus						X		X
			RW	<u> </u>		RW		·
Dictyotosporites speciosus Dilwynites granulatus	###	###	####	####	####		####	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Dilwynites pusillus ms (sm.v.					####			aved
Dilwynites tuberculatus	X	Х	X	<b>X</b>	X	x	X	
Dryptopollenites semilunatu		ave		2	•			
Dulhuntyispora spp. R.W.					RW		<del>.</del>	
Ephedripites notensis			Х	· ·	• · · · · •			
Foraminisporis asymmetricu					•			####
- o				+ +++				

	Ħ	Ħ	B	Ħ	B	8	a	8	
SPECIES/SAMPLES	945	990	015	070	155	1200	1210	35	
•	6	6	20	10	11	12	12	123	
orcipites langus		aved							
orcipites sabulosus		X	х			х			
oveogleicheniidites confossu						X			
ambierina rudata	####	ave				ave			
	####		####	####	####		####	####	
Granulatisporites trisinus RW			RW						
Ialoragacidites harrisii	aved		cave	ave	ave	aved			
Terkosporites elliottii*	X		X		X		X		an
lexpollenites primus ms						X			
ntratriporopollenites notabil	aved		•		·				
aevigatosporites spp.			####	####	####	####	####	####	
atrobosporites amplus		X	X	X					
atrobosporites chalensis			X	<del></del> -		X			
Leptolepidites verrucosus				X					
LILIACIDITES spp.					X			<del>-</del>	
Lygistepollenites balmei				Х	aved				
ygistepollenites florinii	# # # #	####	x		####		####		
Malvacipollis diversus	ave	aved			aved				
Malvacipollis subtilis	ave	ave	aved			caved		<del></del>	
Marratisporites scabratus						####		####	
Matonisporites cooksoniae			•					X	
Microbaculispora spp. RW	<del></del>			X					
dicrocachryidites antarticus		####	####		####	####	####	####	
Myrtaceidites parvus/meson	aved		caved						
leoraistrickia truncata				:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			X	
fothofagidites endurus		X	•	•	•	•			
Nothofagidites senectus	•		X		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	X			
Ornamentifera sentosa			• •	X			X		
Osmundacidites wellmanii	•		####	####	<del></del>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		####	
Peninsulapollis gillii	####	####			•				
PEROMONOLITES spp.	####	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				ave			
Peromonolites densus	×	X	•		•			•	
Peromonolites vellosus	•		caved		•	•			
Perotrilites jubatus					X			<del>-</del>	
Perotrilites majus	•	X						· :	
Phimopollenites pannosus	•				nik maraka a a			####	
hyllocladidites eunuchus m	. :-						####		
Phyllocladidites mawsonii	####	####	####	####	X	####	####		
Pilosisporites grandis			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					x	
Pilosisporites notensis RW			RW	•					
Plicatipollenites spp. RW		•	RW	RW	RW	RW	RW		
PODOCARPIDITES spp.	####	####			####			####	
Podosporites microsaccatus								####	
PROTEACIDITES spp.			*****		####				
Proteacidites adenantholdes	avec		cave			caveo			
Proteacidites amolosexinus									
Proteacidites annularis	avec	ī	• • • • •	cave	avec	i			
Proteacidites grandis	' '		•	cave		cave	1		
	• • •	• • • • •	•			cave			
Proteacidites incurvatus									

