



8001 W

WCR VOL 2 TRUMPETER-1 W1008

ESSO EXPLORATION AND PRODUCTION AUSTRALIA INC.

PETROLEUM DIVISION

WELL COMPLETION REPORT 04 JUL 1990 TRUMPETER-1

VOLUME 2

INTERPRETED DATA

.

VIC/L5 ESSO AUSTRALIA RESOURCES LIMITED

> COMPILED BY: D. L. E. MORETON JUNE 1990

0690RP7:6

GEOLOGICAL AND GEOPHYSICAL DISCUSSION

- 1. SUMMARY OF WELL RESULTS
- 2. INTRODUCTION
- 3. STRATIGRAPHY
- 4. STRUCTURE
- 5. HYDROCARBONS
- 6. GEOPHYSICAL DISCUSSION
- 7. DISCUSSION
- FIGURES

.

1. LOCATION MAP

APPENDICES

.

- 1. PALYNOLOGICAL ANALYSIS
- 2. QUANTITATIVE LOG ANALYSIS
- 3. GEOCHEMICAL REPORT
- 4. RFT REPORT

ENCLOSURES

1.	STRUCTURAL CROSS SECTION A-A'	2451/OP/4
2.	TOP OF LATROBE GROUP STRUCTURE MAP	2451/OP/6
3.	TOP OF T1.1 SAND STRUCTURE MAP	2451/OP/3
4.	71 MY SEQUENCE BOUNDARY MARKER STRUCTURE MAP	2451/OP/5
5.	MUD LOG	

6. WELL COMPLETION LOG

7. SYNTHETIC SEISMIC TRACE

0690RP7:7

GEOLOGICAL AND GEOPHYSICAL ANALYSIS

1. <u>SUMMARY OF WELL RESULTS</u>

Formation/Horizon	Pre-drill Depth (mSS)	Post Drill Depth (mSS) べんり.
Gippsland Limestone (seafloor)	- 88	- 85
Top of Latrobe Group	-2388	-2425 2446
Top T 1.1 Sand	-3010	-2991 3012
67 Ma Sequence Boundary*	- 3035	-3018 3039
Intra T.Longus Seismic Marker	- 3290	-3275 3236
TD	- 3479	-3445 3 3.8

* Formerly named 68 Ma Seismic Marker

2. INTRODUCTION

The Trumpeter-1 well was drilled to test an Intra-Latrobe fault dependent, highside closure against a northwest trending normal fault downthrown to the northeast. The location of the well is close to the edge of Halibut Field. (Figure 1). The well was spudded on 8/9/89.

Fault closure was expected to exist from approximately -2530mSS to TD. The principle targets were the T-1 and T-8 sands where hydrocarbons occur in the nearby Flounder Field. Secondary targets existed through the T. longus aged coastal plain section.

Trumpeter-1 reached a total depth of -3445mSS without encountering significant hydrocarbons apart from minor gas in a thin, shaley sand just above the T-8 sand.

Mapping post drill suggests that the bounding fault may relay. The non-continuous nature of the bounding fault suggests that no valid structural closure existed in the Trumpeter prospect.

The Trumpeter-1 well was plugged and abandoned as an unsuccessful exploration test in Licence area VIC/L5 and the rig released 12/10/89.

0690RP7:1

EL=21M.

3. <u>STRATIGRAPHY</u>

The stratigraphy of Trumpeter-1 was essentially as predicted. The top of Latrobe Group was penetrated at -2425mSS, 37m deep to prediction, as discussed below. Two metres of shales rich in glauconite and pyrite of the Turrum Formation overlie the "Coarse Clastics".

The top of the "Coarse Clastics" is at -2427mSS, the upper portion of which consists of marginal marine sandstones, siltstones and shales of Upper <u>L. balmei</u> to Lower <u>L. balmei</u> age. This section approximately 200m thick consists of sediments from the 54.5, 55 and 58.5 million year sequences. It has a high net-to-gross of 85%. Porosities average 19%.

The section from the 58.5 million Year Sequence Boundary, (MYSB), to the 63 MYSB at -2874mSS also consists primarily of marginal marine sandstones and siltstones though some coals are also preserved. The net-to-gross of this section is also high at 72%. Porosities average 18%. This section Lower <u>L. balmei</u> in age. Below the 63 MYSB the section becomes more shaley with 120m of shale developed with minor sandstones of less than 5m thickness. This shale is the seal on the T-1 sandstone, the top of which is at -2991mSS, 19m high to prediction.

The T-1 sand is 70m thick, the base of which is marked by the 68 MYSB. The net-to-gross is 78% and porosities average 16%. Facies in this unit are estuaries/marginal marine. Below the 68 MYSB, 214m of very low net-to-gross shales, coals and siltstones occur. The section was prognosed to seal the T-8 sandstone. The top of the T-8 sandstone is at -3275mSS and is 85m thick. The net-to-gross is 80% with porosities averaging 14%. The facies are marginal marine or estuarine though a minor coal occurs within the section. The 71 MYSB marks the base of the T-8 sand.

The well reached total depth in coastal plain shales and coals of the 74 MY sequence.

0690RP7:2

- 2 -

4. <u>STRUCTURE</u>

Pre-drill, the Trumpeter structure was recognised as a highside closure formed against a northwesterly trending extensional fault in a style similar to the nearby Flounder A27 and Flounder A22 discoveries. Fault movement ceased in the late Paleocene or early Eocene and throw was interpreted to increase with depth from 70m at the 61 MYSB to 130m at the 71 MYSB. The folding was interpreted to occur in the late Eocene to Mid Miocene.

Post drill re-interpretation of the seismic shows the Trumpeter fault to relay to the north reducing closure to a minimum and implying that the well was drilled outside closure. Correlation across the faults suggest a maximum of 50m of throw at the level of the T.1 sand. This is not enough to breach the overlying shale seal.

5. <u>HYDROCARBONS</u>

A single hydrocarbon bearing sand was intersected by Trumpeter-1 just above the T-8 sand. The interval 3279.4mRKB to 3285.4mRKB contains 2.7m of net sand, (porosity greater than 10%). The sand is very shaley and water saturations of 45% are calculated.

An R.F.T. recovered a very small amount of gas with mud filtrate and formation water. Pressure data suggests the sand is supercharged. The T-8 sand, 9m below is completely waterbearing and this along with the high water saturation suggests that no significant column is present.

6. <u>GEOPHYSICAL DISCUSSION</u>

The Trumpeter structure was controlled by data from the G80A and G81A seismic surveys. These surveys combined to give a 1.0×0.5 km grid over the southern portion of the structure and a 1.0×1.0 km grid over the northern part. Data quality was generally good though the G80A data suffers from a low frequency content. In addition the line orientation is oblique to the fault trend leading to poor definition of fault cuts and fault throws.

0690RP7:3

- 3 -

Pre-drill, 3 structure maps were prepared, the Top of Latrobe Group, the 68Ma unconformity and the intra <u>T. longus</u> Seismic Marker. The latter two showing the Trumpeter structure closed against a continuous fault. The top of Latrobe Group occurred 37m low to prediction. This error was due to the seismic pick for the top of Latrobe being 28 milliseconds or about one cycle high. The intra Latrobe horizons were slightly high to prediction, the error in depth prediction being approximately 0.5% and was essentially due to velocities slightly slower than expected.

Post drill the major change in the interpretation is the recognition that the Trumpeter fault sidesteps or relays to the south. This is particularly evident on the unmigrated stack sections.

7. DISCUSSION

The failure of the Trumpeter-1 well to encounter hydrocarbons is most likely due to the lack of a valid structural trap. The relay in the bounding fault reduces closure to a minimum.

It is unlikely that fault leak occurs. Throw on the fault at the level of the T-l reservoir is apparently less than 50m. The marine shales above the T-l are almost 140m thick so it is unlikely that the fault breaches the seal.

In summary, Trumpeter-1 was not a valid test of the play type and future tests of this play will need extensive work to ensure the correct structural interpretation.

- 4 -

0690RP7:4

FIGURES

1

| | |



DFT.GIPPS.TRUMP.LOC II-89

Dwg. 2451/0P/1

+

APPENDIX 1

-

PALYNOLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF TRUMPETER-1 GIPPSLAND BASIN.

Ъy

•

A.D. PARTRIDGE ESSO AUSTRALIA LTD.

.

.

Esso Australia Ltd. Palaeontology Report 1990/9

.

March, 1990

INTERPRETED DATA

INTRODUCTION SUMMARY OF RESULTS GEOLOGICAL COMMENTS BIOSTRATIGRAPHY REFERENCES TABLE-1: INTERPRETED DATA PALYNOLOGY DATA SHEET

.

·

INTRODUCTION

Thirty-seven sidewall core samples were processed from Trumpeter-1 and examined for spores, pollen and microplankton. Although oxidized organic residue yields were mostly moderate, palynomorph concentrations were mostly low to very low and palynomorph preservation in the majority of samples was poor to very poor. Average recorded diversity was a disappointing 11 palynomorph species per sample.

- 3 -

The low diversity is directly related to the poor preservation due mostly to pyrite pitting of the sporopollinen walls of the palynomorphs. Abundant finely disseminated pyrite throughout the kerogen also caused processing difficulties, particularly in separating and concentrating the kerogen and palynomorphs at the zinc bromide density separation step.

Lithological units and palynological zones from base of Lakes Entrance Formation to T.D. are given in the following summary. Interpretative data with indentification of zones and confidence ratings are recorded in Table-1 and basic data on residue yields, preservation and diversity are recorded in Table-2. All species which can be identified with binomial names are tabulated on the accompanying range chart.

PALYNOLOGICAL SUMMARY OF TRUMPETER-1

AGE	UNIT/FACIES	SPORE-POLLEN ZONES (Dinoflagellate Zones)	DEPTH RANGE (mKB)
Oligocene	Lakes Entrance Formation 2448.0m	P. tuberculatus	2444.0
	2448.000		
Paleocene	Latrobe Group (coarse clastic	Upper L. balmei	2480.0
Paleocene	facies)	Lower <i>L. balmei</i>	2826.5-2954.0
Maastrichtian		Upper T. longus	3006.5-3432.5
		(M. druggii)	(3006.5)
	- T.D. 3465.8m		

GEOLOGICAL COMMENTS

1. The consistently poor preservation of palynomorphs in almost all samples in the Latrobe Group in Trumpeter-1 is unusual for both the depth range of the samples and general geographic position of the well the basin. It is suggested there may be more induration or diagenetic alteration of the sediments in this well related to the location of the well close to a major fault.

- 4 -

- 2 Because of the poor preservation microplankton are under-represented in the sequence, and may not truly reflect the extent of marine influence on the section drilled. In particular it is noted that the key Early Paleocene dinoflagellate zones characterized by *Trithyrodinium evittii* and *Eisenackia crassitabulata* could not be identified even though there was relatively good sampling density and these zones are present in nearby wells.
- 3. Supporting the thesis that these zones should be present is the occurrence of the dinoflagellate Palaeoperidinium pyrophorum at 2914.5m. It's presence suggests a possible correlation to the acme of P. pyrophorum which occurs near the base of the Lower L. balmei Zone in Roundhead-1 (at 2793.3m) and Teraglin-1 (at 2788.5m).

BIOSTRATIGRAPHY

Zone and age-determinations have been made using criteria proposed by Stover & Partridge (1973), Helby *et al.* (1987) and unpublished observations made on Gippsland Basin wells drilled by Esso Australia Ltd.

Author citations for most spore-pollen species can be sourced from Stover & Partridge (1973, 1982), Helby *et al.* (1987) and Dettmann & Jarzen (1988) or other references cited herein. Species names followed by "ms" are unpublished manuscript names. Zone names have not been altered to conform with recent nomenclatural changes to nominate species such as *Forcipites* (al.*Tricolpites*) *longus* (Stover & Evans) Dettmann & Jarzen 1988. Author citations for dinoflagellates can be found in Lentin & Williams (1985, 1989).

Upper Tricolpites longus Zone: 3006.8-3432.5 metres Maa

Maastrichtian.

The deepest sidewall core with reasonable recovery in the well is at 3432.5m, and is no older than the Upper *T. longus* Zone on the presence of a significant abundance of *Gambierina rudata*, even though the the calculated abundance of 22% is based on a low count of 46 specimens. A more confident and traditional pick of the base of the zone is at 3367.5m based on the FAD (First Appearance Datum) for *Stereisporites* (*Tripunctisporis*) spp.

- 5 -

The top of the zone is picked at 3006.8m principally on the presence of the dinoflagellate Manumiella seelandica, which is indicative of the M. druggii Zone. The only key spore-pollen species present are Proteacidites clinei and Tricolpites confessus whose LADs (Last Appearance Datums) are generally considered to indicate the top of the Upper T. longus Zone. It should be noted that P. clinei ms in association with Beaupreaidites orbiculatus (formerly Proteacidites gemmatus ms) are also recorded at 2994.0m. However, as these species are only represented by single specimens in a poor assemblage, it is considered prudent to leave this sample as indeterminate.

Other Upper T. longus Zone indicator species are Forcipites longus at 3191m, Proteacidites otwayensis ms at 3244m, and P. reticuloconcavus ms and Tricolporites lilliei in both sidewall cores at 3367.5m. In general the Upper T. longus Zone is best characterized by the abundance of Gambierina spp. in the samples between 3076.5m to 3432.5m (see Table-1).

Lower Lygistepollenites balmei Zone: 2826.5-2954.0 metres Paleocene.

Four samples are assigned to this zone, with variable confidence, and each on different criteria. The lowest sample at 2954m is dominated by gymnosperm pollen particularly *Podocarpidites* spp. (32%) and *Phyllocladidites mawsonii* (21%). An increase of the abundance of these pollen was clearly shown to correlate to the Lower *L. balmei* Zone in Roundhead-1 (Partridge, 1989). The sample at 2938.5m contains the only confident identification of *Proteacidites angulatus*, while the sample at 2914.5m contains rare specimens of the important dinoflagellate *Palaeoperidinium pyrophorum*. The shallowest sample at 2826.5m is assigned to the zone on the LAD for *Tetracolporites verrucosus* based on single poorly preserved specimen. All other samples over the interval contain assemblages which are too limited to be confidently assign to the zone.

Upper Lygistepollenites balmei Zone: 2480.0 metres

Paleocene.

Only a single sample could be confidently assigned to the Upper L. balmei Zone. The sample contained the FADs for the key species Cupanieidites orthoteichus and Malvacipollis subtilis and is no younger than this zone based on the LADs for Lygistepollenites balmei and Gambierina rudata. The four samples separating this sample from the underlying Lower subzone contained assemblages which were too limited to confidently assign to either subzone.

Proteacidites tuberculatus Zone: 2446.0 metres 01igocene.

This sample is confidently assigned to the *P. tuberculatus* Zone based on the occurrence of the spore *Cyatheacidites annulatus*. The sample also contains a dinoflagellate assemblage characteristic of the Lakes Entrance Formation. Dominant in the assemblage are the undescribed species *Pyxidinopsis mammilatus* ms and *P. simplex* ms. Other characteristic species include *Hystrichokolpoma rigaudiae*, *Operculodinium centrocarpum* and *Polysphaeridium pseudocolligerum*.

REFERENCES

- DETTMANN, M.E. & JARZEN, D.M., 1988. Angiosperm pollen from uppermost Cretaceous strata of southeastern Australia and the Antarctic Peninsula. *Mem. Ass. Australas. Palaeontols 5*, 217-237.
- HELBY, R., MORGAN, R. & PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1987. A palynological zonation of the Australian Mesozoic. *Mem. Ass. Australas. Palaeontols* 4, 1-94.
- LENTIN, J.K. & WILLIAMS, G.L., 1985. Fossil dinoflagellates: Index to genera and species, 1985 Edition. Canadian Tech. Rep. Hydrog. Ocean Sci. 60, 1-451.
- LENTIN, J.K. & WILLIAMS, G.L., 1989. Fossil dinoflagellates: Index to genera and species, 1989 Edition. AASP Contribution Series No. 20, 1-473.
- PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1989. Palynological analysis of Roundhead-1, Gippsland Basin. Esso Australia Ltd. Palaeo. Rept. 1989/17, 1-26.
- STOVER, L.E. & PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1973. Tertiary and Late Cretaceous spores and pollen from the Gippsland Basin, southeastern Australia. *Proc. R. Soc. Vict. 85*, 237-286.
- STOVER, L.E. & PARTRIDGE, A.D., 1982. Eocene spore-pollen from the Werillup Formation, Western Australia. *Palynology* 6, 69-95.