Table-5: Lindon-1 —	Ran	ge (	har	t for	Spo	ore-F	'olle	n
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8	E	f .	<b>B</b>	<b>a</b> .	<b>a</b> .	a .	Ħ
								in :
SPECIES/SAMPLES	945	066	015	070	155	1200	210	235
	51	O.	27	2	Ξ	12	7	77
roteacidites ornatus						caved		
rotohaploxypinus spp. RW				· · · · · · · · •			RW	
seudoreticulatispora pseudo	reticu	lata		RW				RW
RETITRILETES spp.			X	####	####	####	X	####
Retitriletes austroclavatidites			х				X	X
Retitriletes eminulus				Х				
Retitriletes nodosus						X		X
Rotverrusporites stellatus	X	and the same of the same	caved					
Rugulatisporites mallatus		X	Х		X		X	
TEREISPORITES spp.	####	####	####	####	####	####	####	####
Stereisporites (Tripunctispori	X			cave	aved			
Stereisporites antiquisporite	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
Stereisporites viriosus				X				
Striatoabieites multistriatus	RW			····		RW		
RICOLPITES/TRICOLPORIT		####	####	####	####	####		####
Cricolpites confessus			3		X			
ricolpites phillipsii	X				caved			
ricolpites walparaensis		X						
RILETES undiff.	####		####	####	####	####	####	####
rilobosporites trioreticulosu								x
riporoletes laevigatus				•				×
Criporoletes radiatus						· - · - · · · · •		####
Priporoletes reticulatus	x			×		x	x	×
riporoletes simplex						::		X
TRIPOROPOLLENITES spp.	####		####	####	####	####		
Verrucosisporites kopukuens	X	x				caved		
itreisporites pallidus			X		•	X	X	X
recoporates panians				•				
COUNT SPORE POLLEN (in s	98	113	134	144	104	116	105	236
0/							0007	
SPORES as % of S-P					27%			
ANGIOSPERM POLLEN as % o						war a compared to		
GYMNOSPERM POLLEN as %	43%	35%	39%	37%	30%	30%	9%	22%
								l. j
			000/	83%	72%	80%	70%	96%
Spore/Pollen as % of TOTAL	. 66%	68%	89%		. =====			•
	•							
MICROPLANKTON undiffere	.66% .8%	4%	3%	5%		####	16%	
MICROPLANKTON undiffere	8%	4%	3%	5%	6%	####	16% 9%	####
MICROPLANKTON undiffere	•	4%	3%			####	16% 9%	
MICROPLANKTON undiffere Amosopollis cruciformis COUNT MICROPLANKTON (i	8%	4% #### 5%	3%	5% 5%	6%	#### #### 2%	16% 9% 25%	####
Spore/Pollen as % of TOTAL  MICROPLANKTON undiffere  Amosopollis cruciformis  COUNT MICROPLANKTON (i  Fungal hyphae  Fungal spores	8% 8% 3%	4% #### 5%	3% #### 3% ####	5% 5%	6% 6% ####	####	16% 9% 25%	####
MICROPLANKTON undiffere Amosopollis cruciformis COUNT MICROPLANKTON (i Fungal hyphae Fungal spores	8% 8%	4% #### 5% 7% 8%	3% #### 3% #### 5%	5% 5% 2%	6% 6% #### ####	#### #### 2%	16% 9% 25% ####	####
MICROPLANKTON undiffere Amosopollis cruciformis COUNT MICROPLANKTON (i Fungal hyphae Fungal spores	8% 8% 3%	4% #### 5%	3% #### 3% ####	5% 5%	6% 6% ####	#### #### 2%	16% 9% 25%	####
MICROPLANKTON undiffere Amosopollis cruciformis COUNT MICROPLANKTON (i Fungal hyphae Fungal spores Fruiting bodies	8% 8% 3%	4% #### 5% 7% 8%	3% #### 3% #### 5% X	5% 5% 5% 2% X	6% 6% #### X	#### #### 2%	16% 9% 25% #### 2% X	####