- 7 -

TABLE 1: INTERPRETATIVE PALYNOLOGICAL DATA TRUMPETER-1, GIPPSLAND BASIN

Sheet	1	of	2	
DIICCC	-	OT.	~	

SAMPLE TYPE	DEPTH (M)	SPORE - POLLEN ZONE	DINOFLAGELLATE ZONE (OR ASSOCIATION)	CONFIDENCE RATING	COMMENT
SWC 60	2444	Indeterminate			······································
SWC 59	2446	P. tuberculatus		0	<i>Cyatheacidites annulatus</i> present.
SWC 58	2447.5	Indeterminate			Barren of fossils.
SWC 56	2480	Upper L. balmei		1	FAD Cupanieidites orthoteichus.
SWC 55	2488	Indeterminate			•
SWC 52	2552	Indeterminate			Glaphrocysta retiintexta present.
SWC 47	2711	L. balmei		1	
SWC 46	2721	L. balmei		2	Lygistepollenites balmei frequent.
SWC 40	2826.5	Lower L. balmei		4	, , , , , , , , , ,
SWC 38	2914.5	Lower L. balmei	(P. pyrophorum)	1	
SWC 37	2925	L. balmei		2	
SWC 82	2938.5	Lower L. balmei		1	Proteacidites angulatus present.
SWC 35	2954	Lower L. balmei		2	Phyllocladidites mawsonii 21%.
SWC 81	2968	Indeterminate			•
SWC 80	2982	Indeterminate			Deflandrea speciosus present.
SWC 79	2994	Indeterminate			Breaupreaidites orbiculatus present.
SWC 31	3001	Indeterminate			
SWC 78	3006.8	Upper T. longus	M. druggii	0	Manumiella seelandica present.
SWC 77	3011.5	Indeterminate	30		F
SWC 28	3018	Indeterminate			
SWC 27	3028	Indeterminate			
SWC 76	3059.2	Indeterminate			
SWC 75	3076.5	Upper T. longus		2	Gambierina spp. abundant.
SWC 73	3114	Indeterminate			• •
SWC 72	3140	Indeterminate			
SWC 71	3166.5	T. longus		2	
SWC 69	3191	Upper T. longus		2	Forcipites longus present.
SWC 68	3224	Indeterminate			· ·0 F ·
SWC 15	3244	Upper T. longus		2	Gambierina spp. abundance 13%.

 TABLE 1: INTERPRETATIVE PALYNOLOGICAL DATA TRUMPETER-1, GIPPSLAND BASIN (cont)

 Sheet 2 of 2

SAMPLE TYPE	DEPTH (M)	SPORE - POLLEN ZONE	DINOFLAGELLATE ZONE (OR ASSOCIATION)	CONFIDENCE RATING	COMMENT
SWC 13	3277.5	Upper T. longus		1	Gambierina spp. abundance 12%.
SWC 10	3291	Indeterminate			
SWC 67	3344	Indeterminate			· · ·
SWC 7	3367.5	Upper T. longus		1	Gambierina spp. abundance 18%.
SWC 66	3367.5	Upper T. longus		1	Gambierina spp. abundance 20%.
SWC 65	3385	Indeterminate			
SWC 5	3470.2	Indeterminate			
SWC 64	3432.5	Upper T. longus		2	Gambierina spp. abundance 22%.

LAD = Last appearance datum. FAD = First appearance datum. PALYNOLOGY DATA SHEET

ជ	PALY	NOLOGICAL	ΗΙG	ΗE	ST D	АТ	A	LO	WES	T DA	АΤЯ	Ą
A G		ZONES	Preferred Depth	Rtg	Alternate Depth	Rtg	Two Way Time	Preferred Depth	Rtg	Alternate Depth	Rtg	Two Tii
	T. ples	istocenicus										
Щ	M. lips	sis	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
NEOGENE	C. bift	urcatus										
NEC	T. bel.	lus							1			
	P. tube	erculatus						2446	0			
	Upper 1	N. asperus						· - · · ·		······································		
	Mid N.	asperus									1	
떠	Lower N	V. asperus										
PALEOGENE	P. aspe	eropolus										
LEC	Upper A	4. diversus										
ΡA	Mid M.	diversus								N		
	Lower N	M. diversus				•						
	Upper 1	L. balmei	2480	1				2480	1	<u> </u>		
	Lower 1	L. balmei	2826.5	4	2914.5	1		2954	2	2952	1	
	Upper 7	. longus	3006.8	1				3432.5	2	3367.5	1	
sno	Lower 7	". longus										
ACE	T. 1111	iei										
CRETACEOUS	N. sene	ectus										
-	T. apox	yexinus										
LATE	P. maws	sonii										
н	A. dist	cocarinatus										
	P. pann	nosus										
CRET.	C, para	ido xa										
	C. stri	latus										
EARLY	C. hugh	nesi										
ΕP	F. wont	haggiensis										
	C. aust	raliensis										
CON	1MENTS:	All depth	s in metr	es.								
		·			oflagella	te Za	ne 3006	.8m (Ratir	ıq 1)			
								Acme 2914.				· _
				<u> </u>								
CON	FIDENCE	O: SWC or C	ore, Exceller	it Con	fidence, asser	nblage	e with zone	species of spo	mes. p	ollen and mic	cropla	nkton
R.4	ATING	1: SWC or C	ore, <u>Good Co</u>	onfide	nce, assembl	lage w	ith zone sp	ecies of spores	and p	ollen or micr	oplan	kton.
1								gnostic spores f either spores				
		or both.					-					
•• <i>•</i> =								spores, poller				
тол	NOTE: If an entry is gi entered, if poss							pth with a bet cular zone, th				
		unless a range o limit in another	f zones is giv									
DAT	A RECORD	DED BY: A	.D. Partr	idge			D.	ATE: <u>7th</u>	ı Mar	ch, 1990.		

BASIC DATA

- 11 -

TABLE-2: BASIC DATA RANGE CHART

E

-

TABLE 2: BASIC PALYNOLOGICAL DATA TRUMPETER-1, GIPPSLAND BASIN

Sheet 1 of 2

SAMPLE	DEPTH	LAB	LITHOLOGY	RESIDUE	PALYNOMORPH	PRESERVATION	NUMBERS	MICROPLA	NKTON
TYPE	(M)	NO.		YIELD	CONCENTRATION		S-P SPECIES*	ABUNDANCE	NO. SPECIES*
SWC 60	2444	78272 H	Calcareous Claystone	Low	Very Low	Fair	2		2
SWC 59	2446	78272 G	Glauconitic Claystone	Low	Very Low	Fair	9+	High	11+
SWC 58	2447.5	78272 F	Glauconitic Claystone	Very Low	Barren			-	
SWC 56	2480	78272 D	Siltstone	Low	Low	Poor	22+	Very Low	1+
SWC 55	2488	78272 C	Argillaceous Sandstone	Low	Very Low	Poor	3+	•	
SWC 52	2552	78271 Z	Argillaceous Sandstone	Low	Very Low	Poor	1+	Very Low	3
SWC 47	2711	78271 U	Carbonaceous/Coally Siltstone	Low	Low	Poor	6+	•	
SWC 46	2721	78271 T	Interbedded Sst./Sltst.	High	Moderate	Poor	11+		
SWC 40	2826.5	78271 N	Carbonaceous Sandstone	Moderate	Low	Very Poor	12+		
SWC 38	2914.5	78271 L	Argillaceous Very Fine Sst.	Low	Very Low	Poor	7+	Low	3+
SWC 37	2925	78271 K	Argillaceous Sandstone	Moderate	Moderate	Very Poor	15+		
SWC 82	2938.5	78273 D	Sandstone	Moderate	Low	Very Poor	12+		
SWC 35	2954	78271 I	Argillaceous Sandstone	High	Low	Poor	18+		
SWC 81	2968	78273 C	Mottled Very Fine Sandstone	Moderate	Very Low	Poor	5+		
SWC 80	2982	78273 B	Mottled Very Fine Sandstone	Moderate	Very Low	Poor	14+	Low	2+
SWC 79	2994	78273 A	Siltstone	Moderate	Very Low	Poor	16+		
SWC 31	3001	78271 E	Siltstone	Moderate	Low	Poor	10+		
SWC 78	3006.8	78272 Z	Argillaceous Very Fine Sst.	Moderate	Low	Poor	18+	Low	3
SWC 77	3011.5	78272 Y	Carbonaceous Silty Sandstone	Moderate	Low	Poor	9+		
SWC 28	3018	78271 B	Pyritic Siltstone/Claystone	Moderate	Very Low	Very Poor	5+		
SWC 27	3028	78271 A	Argillaceous Very Fine Sst.	Moderate	Low	Poor	8+	Very Low	1
SWC 76	3059.2	78272 X	Siltstone	Moderate	Very Low	Very Poor	7+	-	
SWC 75	3076.5	78272 W	Siltstone	Moderate	Low	Poor	13+		
SWC 73	3114	78272 U	Carbonaceous Very Fine Sst.	High	Low	Poor	5+		
SWC 72	3140	78272 Т	Carbonaceous Siltstone	Moderate	Very Low	Poor	6+		
SWC 71	3166.5	78272 S	Carbonaceous Siltstone	Moderate	Low	Poor-Fair	13+	(Very Low) (1)
SWC 69	3191	78272 Q	Carbonaceous Siltstone	Moderate	Low	Poor	8+		
SWC 68	3224	78272 P	Carbonaceous Sandstone	High	Very Low	Very Poor	1		
SWC 15	3244	78270 O	Carbonaceous Siltstone	Moderate	Moderate	Poor	16+		

					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Sheet 2	<u>of 2</u>
SAMPLE TYPE	DEPTH (M)	LAB NO.	LITHOLOGY	RESIDUE YIELD	PALYNOMORPH CONCENTRATION	PRESERVATION	NUMBERS S-P SPECIES*		FON NO. ECIES*
SWC 13	3277.5	78270 M	Siltstone	Moderate	Moderate	Poor	13+		
SWC 10	3291	78270 J	Carbonaceous Siltstone	Moderate	Low	Poor	11+		
SWC 67	3344	78272 0	Carbonaceous Siltstone	Low	Barren				
SWC 7	3367.5	78270 G	Argillaceous Siltstone	High	Moderate	Poor-Fair	23+	(Very Low)	(1)
SWC 66	3367.5	78272 N	Argillaceous Sandstone	Moderate	Moderate	Fair	19+	(Very Low)	(1)
SWC 65	3385	78272 M	Carbonaceous Siltstone	Moderate	Very Low	Poor	4+	(··)	(-)
SWC 5	3470.2	78270 E	Carbonaceous Siltstone	High	Very Low	Poor	5+		
SWC 64	3432.5	78272 L	Siltstone	Low	Low	Poor	10+		

 TABLE 2:
 BASIC PALYNOLOGICAL DATA TRUMPETER-1, GIPPSLAND BASIN (cont.)

Microplankton in (brackets) = probable contaminants.

* Diversity:	Very Low		1- 5 species
	Low		6-10 species
	Moderate	***	11-25 species
	High	-	26-74 species
	Very High	-	75+ species

.

(ADP246)

PE900494

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE900494 is enclosed within the container PE902133 at this location in this document.

The enclosure PE900494 has the following characteristics: ITEM_BARCODE = PE900494 CONTAINER_BARCODE = PE902133 NAME = Palynological Range Chart BASIN = GIPPSLAND PERMIT = VIC/L5 TYPE = WELL SUBTYPE = DIAGRAM DESCRIPTION = Palynological Range Chart for Trumpeter-1 REMARKS = DATE_CREATED = DATE_RECEIVED = W_NO = W1008 WELL_NAME = TRUMPETER-1 CONTRACTOR = CLIENT_OP_CO = ESSO AUSTRALIA LIMITED

(Inserted by DNRE - Vic Govt Mines Dept)

APPENDIX 2

APPENDIX 2

TRUMPETER 1

QUANTITATIVE LOG ANALYSIS

Interval:	2446-3450m MDKB
Analyst :	M.J. MOORE.
Date :	March, 1990.

TRUMPETER 1

QUANTITATIVE LOG ANALYSIS

CONTENTS

Logs Used

I

Analysis Methodology

Analysis Parameters

Discussion

Table 1 : Analysis Summary (Net and Gross Sand - MDKB)

Table 2 : Salinity values used in analysis

Appendix :

Algorithms and logic used in analysis

Enclosure :

SOLAR Depth Plot (MDKB)

TRUMPETER 1 QUANTITATIVE LOG ANALYSIS

Wireline log data from the Trumpeter 1 well have been quantitatively analysed over the interval 2446-3450m MDKB for effective porosity and effective water saturation. Results are presented in the form of the accompanying tabular listings and depth plots and are summarised and discussed below. Trumpeter 1 failed to intersect any significant hydrocarbon bearing reservoirs.

Trumpeter-1 intersected only one relatively tight gas column in the <u>T.longus</u> section over the interval 3279.4-3285.4m MDKB.

LOGS USED

CALI (caliper) GR (gamma ray - cased hole) LLD (deep laterolog) LLS (shallow laterolog) MSFL (Micro-Spherically Focused Log) RHOB (bulk density) NPHI (neutron porosity) DRHO (density correction)

Log quality was generally good. Minor density corrections in intervals of bad hole were the only log quality problems encountered

DISCUSSION

The primary objective of the Trumpeter-1 well was the T-1 sand (based upon the Flounder T-1 reservior) with a secondary objective of the T-8 sand (also found in Flounder). Both sands were fault seal dependent and of <u>T.longus</u> age. These sands had an average ϕe of 15.8% and 15.0% and Swe's of 99.3% and 100%, respectively in Trumpeter 1.

Only one sand (3279.4-3285.4m MDKB) was intersected that contained any hydrocarbons (gas). This lay directly above the T-8 sand and contained 6.0m of Gross, with a Net to Gross of 43% ($\phi e = 11.6\%$ and Swe = 44.7%). This sand package warranted the running of RFT pretests and one sample run. The RFT sample run recovered 0.07 cu.ft of gas and 10 litres of a formation water/mud filtrate mix. The lengthy build up period (incomplete after 87 minutes) suggesting that the formation was tight.

All other sands encountered in Trumpeter 1 proved to be water wet.

TRUMPETER-1 QUANTITATIVE LOG ANALYSIS

ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

Apparent total porosity and shale volume was calculated using density-neutron crossplot algorithms.

Water saturations were determined from the dual water relationship. Effective porosities and water saturations were derived from the apparent total porosity and water saturation, calculated shale volume and apparent shale porosity.

ANALYSIS PARAMETERS

Esso Australia Logic Model Tortuosity "a" Cementation factor "m" Saturation exponent "n" Fluid density (rhof)	: K12 (option 1) : 1.00 : 2.00 : 2.00 : 1.00
	2446m KB 3450m KB
Gamma Ray value in clean formation (grmin)	: 40 60 gapi
Gamma Ray value in shale (grmax)	: 180 160 gapi
Shale Resistivity (Rsh)	:30 30 ohmm
Apparent bulk density of shale (RHOBSH)	: 2.65 2.55 g/cc
Apparent neutron porosity of shale (PHINSH)	: 0.33 0.27 frac
Salinity	: see table 2.
	·
Hydrocarbon density (RHOH)	: 0.25 (gas)
Lower limit of grain density	: 2.645 g/cc
Upper limit of grain density	: 2.675 g/cc
Measured Rmf	: 0.143 ohmm
Temperature at which.Rmf measured	: 18.3°C
SXO from RXO?	: No
z	: 0.3
AMS used?	: No
Total Depth	: 3468 m MDKB
BHT	: 95°C
Sea bed/Surface temperature	: 10°C
Water depth/GL	: 85 m
KB height	: 21 m
Irreducible water saturation	: 0.025
Vsh upper limit for effective porosity	: 0.65
Phie minimum for hydrocarbons	: 0.03

TRUMPETER_1

ANALYSIS SUMMARY.

Net porosity cut-off.....: 0.100 volume per volume Net water saturation cut-off..: 0.500 volume per volume

Net Porous Interval based on Porosity cut-off only. Both Porosity and Sw cut-offs invoked when generating Hydrocarbon-Metres.