MICROPLANKTON undiffere Amosopollis cruciformis COUNT MICROPLANKTON (i Fungal hyphae Fungal spores Fruiting bodies REWORKED Spore-Pollen	8% 8% 3% 7%	4% #### 5% 7% 8% X	3% #### 3% #### 5% X	5% 5% 2% X	6% #### X	#### #### 2%	16% 9% 25% ####	#### #### 2%
MICROPLANKTON undiffere Amosopollis cruciformis COUNT MICROPLANKTON (i Fungal hyphae Fungal spores Fruiting bodies	8% 8% 3% 7%	4% #### 5% 7% 8% X	3% #### 3% #### 5% X	5% 5% 2% X	6% 6% #### X	#### #### 2%	16% 9% 25% #### 2% X	####
MICROPLANKTON undiffere Imosopollis cruciformis COUNT MICROPLANKTON (i Fungal hyphae Fungal sposes Fruiting bodies	8% 8% 7%	4% ### 5% 7% 8% X	3% #### 3% #### 5% X	5% 5% 2% X #### 5%	6% 6% #### X #### 19%	#### #### 2% 2% 2% 14%	16% 9% 25% #### 2% X	#### #### 2% X

Table-6: Lindon-1 -	10	unge	. Сп.	art I	01 11	LICIU	hig	HAL
	B	8	Ħ	8				
: 				<b>a</b>	8	. 8	B	B
SPECIES/SAMPLES	945		015	070	155	200	210	235
	Q	0	S	10	11	12	77	12
DINOFLAGELLATE SPE	CIES	<u> </u>						<del></del>
Anthosphaeridium bullatum		Х			<u></u>	·		
APECTODINIUM sp.		cave	aved		cave			•
Apectodinium homomorph	ave	ave	ave			<del></del>		•
Canningia bassensis				X				
Cleistophaeridium ancorifer	um				•	x		
Cordosphaeridium sp.	X					caved		·
CRIBROPERIDINIUM spp.			X					
Cyclonephelium distinctum							X	
	aved	?					<del></del>	:
Deflandrea obliquipes (long			ave	aved	i	•	<del></del>	:
Exochosphaeridium phragm				X				
HETEROSPHAERIDIUM sp		X	Х	Α	Α	C	A	ave
Heterosphaeridium evansil		1		X	X		- <del></del>	
Heterosphaeridium heterac		ım	x	x	X	X	A	<del></del>
ISABELIDINIUM spp.		X		X			X	
Isabelidinium belfastense					·		X	ave
Isabelidinium cooksoniae(?)	)						X	ave
Isabelidinium cretaceum			X	1		X	C	-
Isabelidinium rectangularis		:		:		-	X	;
Isabelidinium thomasii			•	i		cf.	X	
Kiokansium polypes				<del></del>		cf.	•	-
MANUMIELLA spp.	;			,	cave	d		
Manumiella conorata	X	avec	I			caved	i —	
Manumiella seelandica*	X							
Nelsoniella aceras			X	X		F		cave
Odontochitina costata			X	x	:		X	
Odontochitina porifera		-		Х	X	X	X	
Palaeohystrichophora infus	orioid	les*		:	X			
Palaeoperidinium pyrophor	X							
Palaeostomocystis reticulat				•		X		
Paralecaniella indentata*	X			X	•	X		
SPINIDINIUM spp.		:		•	:	X		
SPINIFERITES spp.	X	X	X	X	С	X		
Systematophora placacant	avec	i			•			
TRITHYRODINIUM spp.					X	X	•	
Trithyrodinium vermiculate	L			X	X			
Tubiosphaera filosa	X				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
ALCAL EDECISE				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•		'	· 
ALGAL SPECIES Amosopollis cruciformis			Y	<u> </u>		······································	A	
Circulisporites parvus				<u> </u>			$\frac{\Lambda}{X}$	<del></del>
MICRHYSTRIDIUM spp.		х		·	•	<b>Y</b>		×
Schizosporis reticulatus	•	<u>^</u>				^_	•	$\frac{\Lambda}{X}$
Sigmopollis carbonis			•		•		•	<u>^</u>
Sigmopollis hispidus	•		•					<u>^</u>
organopours mapidus	•			<u> </u>			•	4
MICROFORAMINIFERAL LI	NERS			Х	×		·	