	GROSS INTERVA	r	NET P	OROUS INT	TERVAL					
	(metres)	Gross	Net	Net to	Mean	(Std.)	Mean	(Std.)	Mean	(Std.) HYDROCARBON
	(top) -(base)	Metres	Metres	Gross	Vsh	(Dev.)	Porosity	(Dev.)	Sw	(Dev.) METRES
			1							I
MDKB	2446.4-2487.2	40.8	1 34.4	84 %	0.081	(0.098)	0.182	(0.034)	1.000	(0.418) 0.000
MDKB	2487.8-2669.8	182.0	1170.6	94 %	0.042	(0.067)	0.192	(0.036)	1.000	(0.404) 0.000
MDKB	2670.8-2679.8	9.0	1 7.0	78 %	0.015	(0.046)	0.163	(0.024)	0.990	(0.023) 0.000
MDKB	2681.2-2707.8	26.6	1 25.2	95 🕏	0.032	(0.059)		(0.024)	1.000	(0.221) 0.000
MDKB	2710.0-2737.6	27.6	18.0	65 🕏	0.066	(0.085)		(0.026)	0.998	(0.037) 0.000
MDKB	2739.0-2780.4	41.4	38.6	93 %	-	(0.059)		(0.025)		(0.046) 0.000
MDKB	2785.6-2799.2		12.8	94 %		(0.079)		(0.026)		(0.012) 0.000
MDKB	2805.2-2820.8		1 13.4	86 %		(0.087)		(0.021)	1.000	(0.000) 0.000
MOKB	2826.4-2989.4	163.0	82.6	51 %		(0.081)		(0.034)	1.000	(0.160) 0.000
MDKB	3002.4-3073.2	70.8	1 55.0	78 %		(0.065)		(0.025)	0.993	(0.039) 0.000
MDKB	3077.4-3082.8	5.4	4.6	85 🕯		(0.069)		(0.030)	1.000	(0.000) 0.000
MDKB	3084.2-3126.6	42.4	13.8	33 🕏		(0.085)		(0.020)	1.000	(0.275) 0.000
MOKB	3127.6-3129.2	1.6	0.4	25 🕏		(0.007)		(0.001)	1.000	(0.000) 0.000
MOKB	3132.4-3134.8	2.4	1.4	58 🕏		(0.125)		(0.016)	1.000	(0.000) 0.000
MDKB	3135.4-3137.4	2.0	1.4	70 %		(0.033)		(0.004)	1.000	(0.000) 0.000
MDKB	3147.8-3153.8	6.0	3.6	60 🕏	0.133	(0.046)	0.135	(0.015)	1.000	(0.000) 0.000
MDKB	3170.2-3177.2	7.0	4.8	69 %	0.135	(0.059)	0.136	(0.019)	1.000	(0.000) 0.000
MD KB	3180.6-3189.4	8.8	2.4	27 🐐		(0.040)		(0.006)	1.000	(0.031) 0.000
MDKB	3191.2-3203.4	12.2	5.2	43 🕏	0.067	(0.053)	0.140	(0.011)	1.000	(0.069) [0.000
MDKB	3213.2-3215.6		1.0	42 🕯	0.188	(0.049)		(0.004)	1.000	(0.000) 0.000
MDKB	3226.6-3234.2	7.6	2.8	37 🖁		(0.063)		(0.031)	1.000	(0.000) 0.000
MDKB	3245.0-3246.6	1.6	1 0.6	37 %		(0.044)		(0.006)	1.000	(0.000) 0.000
MDKB	3279.4-3285.4	6.0	2.6	43 🕯	0.269	(0.039)	0.116	(0.007)	0.447	(0.025) 0.166
MDKB	3290.0-3292.6	2.6	1.2	46 %		(0.139)		(0.013)	1.000	(0.000) 0.000
MDKB	3293.6-3382.0	88.4	82.4	93 🕏		(0.054)		(0.019)	1.000	(0.413) 0.000
MDKB	3386.8-3405.2	18.4	9.0	49 🕏	0.098	(0.090)	0.125	(0.014)	1.000	(0.000) 0.000
MDKB	3409.6-3419.0	9.4	0.6	6 %	0.111	(0.056)	0.117	(0.011)	1.000	(0.000) 0.000
MDKB	3420.4-3428.0	7.6	2.0	26 %	0.085	(0.077)	0.137	(0.026)	1.000	(0.000) 0.000
MDRB	3429.4-3440.2	10.8	2.6	24 %	0.130	(0.061)	0.125	(0.011)	1.000	(0.000) 0.000
MDKB	3443.4-3450.0	6.6	1.8	27 %	0.083	(0.042)	0.113	(0.007)	1.000	(0.000) 0.000
								-		

TABLE 2 : SALINITY VALUES USED IN ANALYSIS

DEPTH INTERVAL (m MDKB)	SALINITY (ppm)
2446 - 3100	50,000
3100 - 3450	20,000

(03900231)

```
APPENDIX 1
      ALGORITHMS & LOGIC USED IN THE QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS
Initial Total Porosity and Shale Volume was calculated from
the bulk density and neutron porosity log responses as follows:
    vsh = ((nphi+0.04) - ((2.65-rhob)/(2.65-rhof)))/
          ((phinsh+0.04) - ((2.65-rhobsh)/(2.65-rhof)))
    vsh = min(1, (max(0, vsh)))
    h = (2.71-rhob) + (nphi*(rhof-2.71))
      if (h>=0)
        rhoma=2.71-(0.5*h)
      else
        rhoma=2.71-(0.64*h)
    phit = max(0.001, (min(1, ((rhoma-rhob)/(rhoma-rhof)))))
The Apparent Salinity profile was derived from aRw
back-calculated in clean sands from Archie's equation,
assuming 100% Sw.
Swt (total Water Saturation) was calculated using the
dual water relationship
1/rt = (swt**n) * (phit**m) / (a*rw) + swt** (n-1) * (swb* (phit**m) / a) * ((1/rwb) - (1/rw))
This is solved for Sw by Newtons solution:
     exsw=0
     sw =0.9
     aa =((phit**m)/(a*rw))
     bb =((swb*(phit**m)/a)*((1/rwb)-(1/rw)))
         repeat
            fx1=(aa*(sw**n))+(bb*(sw**(n-1)))-(1/rt)
            fx2=(n*aa*(sw**(n-1)))+((n-1)*bb*(sw**(n-2)))
               if((abs(fx2)) < 0.0001)
                fx2=0.0001
            swp=sw
            sw = swp - (fx1/fx2)
            exsw=exsw+1
         until (exsw > 4 \text{ or } (abs(sw-swp)) \le 0.01)
     swt=sw
Effective Porosity and Water Saturation were derived as follows:
  if (vsh > vshco) {
   swt = 1
   swe = 1
   phie = 0
  }
  else {
    phie= max(0.0, (phit-(vsh*phish)))
    swe = max(swirr, ( 1 - ((phit/phie)*(1-swt))))
    if (vsh > (vshco-0.2)) (
       phie= phie*((vshco-vsh)/0.2)
       swe = 1 - ((1 - swe) * ((vshco-vsh) / 0.2))
    }
 }
where vshco = 0.65
```

PE600984

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE600984 is enclosed within the container PE902133 at this location in this document.

The enclosure PE600984 has the following characteristics: ITEM_BARCODE = PE600984 CONTAINER_BARCODE = PE902133 NAME = CPI Quantitative log BASIN = GIPPSLAND PERMIT = TYPE = WELLSUBTYPE = WELL_LOG DESCRIPTION = CPI Quantitative log of Trumpeter-1 REMARKS = $DATE_CREATED = 6/04/90$ $DATE_RECEIVED = 4/07/90$ $W_NO = W1008$ WELL_NAME = Trumpeter-1 CONTRACTOR = SOLAR CLIENT_OP_CO = ESSO

(Inserted by DNRE - Vic Govt Mines Dept)

APPENDIX 3

APPENDIX 3

GEOCHEMICAL REPORT

ON

TRUMPETER 1 WELL

GIPPSLAND BASIN

ΒY

B.J.BURNS MARCH 1990

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1	Total Organic Carbon content
Table 2	Rockeval Pyrolysis data
Table 3	Kerogen P.O.M.T. Report
Table 4	Kerogen Fluorescence descriptions

Figure 1	Composite Geochemical Profile
Figure 2	Source Potential, HI vs Tmax
Figure 3	Kerogen Types, Trumpeter 1
Figure 4	Kerogen Fluorescence

- 2 -
INTRODUCTION

Trumpeter 1 was drilled just east of the Halibut field and penetrated Latrobe Group sands and carbonaceous shales and siltstones of Paleocene and Upper Cretaceous age. Based on data from the nearby Halibut field the maturity of this section was expected to be relatively low. Potential identified from source rock intervals were their electric log characteristics and thirteen SWCs from the Upper Cretaceous section, and one from the Paleocene, below a depth of 2700m were selected for routine TOC and Rockeval measurements.

Due to the poor preservation of the kerogen, most of the available SWC material was used for the preparation of suitable palynological slides. In some cases no material was available for kerogen and fluorescence analysis which was carried out by Dr. M.J. Hannah of Esso on nine of the fourteen samples.

<u>RESULTS</u>

The TOC and Rockeval results are presented in Tables 1 and 2 and summarised in Figure 1. All of the Upper Cretaceous samples are from the Upper T. longus zone and are medium- to dark-brown or grey-brown carbonaceous siltstones. The Total Organic Carbon (TOC) content is uniformly "good" to "excellent" with all samples except 2711m and 3140m having TOC's above 2.0% and ranging up to 5.47%

The corresponding Rockeval results (Table 2) are very dissapointing with only three samples having a "good" source richness rating (based on S2 yields in excess of 6mg/g). The richest samples are from the Upper T. longus Zone at 3018, 3291 and 3407.2m. However only the sample from 3407.2m would be the interpreted as oil generative (at peak maturity) with a Hydrogen Index of over 200 (Table 2, Fig 2), while the remaining samples would be expected to yield mainly gas.

The low Tmax values for all samples (<434) indicate that the majority of the section penetrated in the well is immature although the Thermal Alteration Index (TAI) and Kerogen Fluorescence data suggest that the section below approx. 3100m is early mature (see below).

Kerogen organic matter descriptions and fluorescence characteristics are set out in Tables 3 & 4 and Figures 3 & 4. The kerogen types are relatively consistant with only minor variations in some components. The "oil-prone" material (as measured by the Amorphous, Spore/Pollen and Biodegraded Terrestrial categories) is likewise consistant at 35 - 55% which indicates a 'gas plus liquids' source. Only one sample at 3291m has 60% oil-prone material. Below 3140m the predominant fluorescence colour has increased from bright yellow to gold indicating the early mature stage The sample at 3291m is unusual in its fluorescence of the samples. behaviour in that it contains predominantly 'bright yellow' (ie. immature) cellular material along with some that is 'bright orange' (ie. mature). Other data, such as TAI and Tmax, indicate that this sample is still early mature.

DEPOSITIONAL ENVIRONMENT

Environments of deposition could not be reliably determined for the first three samples but all the remainder represent deposition in a Lower Coastal Plain environment as determined by A.D.Partridge 1990 (see Table 2). On the basis of TOC data this Lower Coastal Plain environment is a rich hydrocarbon source but the Rockeval Hydrogen Index and kerogen data suggest that the main product would be gas with some oil.

SUMMARY

 The Lower Coastal Plain facies of the Upper T. longus Zone contains high TOC source rocks at the early mature stage but the expected product at maturity would be mainly gas with some oil.

REFERENCES

PARTRIDGE, A.D., Palynological analysis of Trumpeter 1, Gippsland Basin. Esso Australia Ltd. Palaeo. Rept. 1990/9 1-13.

(BJB135)

TABLE 1 TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON

WELL: TRUMPETER 1

SAMPLE	DEPTH	TYPE	AGE	ZONE	TOC %	CO3 %	DESCRIPTION
No	(m)				%	%	
78271 U	2711.0	CRSW	Paleocene	L. balmei	1.26	2.76	SLTST PL GY-M GY
78271 E	3001.0	CRSW	U. Cretaceous	UT. longus	2.38	7.52	SLTST DK BRN,V CARB FLKS
78271 B	3018.0	CRSW	U. Cretaceous	U T. longus	5.39	5.60	SLTST/CLYST DK BRN
78272 X	3059.2	CRSW	U. Cretaceous	U T. longus	3.54	5.63	SLTST DK-M BRN
78272 W	3076.5	CRSW	U. Cretaceous	UT. longus	2.86	11.70	SLTST DK-M BRN
78272 T	3140.0	CRSW	U. Cretaceous	U T. longus	1.14	5.12	SLTST DK-M BRN
78272 S	3166.5	CRSW	U. Cretaceous	U T. longus	2.01	3.66	SLTST M-DK BRN
78272 Q	3191.0	CRSW	U. Cretaceous	U T. longus	2.73	6.82	SLTST M-DK BRN
78270 O	3244.0	CRSW	U. Cretaceous	U T. longus	1.99	8.21	SLTST M GY-DK BRN
78270 J	3291.0	CRSW	U. Cretaceous	U T. longus	4.41	2.12	SLTST V DK BRN-BLK
78272 O	3344.0	CRSW	U. Cretaceous	U T. longus	3.33	5.47	SLTST M-DK BRN
78272 M	3385.0	CRSW	U. Cretaceous	U T. longus	3.98	5.89	SLTST M-DK BRN
78270 E	3407.2	CRSW	U. Cretaceous	UT. longus	5.47	3.49	SLTST M-DK BRN
78272 L	3432.5	CRSW	U. Cretaceous	U T. longus	2.56	7.24	SLTST M-DK BRN

TABLE 2 ROCKEVAL REPORT

WELL:	TRUMPETER	1
V V has been here t		•

				T							
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TYPE	TOC	Tmax	S1	S2	S3	HI	OI	HI/OI	DEPOSITIONAL
NO.	(m)		%		mg/g	mg/g	mg/g				ENVIRONMENT
78271 U	2711.0	CRSW	1.26	422	0.22	2.00	0.24	159	19	8	
78271 E	3001.0	CRSW	2.38	423	0.13	1.29	0.25	54	10	5	
78271 B	3018.0	CRSW	5.39	425	0.97	9.74	0.45	181	8	21	
78272 X	3059.2	CRSW	3.54	426	0.28	2.26	0.26	64	7	9	L. Coastal Plain
78272 W	3076.5	CRSW	2.86	429	0.24	2.31	0.38	81	13	6	L. Coastal Plain
78272 T	3140.0	CRSW	1.14	428	0.12	0.80	0.02	70	2	42	L. Coastal Plain
78272 S	3166.5	CRSW	2.01	431	0.20	1.46	0.29	73	14	5	L. Coastal Plain
78272 Q	3191.0	CRSW	2.73	432	0.35	3.26	0.07	119	3	44	L. Coastal Plain
78270 O	3244.0	CRSW	1.99	431	0.26	2.19	0.31	110	16	7	L. Coastal Plain
78270 J	3291.0	CRSW	4.41	422	1.73	8.14	0.33	185	8	24	L. Coastal Plain
78272 O	3344.0	CRSW	3.33	429	0.24	1.25	0.11	37	3	11	L. Coastal Plain
78272 M	3385.0	CRSW	3.98	427	0.35	3.19	0.23	80	6	14	L. Coastal Plain
78270 E	3407.2	CRSW	5.47	434	1.12	12.54	0.27	229	5	46	L. Coastal Plain
78272 L	3432.5	CRSW	2.56	429	0.38	2.06	0.13	80	5	16	L. Coastal Plain

TABLE 3 KEROGEN P.O.M.T.

WELL:	TRUMPETER 1

7.0	6.2	2	7.0	TAI	% OIL	%
			1		PRONE	FLUOR
10			10		55	85
10			10	2.2	: 50	60
5			5	2.2	2 40	30
10		i	10	2.2	2 40	30
10			10	2.3	35	60
5			5	2.2	2 45	60
5			5	2.3	60	40
5			5	2.3	50	20
5			5	2.3	55	30
			<u> </u>	5 5 5	5 2.2 5 2.3 5 2.3	5 2.2 45 5 2.3 60 5 2.3 50

LEGEND

1 = AMORPHOUS 1.1 - UNDIFFERENTIATED 1.2 - GREY

2 = STRUCTURED AQUEOUS 2.1 – ALGAE 2.2 – DINOFLAGELLATES/ACRITARCHS

3 = BIODEGRADED TERRESTRIAL

4 = SPORES/POLLEN

5 = STRUCTURED TERRESTRIAL 5.1 - LAMINAR 5.2 - CELLULAR 5.3 - SEMI-OPAQUE

6 = INERT 6.1 - OPAQUE 6.2 - META-OPAQUE

7 = INDETERMINATE FINES

TAI = THERMAL ALTERATION INDEX

OIL PRONE = SUM OF 1.1 THRU 4.0

FLUOR = PERCENT FLUORECSCENT MATERIAL

TABLE 4KEROGEN FLUORESCENCE

WELL:		TRUM	IPETER 1			
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TYPE	COLOUR	%	DESCRIPTOR	COMMENTS
NO	(M)					
78271 U	2711.0	CRSW	BRIGHT YELLOW		CELLULAR	IMMATURE. AMORPHOUS MATERIAL
			GOLD		ALL TYPES	FLUORESCES DULLY. SEMI-OPAQUE
			TOTAL	85		MATERIAL CONSISTS OF CLUMPS OF
	ſ					KEROGEN WHICH FLUORESCES BRIGHTLY.
78272 W	3076.5	CRSW	BRIGHT YELLOW	20	CELLULAR	IMMATURE.
		}	GOLD	40	CELLULAR-BIODEGRADED TERRESTRIAL	
			TOTAL	60		
78272 T	3140.0	CRSW	GOLD	30		EARLY MATURE.
			TOTAL	30		
		0000		-		
78272 S	3166.5	CHSW	BRIGHT YELLOW	-	CELLULAR. ALGAL	EARLY MATURE.
			GOLD		CELLULAR	
]	TOTAL	30		
78272Q	3191.0	CRSW	GOLD	60	CELLULAR, SPORE/POLLEN, SEMI-OPAQUE	IMMATURE. SEMI-OPAQUE MATERIAL
]		TOTAL	60		CONSISTS OF CLUMPS OF KEROGEN
						WHICH FLUORESCE.
78270 O	3244.0	CRSW	GOLD		CELLULAR, SPORE/POLLEN, SEMI-OPAQUE	EARLY MATURE.
			TOTAL	60		
78270 J	3291.0	CRSW	BRIGHT YELLOW	25	CELLULAR FRAGS	?MATURE. THE LARGE PROPORTION OF
			GOLD	10	CELLULAR	BRIGHT YELLOW FLUORESCENCE MAKES
			MOD. BRI ORANG	10	CELLULAR, GROUNDMASS	THIS DETERMINATION UNCERTAIN.
			TOTAL	45		
78272 M	3385.0	CRSW	GOLD	20	CELLULAR	EARLY MATURE. ONLY LIGHT COLOURED
			TOTAL	20		CELLULAR MATERIAL FLUORECSES.
78270 E	3407.2	сяѕу	GOLD	30		EARLY MATURE.
	0701.2		TOTAL	30		
L		J		- 50	<u> </u>	<u></u>

Figure 1

COMPOSITE GEOCHEMICAL PROFILE FIGURE 1 BASIN: GIPPSLAND TRUMPETER 1 KB: 21M

S T R A T I G	тос	C = TOTAL ORGANI CARBOI	C N	S2 =	HC (PRO	ATILE H GENER DUCTIC ROGEN	ATION	POTE EX					<u>S1</u> <u>S1</u> <u>S2</u> + T(
_ 。	DEPTH m KB	TOC %		+	S1 r	ng/c	x S	52	ΡI			HI		nax 'C	REFI	ectance Rv%	Н	оміс /с
		1	5	.25	.5	1 2	4	8	2.4	·	200	600	43	5 465	0.1	65 1.3	.5	1
					l 1 1	1		l 1 1	 		1			1				1
. <u>a</u>	 	-+		•		*		i t	 		 		-	I I		1 1	1]
L. balmei	- 2800 -				 	1		 	. 					 				
					1	;		1	י 		ł			1		1 1		1
		i I			 	 1		l I	 					 		† †	1	I I
	- 3000 -		5	+	 	 		- - -			- 							1
				+- *	1	ļ			1									
					 x			 			- 1		<u> </u>					
sпбt	- 3200 -			`` }	• •		7	 	ו 					1				
U T. longus	• •	 	_	Ĺ.	-1	لم ار - `		i V	t					1		1 1		1
				*	 -1 -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	 					,				1
	- 3400 -		. 5	``		۲ ۲۰۰۲ ا	\searrow	$\stackrel{\cdot}{\succ}$	ו 					1 				1
TD 3468m		1]	1		- 1			1			1	ł
						 		1	ן ו		1			1				i I

l

ROCKEVAL MATURATION PLOT TRUMPETER 1 GIPPSLAND BASIN



ľ

Figure 3

Trumpeter 1 Kerogen Types



Figure 4



.

APPENDIX 4

APPENDIX 4

RFT REPORT

TRUMPETER-1

•

. .

TRUMPETER-1 RFT



DAW 29-1-90

RFT PRESSURE DATA

WELL:	TRUMPETER-1	
DATE	6/10/89	

GEOLOGIST-ENGINEER: A.CLARE/A.HERNANDIANTO

m MDKB	TH m TVD ss KB= 2 1		RFT GAL	STATIC IGE PPg	TIME SET	MINIMUM FLOWING PRESSURE psi (PRETEST)	U0/0	FT GAL	ESSURE JGE PPg	темр °С	TIME RETRACT	FINAL HP/I psia	RFT GAU		COMMENTS (INCLUDE PROBE TYPES)
3282.2	3261.2	5407.2	5388.1	9.74	15:41	-	-	-	-	-	15:43	5407.3	5388.3	9.74.	TIGNT
3282.5	3261.5	5407.2	5388·2	9.74	16:01	3062.	4717-2	4699.0	8.44	-	16:05	5408·2	5388·2	9.74.	OK MODERATES GOOD BUT ANOULALOUS? (TBENE
3283.0	3262.0		_	1	-	_	-	_	-	-	-			-	TELEMETRY PROBLEM.
3282.5	3261.5	5406.5	5388-4	9.73	17:19	6.0	-	-	-	101.2	17:21	540A·5	5387·3	9.73	TIGHT
3283.0	3262.0	5407.2	5388.4	9.73	-	-		-		101.3	_	-	-	9.73	TELEMETRY PROBLOM
3283.0	3262.0	5407.2	5388.5	9.73	17:28		_	-	-	10.6	17:30	5410.8	54 8 9.S	9.73	PLUCCED
3282.3	3262.3	5405.5	5386.6	9.73	17:35		473.9	4713.4	8.5	101.8	19:55	5405-8	5386.7	9.73	OK- MOD TIGHT
	-				-				[·
	3282.5 3283.0 3283.0 3283.0 3283.0	3282.2 3261.2 3282.5 3261.5 3283.0 3262.0 3283.0 3261.5 3283.0 3261.5 3283.0 3262.0 3283.0 3262.0	3282.2 3261.2 5407.2 3282.5 3261.5 5407.2 3283.0 3262.0 3282.5 3261.5 5406.5 3282.5 3261.5 5406.5 3283.0 3262.0 5407.2 3283.0 3262.0 5407.2	3282.2 3261.2 5407.2 5388.1 3282.5 3261.5 5407.2 5388.2 3283.0 3262.0 3282.5 3261.5 5406.5 5386.4 3282.5 3261.5 5406.5 5386.4 3283.0 3262.0 5407.2 5388.4 3283.0 3262.0 5407.2 5388.4	3282.2 3261.2 5407.2 5388.1 9.74 3282.5 3261.5 5407.2 5388.2 9.74 3282.5 3262.0 - - - 3282.5 3262.0 - - - 3282.5 3261.5 5406.5 538.4 9.73 3282.5 3261.5 5407.2 5388.4 9.73 3283.0 3262.0 5407.2 5388.4 9.73 3283.0 3262.0 5407.2 5388.4 9.73 3283.0 3262.0 5407.2 5388.4 9.73	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3282.2 3261.2 5407.2 5388.1 9.74 15:41 - $3282.5 3261.5 5407.2 5388.2 9.74 16:01 3062$ $3283.0 3262.0 - - - -$ $3282.5 3261.5 5406.5 5386.4 9.73 17:19 6.0$ $3283.0 3262.0 5407.2 5388.4 9.73 - -$ $3283.0 3262.0 5407.2 5388.4 9.73 - -$ $3283.0 3262.0 5407.2 5388.4 9.73 - -$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3282.2 3261.2 5407.2 5388.1 9.74. 15:41 - - - $3282.5 3261.5 5407.2 5388.2 9.74 16:01 3062 4717.2 4699.0 3283.0 3262.0 - - - - - - - - -$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3282.2 3261.2 5407.2 5388.1 9.74 15:41 - - - - - - - - -	3232.2 3261.2 5407.2 5388.1 9.74 15:41 - - - - 15.43 $3282.5 3261.5 5407.2 5388.2 9.74 16:01 3062 4717.2 4897.0 8.44 - 16:05$ $3283.0 3262.0 - - - - - - - - -$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

SPT=SAMPLE

M=MARTINEAU PROBE

RFT PRESSURE DATA

TRUMPETER -1 WELL: -DATE: 6/10/89

GEOLOGIST-ENGINEER: A. CLARE A. HERNANDIANTO

PAGE _____ OF ____

RFT RUN-S		DEP m MDKB	TH m TVD ss KB=	INITIAL HP/ psia	RFT GAL		TIME SET	MINIMUM FLOWING PRESSURE psi (PRETEST)	FORMAT HP/R psia p	FT GAL		темр °С	TIME RETRACT		HYDROS ⁻ RFT GAU psig		COMMENTS (INCLUDE PROBE TYPES)
1~1	Pr	3285	3264	5412.1	5394·9		13:47	-	-	-	[-	-	_		PROBLEM SETTING COULDN'T CONTINUE
1-2	PT	3285	3264	5412.2	53943	9.74	13:58	7.57	-	_		-	14:00	5414.6	5394-3		тіснт
1-3	PT	3282	3261	5407.1	5378-2	9.74	14:06	0	47124.6	47066	1.18		14:14	5407.2	53 ⊠ ∙2	9.74	- ALTHOUGH TIGHT
1-4	PT	32 8 4:5	3263.5	5411.0	5392.5	9.74	14:21	0		-		98	14:23	5413.7	5392.0	9.74	TKNT
1-5	Рт	3298.0	3277.0	5A33·3	5914.3	9.74	14:30	4652.7	4653.5	4636-4	8.34	100-8	14:36	5A34·2	5914.2	9.74	COOD
1-6	PT	3305.0	3284.0	5144·3	5425.3	9.73	19:44	4593.5	4662.7	4692.8	8.33	101.6	14:48	5444-5	5425.1	9.73	QODA
1-7	Pr	3310.0	3289.0	5452.1	5433·8	9.73	14:56	4635.2	4669.6	4652 ^{,6}	8:34	101.8	15:02	59452.3	5433-5	9.73	C.00D
8-ا	PT	3284	3263.0	5409.6	53911.3	9.74	15:10	7.0	4737.1	4721.1	85	102.0	15:20	-	_		TICHT & HADN'T FINISHED BUILDING TO HYDROLIND TO
1-9	PT	32.84	3263.0	5409.9	5390.9	7 9.74	15:26	8.4	-	-	_	-	15:32	-	-	-	TELEMETRY FAULT
1-10	PT	3282	3261.0	5407.1	5387-	9.74	15:35	7.0	-	_	-		15:40			9.74-	TIGHT
PT=PRETE												RFT 2.85		1107.0	DP.344		L+LONG NOSE PROB. M*MARTINEAU PROB!

OBSERVER : A.CLARE	DATE : GLIOIS	A RUN NO. : I
	CHAMBER I (22.7 11E.)	CHAMBER 2 (10.4-11)
ISEAT NO.	2-17	2-17
IDEPTIT	3282·3 mK	
A. RECORDING TIMES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
lool Set	17:35 hrs	17:35 hr:
Chamber Open	17:43 hrs	
Fill lime	CLOSE CHANGER 19:10 his	
Finish Build Up	(n) (n) (q:40 hrs	
Build Up Time	() 30 min	
Tool Retract	19,55 hrs	
lotal lime	1 140 min	s 140 mir
B. SAMPLE FRESSURE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Initial Hydrostatic	5405.5 psi	
Initial Form'n Press.	4731.9 ps1	
Initial Flowing Press. Final Flowing Press.	45.2 psi 259.68 psi	and the second design of the second
Final Formation Press.	(INCOMPLETE) 4697.88 DS1	
Final Hydrostatic	5405-8 psi	
IC. TEMPERATURE		
Max. Tool Depth	3310 11	3310 m
Max. Rec. lemp	101.8 deg (
Length of Circ.	<u>2</u> hrs 5	
fime/Date Circ. Stopped	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
D. SAMPLE RECOVERY	24 hrs 25 mins	
Surface Pressure		
Amt Gas	0 psia •07 cu ft	III III IIII IIII IIII IIII IIIII IIIII IIII
Amt OIL		·o3 cu fi - lit
Amt Water (Total)	<u> </u>	0.511t
Amt Others	<u> </u>	-11t
E. SAMPLE FROPERTIES	· · ·	- í
Gas Composition	· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
C1 /	<u> </u>	83% ·0551 ppm
C2		8% · coso4-ppm
C3	- ppm	6% .00375 ppm
C4	<u> </u>	3% ·00207 ppm
C5 C6+	- ppm	TR TR ppm
C02/H25	— ppm	
011 Properties	-/- %/ppm - deg APIQ - deg C	
Colour	······································	
Fluorescence		
GUR		
Pour Point	<u>~</u>	-
Mater Properties		1
Resistivity	0.171 ohm-m @ 22 deg C	10.161 ohm-m @ 16 deg C
NaCI Equivalent	44500 ppm	44500 ppm
Cl-titrated	27000 ppm	27000 ppm
Iritium /	UI7M	
pH I	7.0	7.0
Est. Water Type	FLITZATE / FUT WATER MIX	FILTRATE / FAT WATER MIX
Resistivity	A 117	
NaCI Equivalent	0.14-3 ohm-m @ 18.3 deg C	0.143 ohm-m @ 18.3 deg C
Cl-titrated	49 500 ppm	49 500 ppm
	<u>30 cco ppm</u>	<u>30 000 ppm</u>
Tritium (in Mud)		10.0 .
G. GENERAL CALIBRATION		DFM
Mud Weight	9.5 ppg	
Calc. Hydrostatic		9.5 ppg
Serial No. (Preserved)	5310.3 ps1	5310.3ps1
Choke Size/Probe Type		
EMARKS	02/MARTINEAU	.02/MARTINEAU
		1

ENCLOSURES

5

ć

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE902134 is enclosed within the container PE902133 at this location in this document.

The enclosure PE90	2134 has the following characteristics:
ITEM_BARCODE =	PE902134
CONTAINER_BARCODE =	PE902133
NAME =	Structural Cross Section Mackarel 1 -
	Teraglin 1
BASIN =	GIPPSLAND
PERMIT =	
TYPE =	WELL
SUBTYPE =	cross section
DESCRIPTION =	Structural Cross Section Mackarel 1 -
	Teraglin 1
REMARKS =	
$DATE_CREATED =$	1/06/90
$DATE_RECEIVED =$	4/07/90
W_NO =	W1008
WELL_NAME =	Trumpeter-1
CONTRACTOR =	ESSO
CLIENT_OP_CO =	ESSO

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE902135 is enclosed within the container PE902133 at this location in this document.

The enclosure PE902135 has the following characteristics: ITEM_BARCODE = PE902135 CONTAINER_BARCODE = PE902133 NAME = Structure map - top of Latrobe group BASIN = GIPPSLAND PERMIT =TYPE = SEISMIC SUBTYPE = HRZN_CNTR_MAP DESCRIPTION = Structure map - top of Latrobe group REMARKS = $DATE_CREATED = 1/03/90$ DATE_RECEIVED = 4/07/90 $W_NO = W1008$ WELL_NAME = Trumpeter-1 CONTRACTOR = ESSOCLIENT_OP_CO = ESSO

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE902136 is enclosed within the container PE902133 at this location in this document.

The enclosure PE902136 has the following characteristics: $ITEM_BARCODE = PE902136$ CONTAINER_BARCODE = PE902133 NAME = Structure map - Top T-1 sand BASIN = GIPPSLAND PERMIT =TYPE = WELLSUBTYPE = HRZN_CNTR_MAP DESCRIPTION = Structure map - Top T-1 sand REMARKS = $DATE_CREATED = 1/03/90$ DATE_RECEIVED = 4/07/90 $W_{NO} = W1008$ WELL_NAME = Trumpeter-1 CONTRACTOR = ESSOCLIENT_OP_CO = ESSO

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE902137 is enclosed within the container PE902133 at this location in this document.

The enclosure PE902137 has the following characteristics: ITEM_BARCODE = PE902137 CONTAINER_BARCODE = PE902133 NAME = Structure map 71 M.Y Sequence Boundary BASIN = GIPPSLAND PERMIT = TYPE = WELLSUBTYPE = HRZN_CNTR_MAP DESCRIPTION = Structure map 71 M.Y Sequence Boundary REMARKS = $DATE_CREATED = 1/03/90$ DATE_RECEIVED = 4/07/90W_NO = W1008 WELL_NAME = Trumpeter-1 CONTRACTOR = ESSOCLIENT_OP_CO = ESSO

Ĩ

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE600986 is enclosed within the container PE902133 at this location in this document.

.

The enclosure PE600986 has the following characteristics:
$ITEM_BARCODE = PE600986$
CONTAINER_BARCODE = PE902133
NAME = Formation Evaluation Log
BASIN = GIPPSLAND
PERMIT =
TYPE = WELL
SUBTYPE = MUD_LOG
DESCRIPTION = Formation Evaluation Log
REMARKS =
$DATE_CREATED = 8/09/89$
DATE_RECEIVED = $4/07/90$
$W_NO = W1008$
WELL_NAME = Trumpeter-1
CONTRACTOR = EXLOG
CLIENT_OP_CO = ESSO

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE600985 is enclosed within the container PE902133 at this location in this document.

The enclosure PE600985 has the following characteristics: ITEM_BARCODE = PE600985 CONTAINER_BARCODE = PE902133 NAME = Well Completion Log BASIN = GIPPSLAND PERMIT = TYPE = WELLSUBTYPE = COMPLETION_LOG DESCRIPTION = Well Completion Log REMARKS = $DATE_CREATED = 8/09/89$ DATE_RECEIVED = 4/07/90 $W_NO = W1008$ WELL_NAME = Trumpeter-1 CONTRACTOR = ESSO $CLIENT_OP_CO = ESSO$ (Inserted by DNRE - Vic Govt Mines Dept)

This is an enclosure indicator page. The enclosure PE902138 is enclosed within the container PE902133 at this location in this document.

The enclosure PE	90	2138 has the following characteristics:
ITEM_BARCODE	=	PE902138
CONTAINER_BARCODE	=	PE902133
NAME	=	Synthetic Seismogram
BASIN	=	GIPPSLAND
PERMIT	=	
TYPE	=	WELL
SUBTYPE	=	SYNTH_SEISMOGRAM
DESCRIPTION	=	Synthetic Seismogram (enclosure from
		WCR) for Trumpeter-1
REMARKS	=	
DATE_CREATED	=	14/06/90
DATE_RECEIVED	=	4/07/90
WNC	=	W1008
WELL_NAME	=	Trumpeter-1
CONTRACTOR	=	SIERRA GEOPHYSICS
CLIENT_OP_CC	=	ESSO